

MOODYS CORP /DE/

FORM 10-K (Annual Report)

Filed 3/8/2005 For Period Ending 12/31/2004

Address	99 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK, New York 10007
Telephone	212-553-0300
CIK	0001059556
Industry	Business Services
Sector	Services
Fiscal Year	12/31

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

OR

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-14037

MOODY'S CORPORATION

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE
(STATE OF INCORPORATION)

13-3998945
(I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

99 CHURCH STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007
(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)
(ZIP CODE)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: (212) 553-0300.
SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

<u>TITLE OF EACH CLASS</u>	<u>NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED</u>
COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.01 PER SHARE	NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE
PREFERRED SHARE PURCHASE RIGHTS	NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

The aggregate market value of Moody's Corporation Common Stock held by nonaffiliates* on June 30, 2004 (based upon its closing transaction price on the Composite Tape on such date) was approximately \$9.5 billion.

As of January 31, 2005, 149.1 million shares of Common Stock of Moody's Corporation were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for use in connection with its annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on April 26, 2005, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

The Index to Exhibits is included as Part IV, Item 15(a)(3) of this Form 10-K.

* Calculated by excluding all shares held by executive officers and directors of the Registrant without conceding that all such persons are "affiliates" of the Registrant for purposes of federal securities laws.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT'S FEES AND SERVICES

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

SIGNATURES

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EX-21: SUBSIDIARIES

EX-23: CONSENT OF EXPERTS

EX-31.1: CERTIFICATION

EX-31.2: CERTIFICATION

EX-32.1: CERTIFICATION

EX-32.2: CERTIFICATION

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Background

As used in this report, except where the context indicates otherwise, the terms “Moody’s” or “the Company” refer to Moody’s Corporation and its subsidiaries. The Company’s executive offices are located at 99 Church Street, New York, NY 10007 and its telephone number is (212) 553-0300.

Prior to September 30, 2000, the Company operated as part of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (“Old D&B”). On September 8, 2000, the Board of Directors of Old D&B approved a plan to separate into two publicly traded companies – the Company and The New D&B Corporation (“New D&B”). On September 30, 2000 (“the Distribution Date”), Old D&B distributed to its shareholders all of the outstanding shares of New D&B common stock (the “2000 Distribution”). New D&B comprised the business of Old D&B’s Dun & Bradstreet operating company (the “D&B Business”). The remaining business of Old D&B consisted solely of the business of providing ratings and related research and credit risk management services (the “Moody’s Business”) and was renamed “Moody’s Corporation”.

New D&B is the accounting successor to Old D&B, which was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on April 8, 1998. Old D&B began operating as an independent publicly-owned corporation on July 1, 1998 as a result of its June 30, 1998 spin-off (the “1998 Distribution”) from the corporation now known as “R.H. Donnelley Corporation” and previously known as “The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation” (“Donnelley”). Old D&B became the accounting successor to Donnelley at the time of the 1998 Distribution.

Prior to the 1998 Distribution, Donnelley was the parent holding company for subsidiaries then engaged in the businesses currently conducted by New D&B, Moody’s and Donnelley. Prior to November 1, 1996, it also was the parent holding company of subsidiaries conducting business under the names Cognizant Corporation (“Cognizant”) and ACNielsen Corporation (“ACNielsen”). On that date Donnelley effected a spin-off of the capital stock of Cognizant and ACNielsen to its stockholders (the “1996 Distribution”). Cognizant subsequently changed its name to Nielsen Media Research, Inc. in connection with its 1998 spin-off of the capital stock of IMS Health Incorporated (“IMS Health”).

For purposes of governing certain ongoing relationships between the Company and New D&B after the 2000 Distribution and to provide for an orderly transition, the Company and New D&B entered into various agreements including a Distribution Agreement, Tax Allocation Agreement, Employee Benefits Agreement, Shared Transaction Services Agreement, Insurance and Risk Management Services Agreement, Data Services Agreement and Transition Services Agreement.

Detailed descriptions of the 1996, 1998 and 2000 Distributions are contained in the Company’s 2000 annual report on Form 10-K, filed on March 15, 2001.

The Company

Moody’s is a provider of credit ratings, research and analysis covering debt instruments and securities in the global capital markets and a provider of quantitative credit assessment services, credit training services and credit process software to banks and other financial institutions. Founded in 1900, Moody’s employs approximately 2,500 people worldwide. Moody’s maintains offices in 19 countries and has expanded into developing markets through joint ventures or affiliation agreements with local rating agencies. Moody’s customers include a wide range of corporate and governmental issuers of securities as well as institutional investors, depositors, creditors, investment banks, commercial banks, and other financial intermediaries. Moody’s is not dependent on a single customer or a few customers, such that a loss of any one would have a material adverse effect on its business.

Moody’s operates in two reportable segments: Moody’s Investors Service and Moody’s KMV.

Table of Contents

Moody's Investors Service publishes rating opinions on a broad range of credit obligors and their obligations issued in domestic and international markets, including various corporate and governmental obligations, structured finance securities and commercial paper programs. It also publishes investor-oriented credit research, including in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies, special comments and credit opinion handbooks. Moody's credit ratings and research help investors analyze the credit risks associated with fixed-income securities. Such independent credit ratings and research also contribute to efficiencies in markets for other obligations, such as insurance policies and derivative transactions, by providing credible and independent assessments of credit risk. Moody's provides ratings and credit research on governmental and commercial entities in approximately 100 countries. Moody's global and increasingly diverse services are designed to increase market efficiency and may reduce transaction costs. At the end of 2004, Moody's had provided credit ratings and analysis on more than \$35 trillion in debt outstanding, covering nearly 170,000 securities, including those of industrial corporations, financial institutions, governmental entities and structured finance issuers, with more than 10,000 corporate relationships globally and over 100,000 public finance obligations issued in the U.S. market. Ratings are disseminated via press releases to the public through a variety of print and electronic media, including the Internet and real-time information systems widely used by securities traders and investors.

Beyond credit rating services for issuers, Moody's provides research services, data, and analytic tools that are utilized by institutional investors and other credit and capital markets professionals. Moody's services cover various segments of the debt capital markets, and are sold to more than 2,600 institutions worldwide. Within these institutions, over 16,500 users accessed Moody's research website (www.moodys.com) during calendar year 2004. In addition to these clients, more than 135,000 other individuals visited Moody's website to retrieve current ratings and other information made freely available to the public.

The Moody's KMV business consists of the combined businesses of KMV LLC and KMV Corporation ("KMV"), acquired in April 2002, and Moody's Risk Management Services. Moody's KMV is a provider of credit risk processing and credit risk management products for banks and investors in credit-sensitive assets, and serves over 1,600 clients operating in over 80 countries, including most of the world's largest financial institutions. Moody's KMV's quantitative credit analysis tools include models that estimate the probability of default for over 26,000 publicly traded firms globally, updated daily. In addition, Moody's KMV's RiskCalc™ models extend the availability of these probabilities to privately held firms in many of the world's economies. Moody's KMV also offers services to value and improve the performance of credit-sensitive portfolios. Other services include training in using credit risk analysis products and software products to assist financial institutions in commercial lending activities.

Prospects for Growth

Over recent decades, global public and private fixed-income markets have grown significantly in terms of outstanding principal amount and types of securities. While there is potential for periodic cyclical disruption in these developments, Moody's believes that the overall trend and outlook remain favorable for continued secular growth in capital market activity worldwide. In addition, the securities being issued in the global fixed-income markets are becoming more complex. Moody's expects that these trends will provide continued long-term demand for high-quality, independent credit opinions. These phenomena are especially apparent in Europe, where economic integration is driving increased use of public fixed-income markets for corporate financing activities, and factors such as increased adoption and enabling regulation have driven growth in structured finance issuance.

Technology, such as the Internet, makes information about investment alternatives widely available throughout the world. This technology facilitates issuers' ability to place securities outside their national markets and investors' capacity to obtain information about securities issued outside their national markets. Issuers and investors are also more readily able to obtain information about new financing techniques and new types of securities that they may wish to purchase or sell, many of which may be unfamiliar to them. This availability of information promotes worldwide financial markets and a greater need for credible and globally comparable credit ratings. As a result, a number of new capital markets have emerged. In addition, more issuers and investors are accessing traditional capital markets.

Table of Contents

Another trend that is increasing the size of the world capital markets is the ongoing disintermediation of financial systems. Issuers are increasingly financing in the global public capital markets, in addition to, or in substitution for, traditional financial intermediaries. Moreover, financial intermediaries are selling assets in the global public capital markets, in addition to or instead of retaining those assets. Structured finance securities markets for many types of assets have developed in many countries and are contributing to these trends.

The complexity of capital market instruments is also growing. Consequently, assessing the credit risk of such instruments becomes more of a challenge for financial intermediaries and asset managers. In the credit markets, reliable third-party ratings and research increasingly supplement or substitute for traditional in-house research as the scale, geographic scope and complexity of financial markets grow.

Growth in issuance of structured finance securities has generally been stronger than growth in corporate and financial institutions issuance, and Moody's expects that trend to continue. Growth in structured finance has reflected increased adoption of structured finance as an acceptable financing mechanism, regulatory changes that facilitate the use of structured finance, and increases in consumer debt that forms collateral for structured securities.

Rating fees paid by debt issuers account for most of the revenue of Moody's Investors Service. Therefore, a substantial portion of Moody's revenue is dependent upon the volume and number of debt securities issued in the global capital markets that Moody's rates. Moody's is therefore affected by the performance of, and the prospects for, the major world economies and by the fiscal and monetary policies pursued by their governments. However, annual fee arrangements with frequent debt issuers, and annual fees from commercial paper and medium-term note programs, bank and insurance company financial strength ratings, mutual fund ratings, subscription-based research and other areas are less dependent on, or independent of, the volume or number of debt securities issued in the global capital markets.

Moody's operations are also subject to various risks inherent in carrying on business internationally. Such risks include currency fluctuations and possible nationalization, expropriation, exchange and price controls, changes in the availability of data from public sector sources, limits on providing information across borders and other restrictive governmental actions. Management believes that the risks of nationalization or expropriation are reduced because the Company's basic service is the creation and dissemination of information, rather than the production of products that require manufacturing facilities or the use of natural resources. However, the formation of a new government-sponsored regional or global rating agency would pose a risk to Moody's growth prospects. Management believes that the risk, compared to other regulatory changes under consideration for the credit rating industry, is relatively low because of the likelihood that substantial investments over a sustained period would be required.

Legislative bodies and regulators in both the United States and Europe continue to conduct regulatory reviews of credit rating agencies, which may result in an increased number of competitors, restrictions on certain business expansion activities by Moody's Investors Service or increased costs of doing business for Moody's. At present, Moody's is unable to assess the nature and effect any regulatory changes may have on future growth opportunities. See "Regulation" below.

Growth in Moody's KMV is expected from increased adoption of quantitative credit management techniques and of integrated risk-management solutions by financial institutions globally and by corporations managing trade receivables. Increased use of credit models is expected under the forthcoming revised international bank regulatory regime, known as "Basel II", that is anticipated to be implemented by national regulatory authorities by January 2007. Moody's KMV also expects to introduce new products.

Competition

The Moody's Investors Service business competes with other credit rating agencies and with investment banks and brokerage firms that offer credit opinions and research. Institutional investors also have in-house credit research capabilities. Moody's largest competitor in the global credit rating business is Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P"), a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. There are some rating markets, based on industry, geography and/or instrument type, in which Moody's has made investments and obtained market positions superior to S&P's. In other markets the reverse is true.

Another rating agency competitor of Moody's is Fitch, a subsidiary of Fimalac S.A. Although Moody's and S&P are each larger than Fitch, competition is expected to increase. One or more additional significant rating agencies also may emerge in the United States if the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") expands the number of Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations ("NRSRO"). In February 2003, the SEC designated Dominion Bond Rating Service, Ltd. of Canada ("DBRS") a NRSRO and in March 2005, the SEC designated A.M. Best Company, Inc. a NRSRO. Competition may also emerge in developed markets outside the United States over the next few years, for example, in response to the growth in the European capital markets, and in developing markets. Any such rating agencies that may emerge may receive support from local governments or other institutions.

Over the last decade, additional rating agencies have been established, primarily in emerging markets and as a result of local capital market regulation. Regulators worldwide have perceived that credit ratings can further regulatory objectives for the development of public fixed-income securities markets. The result of such regulatory activity has been the creation of a number of primarily national rating agencies in various countries. Certain of these regulatory efforts may have the unintended effect of producing less credible ratings over time. Attempts to standardize ratings systems or criteria may make all rating systems and agencies appear undifferentiated, obscuring variations in the quality of the ratings providers. In addition, since Moody's believes that some of its most significant challenges and opportunities will arise outside the United States, it will have to compete with rating agencies that may have a stronger local presence or a longer operating history in those markets.

Financial regulators are reviewing their approach to supervision and are seeking comments on changes to the global regulatory framework. Bank regulators, under the oversight of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, have proposed using refined risk assessments as the basis for minimum capital requirements. The proposed Standardized Approach relies on rating agency opinions, while the proposed Internal Ratings Based Approach relies on systems and processes maintained by the regulated bank. The increased regulatory focus on credit risk presents both opportunities and challenges for Moody's. Global demand for credit ratings and risk management services may rise, but regulatory actions may result in a greater number of rating agencies and/or additional regulation of Moody's and its competitors. Alternatively, banking or securities market regulators could seek to reduce the use of ratings in regulations, thereby reducing certain elements of demand for ratings, or otherwise seek to control the analysis or business of rating agencies.

Credit rating agencies such as Moody's also compete with other means of managing credit risk, such as credit insurance. Competitors that develop quantitative methodologies for assessing credit risk also may pose a competitive threat to Moody's.

Moody's KMV's main competitors for quantitative measures of default risk include the RiskMetrics Group, S&P, CreditSights, R&I's Financial Technology Institute (in Japan), and other smaller vendors. Other firms may compete in the future. Baker Hill, a privately held company, is Moody's KMV's main competitor in the software market to assist banks in their commercial lending activities. Moody's KMV's training products have two main competitors: Omega Performance, a privately held firm; and Risk Management Association (formerly Robert Morris Associates), a trade association serving the financial services industry.

Table of Contents

Moody's Strategy

Moody's intends to focus on the following opportunities:

Expansion in Financial Centers

Moody's serves its customers through its global network of offices and business affiliations. Moody's currently maintains full-service rating and marketing operations in financial centers including Frankfurt, Hong Kong, London, Madrid, Milan, New York, Paris, Singapore and Tokyo. Moody's expects that its global network will position it to benefit from the expansion of worldwide capital markets and thereby increase revenue. Moody's also expects that the growth of its Moody's Investors Service business as a consequence of financial market integration in Europe will continue. Moody's expects to continue its expansion into developing markets either directly or through joint ventures.

New Rating Products

Moody's is pursuing numerous initiatives to expand credit ratings from public fixed-income securities markets to other sectors with credit risk exposures. As the loan and capital markets converge, Moody's expects to continue to expand its rating coverage of bank loans and project finance loans and securities. Moody's has a committed effort to extend its credit opinion franchise to the global bank counterparty universe through ratings of emerging market banks, including bank financial strength ratings and global local currency ratings. Insurance financial strength ratings in the property and casualty, reinsurance, and life insurance markets represent additional growth opportunities. Moody's has also introduced issuer ratings for corporations not active in the debt markets. For company ratings, Moody's seeks to continue to add value by providing greater scope and depth of analysis of issues related to company creditworthiness, including enhanced liquidity and cash flow analysis, and evaluation of accounting, corporate governance and risk transference issues. Moody's has also introduced mutual fund indices and style-based analytical tools to assist in evaluating fund portfolio characteristics and their performance.

Additional Opportunities in Securitization

The repackaging of financial assets has had a profound effect on the fixed-income markets. New patterns of securitization are expected to emerge in the next decade. Although the bulk of assets securitized in the past five years have been consumer assets owned by banks, commercial assets — principally commercial mortgages, term receivables and corporate obligations — are now increasingly being securitized. Securitization has evolved into a strategic corporate finance tool in North America, Europe and Japan, and is evolving elsewhere internationally. Ongoing global development of non-traditional financial instruments, such as derivatives, future flow securities, hybrids, credit-linked bonds and catastrophe bonds should continue to support growth. Moody's has introduced new services enabling investors to monitor the performance of their investments in structured finance, covering asset-backed finance, commercial mortgage finance, residential mortgage finance and credit derivatives.

Internet-Enhanced Products and Services

Moody's is expanding its use of the Internet and other electronic media to enhance client service. Moody's website provides the public with instant access to ratings, and provides subscribers with credit research. Internet delivery also enables Moody's to provide services to more individuals within a client organization than paper-based products and to offer higher-value services because of more timely delivery. Moody's expects that access to these applications will increase client use of Moody's services. Moody's expects to continue to invest in electronic media to capitalize on these and other opportunities.

Expansion of Credit Research Products and Investment Analytic Tools

Moody's plans to continue to expand its research and analytic services by offering additional tools through internal development and by acquisition. Recent initiatives that have been well-received by clients include new services providing analysis of default rates and default probabilities, on-line facilities for retrieving current rating information on demand, the Company's Market-Implied Ratings service, which compares ratings with other measures for assessing credit risk, and risk analytics and performance data in structured finance. Moody's may develop services for other financial markets, such as credit default swaps. In 2005, the Company plans to launch a new product which provides investor clients with access to financial ratios and peer group statistics for the United States banking sector. Finally, the Company is improving its capability to deliver its research to new customer segments by creating more targeted and customized research offerings and by licensing Moody's credit analysis and research for re-distribution by third party providers.

Table of Contents

New Quantitative Credit Assessment Services

Moody's will continue to provide banks and other financial institutions with quantitative credit assessment services. Moody's believes that there will be increased demand for such services because they enable customers trading or holding credit-sensitive assets to produce better performance. Also recent proposals by international bank regulatory authorities to recognize banks' internal credit risk management systems for the purpose of determining regulatory capital will encourage adoption of such services. Moody's also expects to provide extensions to existing services and new services, such as valuations of credit-sensitive assets.

Regulation

In the United States, Moody's Investors Service voluntarily registers as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. Moody's has also been designated as a NRSRO by the SEC. The SEC first applied the NRSRO designation in 1975 to agencies whose credit ratings could be used by broker-dealers for purposes of determining their net capital requirements. Since that time, Congress (in certain mortgage-related legislation), the SEC (in certain of its regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) and other governmental and private bodies have used the ratings of NRSROs to distinguish between, among other things, "investment grade" and "non-investment grade" securities.

Over the past several years, U.S. regulatory and congressional authorities have questioned the suitability of continuing to employ ratings in federal securities laws; and, if so, the potential need for altering the regulatory framework under which rating agencies operate. Pursuant to a mandate by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and to reports issued by the Congress and the SEC on the rating agency industry, on June 4, 2003 the SEC published a Concept Release requesting comment on the following three broad questions:

- Should credit ratings continue to be used for regulatory purposes under the federal securities laws?
- If ratings continue to be used in federal securities laws, what should be the process for approving rating agencies?
- If ratings continue to be used in federal securities laws, what should be the nature and extent of oversight?

Numerous market participants, including Moody's, responded to the request for comment. Moody's response can be found on the Company's website at www.moodys.com.

In March 2005, the SEC disclosed that it will seek public comment on proposed recognition criteria for rating agencies seeking designation as NRSROs. In addition, the SEC may pursue a voluntary compliance and oversight framework for rating agencies that are designated as NRSROs, or it could seek legislative authority for formal compliance and oversight for NRSROs. Also, on February 8, 2005, Moody's participated in a hearing on Examining the Role of Credit Rating Agencies in the Capital Markets, held by the United States Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs (the "Banking Committee"). Primary areas of inquiry by Senators on the Banking Committee included (i) potential conflicts of interest affecting credit rating agencies and how those conflicts can be avoided or properly managed, and (ii) the degree of competition in the credit ratings industry and how competition might be increased. Moody's written statement submitted to the Committee can also be found on the Company's website. At present, Moody's is unable to assess the likelihood of any regulatory or legislative changes that may result from the ongoing reviews, nor the nature and effect of any such regulatory changes.

Internationally, several regulatory developments occurred in 2004:

First, on December 23, 2004, the Technical Committee of the International Organization of Securities Commissions ("IOSCO") published the Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies ("IOSCO Code"). The IOSCO Code is the product of approximately two years of deliberations and market consultation by IOSCO, and incorporates numerous provisions which address three broad areas:

Table of Contents

- The quality and integrity of the rating process;
- Credit rating agency independence and the avoidance of conflicts of interest; and,
- Credit rating agency responsibilities to the investing public and issuers.

The IOSCO Code is not binding on the credit rating agencies. It relies on voluntary compliance and public disclosure of areas of non-compliance by credit rating agencies so that users of credit ratings can better assess rating agency behavior and performance. Moody's is not yet in a position to assess the impact of the IOSCO Code; however, Moody's intends to modify its internal code of conduct to more closely reflect the provisions in the IOSCO Code, and thereafter to disclose on a periodic basis its adherence to the IOSCO Code's provisions.

Second, in July 2004 the European Commission, as requested by the European Parliament, mandated the Committee of European Securities Regulators ("CESR") to conduct a review of the credit rating agency industry and provide the Commission with advice by April 1, 2005 on the following four general areas:

- potential conflicts of interest within rating agencies, such as between advisory services and direct rating activities;
- transparency of rating agencies' methodologies;
- legal treatment of rating agencies' access to inside information; and
- concerns about possible lack of competition in the market for provision of credit ratings.

Pursuant to its mandate, on November 30, 2004, the CESR published for public comment a consultation document about the credit ratings industry. Subjects addressed by the consultation paper included: the competitive structure of the industry and competition issues; registration of credit rating agencies; potential barriers to entry and potential rules of conduct for the industry. The consultation paper concluded with a discussion of six illustrative regulatory options concerning registration and rules of conduct for rating agencies. The regulatory options posed by the consultation paper range from registration and monitoring of credit rating agencies by regulatory authorities, to relying on market mechanisms to control rating agencies.

The CESR held an open hearing on January 14, 2005 in which Moody's participated. Market participants were invited to offer their views on the need for regulation in the European market. The deadline for written responses to CESR's consultation paper was February 1, 2005. Moody's written comments can be found on the Company's website.

Third, implementation guidelines proposed by the CESR under the European Commission's Market Abuse Directive are applicable to all participants in the European capital markets. Credit rating agencies are excluded from control under the guidelines. However, depending on the form in which the implementation guidelines are ultimately adopted by national regulators or lawmakers, such guidelines could include controls over credit rating agencies in some European Union ("EU") countries. If so, the guidelines could, among other things, alter rating agencies' communications with issuers as part of the rating assignment process, and increase Moody's cost of doing business in Europe and the legal risk associated with such business.

Fourth, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision has completed its work on a new capital adequacy framework ("Basel II") to replace its initial 1988 framework. Under Basel II, ratings assigned by a credit rating agency would be an alternative available to banks to determine the risk weights for many of their credit exposures. The Basel Committee's new capital adequacy framework would allow ratings of certain credit rating agencies to be used as one alternative in the credit measurement processes of internationally active financial institutions, and would subject rating agencies whose ratings are used for such purpose to a broader range of oversight. It is anticipated that Basel II will be implemented by national regulatory authorities by January 2007. The European Commission has created the Committee of European Banking Supervisors ("CEBS"), comprised of European banking regulators, to advise it on the implementation of Basel II in Europe. At this time Moody's cannot predict the long-term impact of Basel II on the manner in which Moody's conducts its business. However, Moody's does not believe that Basel II will materially affect Moody's Investors Service's financial position or results of operations either positively or negatively.

Table of Contents

Finally, Moody's is subject to regulation in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions in which it operates; some regulatory actions outside the United States are noted below:

France

As a consequence of the 2003 French Securities Law, *Loi de Sécurité Financière* (the "LSF"), rating agencies operating in France are subject to a document retention obligation. Moreover, the newly formed French regulatory authority, *L'Autorité des Marchés Financiers* ("AMF"), is required to publish an annual report on the role of rating agencies; their business ethics, the transparency of their methods, and the impact of their activity on issuers and the financial markets. Moody's has submitted responses to a series of questions posed by the AMF in accordance with its mandate. The AMF released its first report on the rating agency industry on January 26, 2005. It concluded that while there was no evidence of wrong-doing or inappropriate behavior in the industry, some sort of regulatory framework at the European level may be suitable. For that, the AMF deferred to the CESR process.

Italy

In March 2005, the Italian Parliament is expected to pass the EU Law 2004, which will implement the EU Market Abuse Directive in Italy. The draft legislation makes the Market Abuse Directive applicable to rating agencies in the Italian market. It requires: (1) the Italian securities regulator, *Commissione Nazionale per la Società e la Borsa* ("CONSOB"), to recognize and register rating agencies in the Italian market; (2) recognized rating agencies to adopt and implement the IOSCO Code; and (3) issuers of bonds in the Italian market to attain ratings from recognized rating agencies. If approved, the draft legislation would require that CONSOB provide the appropriate regulatory framework. The Italian Senate, however, has attached to the draft legislation a resolution recommending that the Italian Government:

- adopt a contrary position and interpret the legislation to acknowledge the special and different treatment of rating agencies within Italian regulations for disclosure obligations that will be implemented by CONSOB;
- consider the possibility of recognizing the self-regulation and control procedures already developed in Europe.

At present, Moody's is unable to assess the likelihood of any regulatory or legislative changes that may result in Italy, nor the nature and effect of any such regulatory changes.

Other legislation and regulation relating to credit rating and research services has been considered from time to time by local, national and multinational bodies and is likely to be considered in the future. In certain countries, governments may provide financial or other support to locally-based rating agencies. In addition, governments may from time to time establish official rating agencies or credit ratings criteria or procedures for evaluating local issuers. If enacted, any such legislation and regulation could significantly change the competitive landscape in which Moody's operates. In addition, the legal status of rating agencies has been addressed by courts in various decisions and is likely to be considered and addressed in legal proceedings from time to time in the future. Management of Moody's cannot predict whether these or any other proposals will be enacted, the outcome of any pending or possible future legal proceedings, or the ultimate impact of any such matters on the competitive position, financial position or results of operations of Moody's.

Intellectual Property

Moody's and its affiliates own and control a variety of trade secrets, confidential information, trademarks, trade names, copyrights, patents, databases and other intellectual property rights that, in the aggregate, are of material importance to Moody's business. Management of Moody's believes that each of the "Moody's", "Moody's KMV" and the "M Circle Logo" and related names, marks and logos are of material importance to Moody's. Moody's is licensed to use certain technology and other intellectual property rights owned and controlled by others, and, similarly, other companies are licensed to use certain technology and other intellectual property rights owned and controlled by Moody's. Moody's considers its trademarks, service marks, databases, software and other intellectual property to be proprietary, and Moody's relies on a combination of copyright, trademark, trade secret, patent, non-disclosure and contractual safeguards for protection.

Table of Contents

In 2002 Moody's formed two subsidiaries that hold some of its intellectual property. The first, MIS Quality Management Corp., was formed to own, manage, protect, enforce and license the trademarks of Moody's and its affiliates. The second, Moody's Assurance Company, Inc., is a New York State "captive" insurance company that self-insures Moody's against certain risks, and owns Moody's ratings databases, methodologies and related software and processes in addition to other assets in support of its insurance program.

The names of Moody's products and services referred to herein are trademarks, service marks or registered trademarks or service marks owned by or licensed to Moody's or one or more of its subsidiaries.

Employees

As of December 31, 2004, the number of full-time equivalent employees of Moody's was approximately 2,500.

Available Information

Moody's investor relations Internet website is <http://ir.moody.com/>. Under the "SEC Filings" tab at this website, the Company makes available free of charge its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

<u>Name, Age and Position</u>	<u>Biographical Data</u>
Jeanne M. Dering, 49 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	Ms. Dering served as the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since October 1, 2000 and in February 2005 was named Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In addition, she has had senior management responsibility for Moody's Information Technology group since January 2004. Ms. Dering joined Moody's Investors Service, Inc., in April 1997 as Managing Director, Finance Officer, and became its Chief Financial Officer in 1998. Prior thereto, she spent over 10 years at Old D&B in a number of financial management positions, including Director of Budgets & Financial Analysis and Director of Financial Planning — Acquisitions and New Business Development.
Jennifer Elliott, 39 Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer	Ms. Elliott has served as the Company's Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer since February 2005. Previously, she had served as Managing Director for Moody's Australia since 1999 and was also a director of Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited. She was Vice President – Senior Credit Officer in Moody's Structured Finance Group from 1996 until 1999 and an Analyst in that group from 1993 until 1996. Prior thereto, she was a banking and finance lawyer in Sydney, Australia.

Table of Contents

Name, Age and Position

John J. Goggins, 44
Senior Vice President and General Counsel

Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr., 47
President and Chief Operating Officer, Moody's Corporation and
President, Moody's Investors Service, Inc.

Chester V. A. Murray, 49
Executive Vice President, International, Moody's Investors Service

John Rutherford, Jr., 65
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Biographical Data

Mr. Goggins has served as the Company's Senior Vice President and General Counsel since October 1, 2000. Mr. Goggins joined Moody's Investors Service, Inc., in February 1999 as Vice President and Associate General Counsel and became General Counsel in 2000. Prior thereto, he served as counsel at Dow Jones & Company from 1995 to 1999, where he was responsible for securities, acquisitions and general corporate matters. Prior to Dow Jones, he was an associate at Cadwalader, Wickersham, & Taft from 1985 to 1995, where he specialized in mergers and acquisitions.

Mr. McDaniel has served as the Company's President since October 2004 and as Chief Operating Officer since January 2004. He has served as a member of the Board of Directors since April 2003 and President of Moody's Investors Service, Inc. since November 2001. Mr. McDaniel also served as Executive Vice President of the Company from April 2003 to January 2004 and Senior Vice President from October 1, 2000 until January 2004. He served as Senior Managing Director, Global Ratings and Research, of Moody's Investors Service, Inc., from November 2000 until November 2001. Prior thereto, he had served as Managing Director, International, since 1996 and served as Managing Director, Europe, from 1993 until 1996. He also served as Associate Director in Moody's Structured Finance Group from 1989 until 1993, and as Senior Analyst in the Mortgage Securitization Group from 1988 to 1989.

Mr. Murray served as the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer from October 2002 to June 2004 and again as Chief Human Resource Officer from October 2004 to February 2005. He has served as Executive Vice President-International of Moody's Investors Service, Inc. since January 2004. Mr. Murray served as Senior Managing Director of Moody's Investors Service, Inc., from November 2001 until October 2002; Group Managing Director-Europe from 1996 until November 2001; Managing Director of the Financial Institutions Group from 1993 until 1996; and Associate Director of the Financial Institutions Group from 1990 until 1993. He was a Senior Analyst for the Financial Institutions Group from 1985 until 1990. Prior thereto, Mr. Murray was a lending officer in the Latin American division of Irving Trust Company from 1981 until 1985.

Mr. Rutherford has served as Chairman of the Board since October 2003 and the Company's Chief Executive Officer since October 1, 2000 and has been a member of the Board of Directors since May 2000. Mr. Rutherford served as President of Moody's Corporation from October 2000 until October 2003 and President of Moody's Investors Service, Inc. from January 1998 until November 2001. Prior thereto, he was the Chief Administrative Officer from 1996. Mr. Rutherford also served as Managing Director of Moody's Holdings Inc. from 1995 until 1996, and served as President of Interactive Data Corporation ("IDC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Old D&B, from 1985 to 1989 and from 1990 until IDC was sold by Old D&B in September 1995. Mr. Rutherford is also a director of NASD and ICRA Limited, a credit rating agency in India that is affiliated with Moody's.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The executive offices of Moody's are located at 99 Church Street, New York, New York, in a 297,000-square-foot property owned by Moody's. Moody's operations are also conducted from 9 other U.S. offices and 21 non-U.S. office locations, all of which are leased. These other properties are geographically distributed to meet operating and sales requirements worldwide. These properties are generally considered to be both suitable and adequate to meet current operating requirements, and virtually all space is being utilized.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, Moody's is involved in legal and tax proceedings, claims and litigation that are incidental to the Company's business, including claims based on ratings assigned by Moody's. Management periodically assesses the Company's liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters, based upon the latest information available. For those matters where it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the probable amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company believes it has recorded appropriate reserves in the consolidated financial statements and periodically adjusts these reserves as appropriate. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of a liability, if any. As additional information becomes available, the Company adjusts its assessments and estimates of such liabilities accordingly.

The discussion of the litigation under the heading "Legacy Contingencies" under Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", commencing at page 34 of this annual report on Form 10-K, is incorporated into this Item 3 by reference.

Based on its review of the latest information available, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability of the Company in connection with pending legal and tax proceedings, claims and litigation will not have a material adverse effect on Moody's financial position, results of operations or cash flows, subject to the contingencies described in Part II, Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Contingencies".

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

During the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this annual report on Form 10-K, no matter was submitted to a vote of security holders.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Information in response to this Item is set forth under the captions “Common Stock Information” and “Dividends” in Item 7 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

**MOODY’S PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2004**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program (1)
October 1 - 31	—	—	—	\$547.7 million
November 1 - 30	—	—	—	\$547.7 million
December 1 - 31	—	—	—	\$547.7 million
Total	—	—	—	

(1) As of the last day of each of the months. On May 24, 2004, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized a new \$600 million share repurchase program, which includes both special share repurchases and systematic share repurchases to offset shares issued under Moody’s stock-based compensation plans. There is no established expiration date for this authorization. During June 2004, the Company had completed its previous \$450 million program, which had been authorized by the Board of Directors in October 2002.

Since becoming a public company in October 2000 and through the end of 2004, Moody’s has repurchased 26.4 million shares at a total cost of \$1.1 billion, including 13.0 million shares to offset issuances under employee stock plans.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Moody’s Corporation consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains Forward-Looking Statements. See “Forward-Looking Statements” on page 41 and “Additional Factors That May Affect Future Results” on page 30 for a discussion of uncertainties, risks and other factors associated with these statements.

The Company

Except where otherwise indicated, the terms “Moody’s” and the “Company” refer to Moody’s Corporation and its subsidiaries. Moody’s is a provider of credit ratings, research and analysis covering debt instruments and securities in the global capital markets and a provider of quantitative credit assessment services, credit training services and credit process software to banks and other financial institutions. Moody’s operates in two reportable segments: Moody’s Investors Service and Moody’s KMV.

Moody’s Investors Service publishes rating opinions on a broad range of credit obligors and credit obligations issued in domestic and international markets, including various corporate and governmental obligations, structured finance securities and commercial paper programs. It also publishes investor-oriented credit research, including in-depth research on major issuers, industry studies, special comments and credit opinion handbooks.

The Moody’s KMV business consists of the combined businesses of KMV LLC and KMV Corporation (“KMV”), acquired in April 2002, and Moody’s Risk Management Services. Moody’s KMV develops and distributes quantitative credit assessment products and services for banks and investors in credit-sensitive assets, credit training services and credit process software.

The Company operated as part of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (“Old D&B”) until September 30, 2000 (the “Distribution Date”), when Old D&B separated into two publicly traded companies — Moody’s Corporation and The New D&B Corporation (“New D&B”). At that time, Old D&B distributed to its shareholders shares of New D&B stock. New D&B comprised the business of Old D&B’s Dun & Bradstreet operating company (the “D&B Business”). The remaining business of Old D&B consisted solely of the business of providing ratings and related research and credit risk management services (the “Moody’s Business”) and was renamed “Moody’s Corporation”. The method by which Old D&B distributed to its shareholders its shares of New D&B stock is hereinafter referred to as the “2000 Distribution”.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Moody’s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based on the Company’s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires Moody’s to make estimates and judgments that affect reported amounts of assets, liabilities and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. These estimates are based on historical experience and on other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. On an ongoing basis, Moody’s evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, accounts receivable allowances, contingencies, goodwill, pension and other post-retirement benefits and stock-based compensation. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The following accounting estimates are considered critical because they are particularly dependent on management’s judgment about matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimates are made and changes to those estimates could have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

In recognizing revenue related to ratings, Moody's uses judgments to allocate billed revenue between ratings and the future monitoring of ratings in cases where the Company does not charge ongoing monitoring fees for a particular issuer. These judgments are not dependent on the outcome of future uncertainties, but rather relate to allocating revenue across accounting periods. In such cases, the Company defers portions of rating fees that it estimates will be attributed to future monitoring activities and recognizes the deferred revenue ratably over the estimated monitoring periods.

The portion of the revenue to be deferred is determined based on annual monitoring fees charged for similar securities or issuers and the level of monitoring effort required for a type of security or issuer. The estimated monitoring period over which the deferred revenue will be recognized is determined based on factors such as the frequency of issuance by the issuers and the lives of the rated securities. Currently, the estimated monitoring periods range from three to ten years. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, deferred revenue included approximately \$30 million and \$26 million, respectively, related to such deferred monitoring fees.

Moody's estimates revenue for ratings of commercial paper for which, in addition to a fixed annual monitoring fee, issuers are billed quarterly based on amounts outstanding. Related revenue is accrued each quarter based on estimated amounts outstanding, and is billed subsequently when actual data is available. The estimate is determined based on the issuers' most recent reported quarterly data. At December 31, 2004 and 2003, accounts receivable included approximately \$29 million and \$26 million, respectively, related to accrued commercial paper revenue. Historically, the Company has not had material differences between the estimated revenue and the actual billings.

Accounts Receivable Allowance

Moody's records as reductions of revenue provisions for estimated future adjustments to customer billings based on historical experience and current conditions. Such provisions are reflected as additions to the accounts receivable allowance. Adjustments to and write-offs of accounts receivable are charged against the allowance. Moody's evaluates its accounts receivable by reviewing and assessing historical collection and adjustment experience and the current status of customer accounts. Moody's also considers the economic environment of the customers, both from an industry and geographic perspective, in evaluating the need for allowances. Based on its reviews, Moody's establishes or adjusts allowances for specific customers and the accounts receivable balance as a whole, as considered appropriate. This process involves a high degree of judgment and estimation and frequently involves significant dollar amounts. Accordingly, Moody's results of operations can be affected by adjustments to the allowance. Management believes that the allowance for uncollectible accounts is adequate to cover anticipated adjustments and write-offs under current conditions. However, significant changes in any of the above-noted factors, or actual write-offs or adjustments that differ from the estimated amounts, could result in allowances that are greater or less than Moody's estimates. In each of 2004 and 2003, the Company reduced its provision rates and its allowances to reflect its current estimate of the appropriate level of accounts receivable allowance.

Contingencies

Accounting for contingencies, including those matters described in the "Contingencies" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis, is highly subjective and requires the use of judgments and estimates in assessing their magnitude and likely outcome. In many cases, the outcomes of such matters will be determined by third parties, including governmental or judicial bodies. The provisions made in the consolidated financial statements, as well as the related disclosures, represent management's best estimates of the then current status of such matters and their potential outcome based on a review of the facts and in consultation with outside legal counsel where deemed appropriate. The Company regularly reviews contingencies and as additional information becomes available may, in the future, adjust the provisions made in respect thereof. Since the potential exposure on many of these matters is material, and it is possible that these matters could be resolved in amounts that are greater than the Company has reserved, their resolution could have a material adverse effect on Moody's future reported results and financial position. In addition, potential cash outlays related to the resolution of these exposures could be material.

Table of Contents

For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, the provision for income taxes reflected charges of \$30.0 million and \$16.2 million, respectively, to increase the Company's reserves for legacy income tax exposures that were assumed by Moody's in connection with its separation from The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation in October 2000. These tax matters are discussed under "Legacy Tax Matters" below.

Goodwill

Moody's evaluates its goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". Moody's goodwill balance is material (\$131.7 million at December 31, 2004), and the evaluation of the carrying value of goodwill requires that the Company make important assumptions and judgments about future operating results and cash flows as well as terminal values and discount rates. In estimating future operating results and cash flows, Moody's considers internal budgets and strategic plans, expected long-term growth rates, and the effects of external factors and market conditions. If actual future operating results and cash flows or external conditions differ from the Company's judgments, or if changes in assumed terminal values or discount rates are made, an impairment charge may be necessary to reduce the carrying value of goodwill, which charge could be material to the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

The expenses, assets, liabilities and obligations that Moody's reports for pension and other post-retirement benefits are dependent on many assumptions concerning the outcome of future events and circumstances. These assumptions include the following:

- future compensation increases, based on the Company's long-term actual experience and future outlook
- discount rates, based on current yields on high grade corporate long-term bonds
- long-term return on pension plan assets, based on the expected future average annual return for each major asset class within the plan's portfolio (which is principally comprised of equity and fixed-income investments)

In determining such assumptions, the Company consults with outside actuaries and other advisors where deemed appropriate. In accordance with relevant accounting standards, if actual results differ from the Company's assumptions, such differences are deferred and amortized over the estimated future working life of the plan participants. While the Company believes that the assumptions used in its calculations are reasonable, differences in actual experience or changes in assumptions could have a significant effect on the expenses, assets and liabilities related to the Company's pension and other post-retirement benefits.

The table below shows the estimated effect that a one percentage point decrease in each of these assumptions will have on Moody's 2005 operating income (dollars in millions). These effects have been calculated using the Company's current projections of 2005 assets, liabilities, obligations and expenses related to pension and other post-retirement plans, which could change as updated data becomes available.

	Assumption Used for 2005	Estimated Impact on 2005 Operating Income (Decrease)/Increase
Discount Rate	5.90%	\$(3.9)
Weighted Average Assumed Compensation Growth Rate	4.00%	\$ 1.2
Assumed Long-Term Rate of Return on Pension Assets	8.35%	\$(1.0)

Table of Contents

Based on our current projections, the Company estimates that expenses related to pension and post-retirement plans will be approximately \$13 million in 2005 compared with \$8 million in 2004. The expected expense increase in 2005 reflects the effects of normal growth in plan liabilities, as well as amortization of actuarial losses due to differences between past actuarial assumptions and actual plan experience, and assumption changes adopted as of December 31, 2004.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2003, the Company adopted, on a prospective basis, the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure — an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123". Therefore, employee stock options granted on and after January 1, 2003 are being expensed by the Company over the option vesting period, based on the estimated fair value of the option award on the date of grant. The estimated fair value is calculated based on a Black-Scholes option pricing model using assumptions and estimates that the Company believes are reasonable. Some of the assumptions and estimates, such as share price volatility and expected option holding period, are based in part on Moody's experience during the period since becoming a public company, which is limited. The use of different assumptions and estimates in the Black-Scholes option pricing model could produce materially different estimated fair values for option awards and related expense to be recognized over the option vesting period.

An increase in the following assumptions would have had the following estimated effect on operating income in 2004 (dollars in millions):

	<u>Assumption Used</u>		<u>Amount of Increase in Assumption</u>	<u>Estimated Impact on Operating Income in 2004 (Decrease)/Increase</u>
Expected Dividend Yield	2003 grants	0.41%	0.10%	\$0.2
	2004 grants	0.46%		
Expected Share Price Volatility	2003 and 2004 grants	30%	5%	(\$2.5)
Expected Option Holding Period	2003 and 2004 grants	5.0 years	1.0 year	(\$2.3)

Other Estimates

In addition, there are other accounting estimates within Moody's consolidated financial statements, including recoverability of deferred tax assets, anticipated distributions from non-U.S. subsidiaries, realizability of long-lived and intangible assets and valuation of investments in affiliates. Management believes the current assumptions and other considerations used to estimate amounts reflected in Moody's consolidated financial statements are appropriate. However, if actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating amounts reflected in Moody's consolidated financial statements, the resulting changes could have a material adverse effect on Moody's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on key accounting policies that impact Moody's.

Operating Segments

Prior to 2002, the Company operated in one reportable business segment – Ratings, which accounted for approximately 90% of the Company's total revenue. With the April 2002 acquisition of KMV and its combination with Moody's Risk Management Services to form Moody's KMV, Moody's now operates in two reportable business segments: Moody's Investors Service and Moody's KMV. Accordingly, in the second quarter of 2002, the

Table of Contents

Company restated its segment information for prior periods to conform to the current presentation. In order to provide additional information relating to Moody's operating results, the discussion below includes information analyzing operating results as if the acquisition of KMV had been consummated as of January 1, 2002. This information is presented in a manner consistent with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations", and is described in more detail in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements.

The Moody's Investors Service business consists of four rating groups — structured finance, corporate finance, financial institutions and sovereign risk, and public finance — that generate revenue principally from the assignment of credit ratings on fixed-income obligors and instruments in the debt markets, and research, which primarily generates revenue from the sale of investor-oriented credit research, principally produced by the rating groups. Given the dominance of Moody's Investors Service to Moody's overall results, the Company does not separately measure or report corporate expenses, nor are they allocated to the Company's business segments. Accordingly, all corporate expenses are included in operating income of the Moody's Investors Service segment and none have been allocated to the Moody's KMV segment.

The Moody's KMV business develops and distributes quantitative credit assessment products and services for banks and investors in credit-sensitive assets, credit training services and credit process software.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared With Year Ended December 31, 2003

Total Company Results

Moody's revenue for 2004 was \$1,438.3 million, an increase of \$191.7 million or 15.4% from \$1,246.6 million for 2003. Moody's achieved strong revenue growth in a number of business sectors. U.S. structured finance was the largest contributor to year over year growth, primarily due to residential mortgage backed and home equity loan securities. Research experienced robust growth in all geographies. U.S. corporate finance growth was primarily due to revenue related to the ratings of bank credit facilities and high yield issues. Global financial institutions contributed to growth as well, most notably in the U.S. insurance and real estate sectors and the U.S. and European banking sectors.

Revenue in the United States was \$911.2 million for 2004, an increase of \$115.9 million or 14.6% from \$795.3 million for 2003. Approximately \$52 million of this increase was due to growth in structured finance. The U.S. residential mortgage backed and home equity sector was the largest contributor, driven by the favorable interest rate environment and a strong housing market. In addition, over \$26 million of Moody's U.S. revenue growth was contributed by corporate finance, primarily reflecting strong activity in the ratings of bank credit facilities and high yield bonds. The U.S. research business contributed about \$20 million of growth. The financial institutions and MKMV businesses contributed approximately \$15 million and \$8 million, respectively, to Moody's United States growth. Public finance revenue in the U.S. declined by approximately \$5 million year-to-year, mainly due to a 6% decline in dollar issuance in the municipal bond market.

Moody's international revenue was \$527.1 million in 2004, an increase of \$75.8 million or 16.8% from \$451.3 million in 2003. Foreign currency translation accounted for approximately \$21 million of reported international revenue growth. Reported ratings revenue grew approximately \$45 million, with about \$27 million of that growth coming from structured finance. Europe contributed approximately 80% of the growth in international structured finance. Financial institutions and corporate finance contributed approximately \$13 million and \$6 million, respectively, to revenue growth. Research revenue growth of approximately \$23 million was primarily in Europe. MKMV contributed approximately \$8 million of revenue growth outside the U.S.

Moody's expenses of \$617.8 million in 2004 were \$66.9 million or 12.1% greater than \$550.9 million in 2003. Compensation and benefits continue to be Moody's largest expense, accounting for approximately two-thirds of

Table of Contents

total expenses in 2004 and 2003. Moody's increased its overall staffing by over 175 people, or 8%, during 2004 to support continued growth in the business. The table below shows Moody's staffing at year-end 2004 compared with year-end 2003.

	December 31, 2004			December 31, 2003		
	United States	International	Total	United States	International	Total
Moody's Investors Service	1,350	709	2,059	1,258	655	1,913
Moody's KMV	343	75	418	318	69	387
Total	1,693	784	2,477	1,576	724	2,300

Operating expenses were \$ 375.4 million in 2004, an increase of \$28.1 million or 8% from \$347.3 million in 2003. The largest contributor to this increase was growth in compensation and benefits expense of \$25 million, reflecting compensation increases, increased staffing, and higher stock-based compensation expense. Moody's global staffing reflected hiring in the specialist teams that support Moody's Enhanced Analysis Initiative, in several of Moody's U.S. and international ratings businesses, and at Moody's KMV. Stock-based compensation expense increased \$12.3 million year-to-year. As more fully discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the fair value method provisions of SFAS No. 123 prospectively beginning on January 1, 2003. The year-to-year increase in expense principally reflects the phasing in of expense over the current four-year equity plan vesting period as annual equity grants are made, as well as the effect of a higher share price on the value of the 2004 equity grants.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses were \$242.4 million in 2004, an increase of \$38.8 million or 19% from \$203.6 million in 2003. Year-to-year expense increases included growth in compensation and benefits of \$24 million, reflecting compensation increases, increased staffing, and \$4.7 million related to stock-based compensation as discussed above. Additional increases were due to higher rent and occupancy costs of approximately \$4 million to support business expansion, and higher professional services costs, including spending of approximately \$5 million related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance.

Operating income of \$786.4 million in 2004 rose \$123.3 million or 18.6% from \$663.1 million in 2003. Foreign currency translation contributed approximately \$6 million to operating income growth. Moody's operating margin for 2004 was 54.7% compared to 53.2% in 2003. The increase in margin principally reflected better-than-expected revenue growth.

Moody's reported \$15.1 million of interest and other non-operating expense, net in 2004 compared with \$6.7 million in 2003. The 2003 amount included a gain of \$13.6 million on an insurance recovery related to the September 11th tragedy, as discussed in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements. Interest expense was \$23.0 million in 2004 and \$23.5 million in 2003. The amounts in both periods included \$22.8 million of interest expense on Moody's \$300 million of private placement debt. Interest income was \$6.8 million in 2004 compared to \$1.7 million in 2003. The increase was due to a higher average investment balance as well as an increase in the weighted average yield. Foreign exchange gains were \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Moody's effective tax rate was 44.9% in 2004 compared to 44.6% in 2003. The 2004 and 2003 effective tax rates included charges aggregating approximately \$30.0 million and \$16.2 million, respectively, for increases in reserves related to legacy income tax exposures that were assumed by Moody's in connection with its separation from The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation in October 2000 (see Contingencies – Legacy Tax Matters, below).

Net income was \$425.1 million in 2004, an increase of \$61.2 million or 16.8% from \$363.9 million in 2003. Basic and diluted earnings per share for 2004 were \$2.86 and \$2.79, respectively, compared to basic and diluted earnings per share of \$2.44 and \$2.39, respectively, for 2003.

Segment Results

Moody's Investors Service

Moody's Investors Service revenue for 2004 was \$1,310.7 million, up \$176.0 million or 15.5% from \$1,134.7 million in 2003. Good growth was achieved in a number of ratings sectors as well as in research. Foreign currency translation contributed approximately \$21 million to reported revenue growth, reflecting the depreciation of the U.S. dollar, mainly versus the Euro. Price increases also contributed to year-to-year growth in reported revenue.

Structured finance revenue was \$538.6 million for 2004, an increase of \$78.0 million or 16.9% from \$460.6 million in the same period of 2003. Approximately \$51 million of this increase was in the United States, with the residential mortgage and home equity sector contributing nearly \$34 million of this amount. In this sector, growth was driven by increases in mortgage lending and home equity loans due to low interest rates, a strong housing market, and gains in market coverage. Good growth was also achieved in U.S. revenue from ratings of collateralized debt obligations (where the count of issues was up approximately 27% versus the prior year) and ratings of commercial mortgage backed securities (driven by record market issuance). International structured finance revenue grew approximately \$27 million; about 80% of the growth was attributable to Europe, with growth in all asset classes. Foreign currency translation contributed approximately \$9 million to year-to-year growth in global structured finance revenue. Price increases also contributed to year-to-year growth.

Corporate finance revenue was \$311.5 million in 2004, up \$32.7 million or 11.7% from \$278.8 million in 2003. Revenue grew by approximately \$26 million in the United States, reflecting strong growth in ratings of speculative-grade bonds as well as syndicated bank loans and other areas not related to public debt issuance. Price increases also contributed to revenue growth in this sector. These positive impacts were partially offset by the effects of a year-to-year decline in issuance of investment grade securities in the U.S. markets. International corporate finance revenue grew approximately \$6 million, with roughly one-half of the growth attributed to foreign currency translation. Underlying revenue growth primarily reflected higher issuance volumes in Asia outside of Japan and Australia. Issuance in Europe was weak compared to 2003. Speculative grade issuance was strong, but investment grade issuance declined year-to-year as improved corporate profitability reduced borrowing needs and mergers and acquisitions remained weak.

Revenue in the financial institutions and sovereign risk group was \$208.9 million for 2004, an increase of \$27.7 million or 15.3% from \$181.2 million in 2003. In the U.S., revenue grew \$15 million year-to-year, principally reflecting refinancings in the real estate sector, new relationships in the insurance sector, and strong banking issuance as interest rate spreads tightened. Revenue from outside the U.S. grew approximately \$13 million over the prior year, primarily in Europe. European growth reflected modest increases in issuance volumes, primarily in the banking sector, and good growth from new rating relationships. Foreign currency translation contributed approximately \$3 million to revenue growth.

Public finance revenue was \$82.2 million for 2004, a decrease of \$5.0 million or 5.7% from \$87.2 million in 2003. Dollar issuance in the municipal bond market declined 6% versus the same period in 2003, reflecting higher borrowing costs, a reduced pool of debt that was refunded and reduced borrowing needs due to strengthened tax receipts.

Research revenue of \$169.5 million for 2004 was \$42.6 million or 33.6% higher than the \$126.9 million reported in 2003. Revenue grew by approximately \$20 million in the U.S. and \$16 million in Europe. The strong performance reflected growth in licensing of Moody's information to financial customers for internal use and redistribution, sales of new products to existing clients and new clients. Foreign currency translation also contributed approximately \$6 million to year-to-year growth in reported revenue.

Moody's Investors Service operating, selling, general and administrative expenses, including corporate expenses, were \$513.7 million in 2004, an increase of \$51.5 million or 11.1% from \$462.2 million in 2003. Compensation and benefits expense accounted for \$37 million of the expense growth. This increase included \$15.0 million related to stock-based compensation, as discussed above. The growth also reflected compensation increases and staffing

Table of Contents

growth in many areas, including the specialist teams that support Moody's Enhanced Analysis Initiative. Additionally, rent and occupancy costs increased approximately \$5 million due to business expansion. Year-to-year expense growth also includes higher professional services costs. Foreign currency translation contributed approximately \$14 million to year-to-year growth in reported expenses. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$16.9 million in 2004 versus \$15.4 million in 2003.

Moody's Investors Service operating income of \$780.1 million in 2004 was up \$123.0 million or 18.7% from \$657.1 million in 2003. Foreign currency translation contributed approximately \$7 million to the year-to-year growth in operating income.

Moody's KMV

Moody's KMV revenue of \$127.6 million for 2004 was up \$15.7 million or 14.0% from \$111.9 million in 2003. Revenue grew by approximately \$8 million in the U.S. and approximately \$4 million in Europe. About \$14 million of MKMV's global revenue growth was related to subscriptions for its credit risk assessment products, including CreditEdge™, RiskCalc™ and Portfolio Manager™, representing high teens percent revenue growth. Sales of MKMV's credit processing software and training products accounted for approximately \$2 million of revenue growth.

MKMV's operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$104.1 million for 2004, an increase of \$15.4 million or 17.4% from \$88.7 million for 2003. The year-to-year increase primarily reflected growth of \$11 million in compensation and related expenses. This reflected higher staffing to support the continued growth of the business as well as a \$2.0 million increase related to stock-based compensation, as discussed above. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$17.2 million in both 2004 and 2003. MKMV operating income was \$6.3 million for 2004 compared with \$6.0 million for 2003. The effects of foreign currency translation reduced MKMV reported year-to-year growth in operating income by approximately \$2 million.

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared With Year Ended December 31, 2002

Total Company Results

Moody's revenue for 2003 was \$1,246.6 million, an increase of \$223.3 million or 21.8% from \$1,023.3 million in the prior year. Assuming that Moody's had acquired KMV on January 1, 2002, Moody's pro forma 2002 revenue would have been \$1,038.4 million and year-to-year pro forma growth in 2003 would have been 20.1%. The Company benefited from strong growth in a number of U.S. ratings sectors, including residential mortgage-backed securities, home equity loan securitizations and the high yield segment of the corporate bond market, and from strong corporate issuance in Europe in the second half of the year. Moody's research business produced very strong results and Moody's KMV also generated good growth. In addition, foreign currency translation accounted for approximately \$22 million of reported revenue growth.

Revenue in the United States was \$795.3 million for 2003, an increase of \$114.5 million or 16.8% from \$680.8 million in 2002. Assuming that Moody's had acquired KMV on January 1, 2002, pro forma United States revenue for 2002 would have been \$688.4 million and year-to-year pro forma growth would have been 15.5%. Strong growth was achieved within Moody's Investors Service, led by structured finance, corporate finance and research.

Moody's international revenue was \$451.3 million in 2003, an increase of \$108.8 million or 31.8% over \$342.5 million in 2002. Assuming that Moody's had acquired KMV on January 1, 2002, pro forma international revenue for 2002 would have been \$350.0 million and year-to-year pro forma growth would have been 28.9%. Growth was driven by strong performance in Europe and several other regions, with foreign currency translation accounting for approximately 650 basis points of reported revenue growth. International revenue accounted for 36% of Moody's total revenue in 2003, compared with 33% in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Overall, Moody's expenses of \$550.9 million in 2003 were \$90.3 million or 19.6% greater than \$460.6 million in 2002. Compensation and benefits continues to be Moody's largest expense, accounting for approximately two-thirds of total expenses in 2003 and 2002. Moody's increased its overall staffing by almost 200 people, or 9%, during 2003 to support continued growth in the business. The table below shows Moody's staffing at year-end 2003 compared with year-end 2002.

	December 31, 2003			December 31, 2002		
	United States	International	Total	United States	International	Total
Moody's Investors Service	1,258	655	1,913	1,171	606	1,777
Moody's KMV	318	69	387	276	57	333
Total	1,576	724	2,300	1,447	663	2,110

Operating expenses were \$347.3 million in 2003, an increase of \$62.0 million or 21.7% from \$285.3 million in 2002. Assuming that Moody's had owned KMV for all of 2002, pro forma operating expenses would have been \$290.1 million in 2002, and year-to-year growth would have been \$57.2 million or 19.7%. The largest contributor to this increase was growth in compensation and benefits expense of \$48 million. This reflected compensation increases as well as increased staffing in Europe, the global structured finance business, the specialist teams that support Moody's Enhanced Analysis Initiative, and MKMV. The year-to-year operating expense increase also reflected \$8.0 million related to the Company's change in accounting for stock-based compensation, mainly for stock options granted in February 2003.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses were \$203.6 million in 2003, an increase of \$28.3 million or 16.1% from \$175.3 million in 2002. Assuming that Moody's had owned KMV for all of 2002, pro forma SG&A expenses would have been \$183.1 million in 2002, and year-to-year growth would have been \$20.5 million or 11.2%. Year-to-year expense increases included higher professional fees of \$4 million, mainly for legal costs, increased compensation and benefits of approximately \$4 million, higher rent and occupancy costs to support business expansion, and \$2.8 million related to the Company's change in accounting for stock-based compensation, mainly for stock options granted in February 2003.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased to \$32.6 million in 2003 from \$24.6 million in 2002. Amortization of acquired software and intangible assets related to the KMV acquisition was \$8.8 million in 2003 compared with \$6.3 million in 2002. If the acquisition of KMV had been completed as of January 1, 2002, pro forma depreciation and amortization would have been \$27.7 million in 2002 and the pro forma year-to-year increase would have been \$4.9 million. This increase was principally related to computer hardware and software placed into service during 2003.

Operating income of \$663.1 million in 2003 rose \$125.0 million or 23.2% from \$538.1 million in 2002. This increase was primarily the result of the revenue growth mentioned above. The strength of foreign currencies, especially the Euro, relative to the U.S. dollar accounted for approximately \$8 million of reported operating income growth. Moody's operating margin for 2003 was 53.2% compared to 52.6% in 2002. The increase reflected the strong growth in revenue in the Moody's Investors Service business without a proportional increase in expenses. Partially offsetting this impact were: (1) growth in Moody's KMV revenue at a lower incremental margin than the Moody's Investors Service business; and (2) 2003 expense of \$10.8 million related to stock-based compensation with no counterpart in 2002.

Interest and other non-operating expense, net was \$6.7 million in 2003 compared with \$20.7 million in 2002. The 2003 amount included a gain of \$13.6 million on an insurance recovery related to the September 11th tragedy, as discussed in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements. Interest expense was \$23.5 million in 2003 and 2002. The amounts in both periods included \$22.8 million of interest expense on Moody's \$300 million of private placement debt. Interest income was \$1.7 million in 2003, down from \$2.3 million in 2002 despite higher invested cash, due to lower U.S. interest rates in 2003 compared to 2002. Foreign exchange gains were \$2.2 million in 2003 and \$0.3 million in 2002.

Table of Contents

Moody's effective tax rate was 44.6% in 2003 compared to 44.2% in 2002. The 2003 effective tax rate included the impact of a \$16.2 million increase in reserves related to legacy income tax exposures that were assumed by Moody's in connection with its separation from The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation in October, 2000 (see Contingencies – Legacy Tax Matters, below). This charge accounted for a 250 basis point increase in the effective rate in 2003. This increase was partially offset by the favorable impacts of continued operating growth in jurisdictions with lower tax rates than New York and tax benefits from the establishment of a New York captive insurance company during 2002.

Net income was \$363.9 million in 2003, an increase of \$75.0 million or 26.0% from \$288.9 million in 2002. Earnings per share were \$2.44 basic and \$2.39 diluted in 2003, compared with \$1.88 basic and \$1.83 diluted in 2002.

Segment Results

Moody's Investors Service

Revenue at Moody's Investors Service for 2003 was \$1,134.7 million, up \$192.9 million or 20.5% from \$941.8 million in 2002. Good growth was achieved in a number of ratings sectors as well as in research. Foreign currency translation accounted for approximately \$22 million of reported revenue growth. Price increases also contributed to year-to-year growth in reported revenue.

Structured finance revenue was \$460.6 million for 2003, an increase of \$76.3 million or 19.9% from \$384.3 million in 2002. Approximately \$48 million of this increase was in United States revenue, which grew in the mid-teens percent range, and \$28 million was in international, which grew in the low twenty percent range. In the United States, the residential mortgage and home equity loan sector contributed \$15 million of revenue growth, as low interest rates drove strong refinancing activity. Good growth was also achieved in revenue from ratings of asset backed securities, reflecting year-to-year growth of about 10% in issuance volumes, particularly student loans, and higher average prices due to more complex transactions. Revenue from ratings of credit derivatives also grew year-to-year. Outside the United States, European structured finance was the main growth driver, contributing \$24 million of year-to-year revenue growth. This principally reflected growth in collateralized debt obligations and residential mortgage backed securities. Foreign currency translation and price increases also contributed to year-to-year growth in global structured finance revenue.

Corporate finance revenue was \$278.8 million in 2003, up \$51.1 million or 22.4% from \$227.7 million in 2002. Revenue grew by \$27 million in the United States, where the number of speculative grade issues rose significantly year-to-year due to refinancings and new issuers. In addition, the number of investment grade issuance transactions increased nearly 10% year-to-year, although dollar issuance declined. U.S. revenue growth was also derived from areas not related to public debt issuance such as syndicated bank loan ratings and relationship-based fees. In Europe, revenue grew by \$19 million year-to-year. The dollar volume of issuance was up nearly 40% primarily due to refinancing activity (as spreads tightened) and new issuers accessing the market. Price increases also contributed to year-to-year growth in global corporate finance revenue.

Revenue in the financial institutions and sovereign risk group was \$181.2 million for 2003, an increase of \$26.2 million or 16.9% from \$155.0 million for 2002. The year-to-year growth was almost wholly due to Europe, where revenue growth exceeded 40%. This reflected a substantial year-to-year increase in issuance and the addition of new issuers. In the U.S., revenue was flat versus strong prior year comparisons. Price increases also contributed to global financial institutions revenue growth over the prior year.

Public finance revenue of \$87.2 million for 2003 was up \$6.0 million or 7.4% from \$81.2 million in 2002. Dollar issuance in the municipal bond market grew 5% versus 2002, but issuance of short-term notes declined year-to-year. Refinancings represented approximately 34% of total dollar issuance in 2003 versus 33% in 2002.

Research revenue increased \$33.3 million or 35.6% to \$126.9 million for 2003, compared with \$93.6 million for 2002. Revenue grew by \$18 million in the U.S. and \$13 million in Europe. The strong performance was driven by

Table of Contents

growth in licensing of Moody's information to financial customers for internal use and redistribution, sales of new products to existing clients and new clients. Foreign currency translation also contributed to year-to-year growth in reported revenue.

Moody's Investors Service operating, selling, general and administrative expenses, including corporate expenses, were \$462.2 million in 2003, an increase of \$76.5 million or 19.8% from \$385.7 million in 2002. Compensation and benefits expense accounted for \$52 million of the total expense growth. This reflected compensation increases and staffing growth in Europe and the global structured finance business as well as the specialist teams that support Moody's Enhanced Analysis Initiative. Despite the increase in staffing, incentive compensation decreased slightly year-to-year due to lower growth in the Company's operating results in 2003 compared with 2002. Other year-to-year expense increases included: \$9.6 million related to the Company's change in accounting for stock-based compensation (mainly related to stock options granted in February 2003); \$7 million for increased professional fees, mainly for legal fees and technology consulting costs; and \$4 million related to rent, occupancy and travel related costs in connection with business expansion. Foreign currency translation also contributed to year-to-year growth in reported expenses. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$15.4 million in 2003 versus \$12.7 million in 2002. The year-to-year increase of \$2.7 million principally related to computer hardware and software placed into service during 2003.

Moody's Investors Service operating income of \$657.1 million in 2003 was up \$113.7 million or 20.9% from \$543.4 million in 2002.

Moody's KMV

The following table shows Moody's KMV reported results for 2003 compared with the reported results for 2002 (the "reported comparisons"), and compared with 2002 on a pro forma basis presented as if Moody's had acquired KMV on January 1, 2002 (the "pro forma comparisons"), in a manner consistent with SFAS No. 141 and as further described in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements. The discussion of MKMV results of operations that follows is based on the pro forma comparisons.

(dollars in millions)	Reported Comparisons				Pro Forma Comparisons		
	2003	2002	2003 Variance to 2002		2002	2003 Variance to 2002	
			\$	%		\$	%
Revenue	\$ 111.9	\$ 81.5	\$ 30.4	37.3%	\$ 96.6	\$ 15.3	15.8%
Operating expenses	88.7	74.9	13.8	18.4%	87.5	1.2	1.4%
Depreciation and amortization	17.2	11.9	5.3	44.5%	15.0	2.2	14.7%
Operating income (loss)	\$ 6.0	(\$5.3)	\$ 11.3		(\$5.9)	\$ 11.9	

MKMV's pro forma year-to-year revenue increase in 2003 principally reflected \$12 million of growth in subscription revenue from credit risk assessment products, including Credit Edge™, RiskCalc™, and Portfolio Manager™. Revenue from license fees and maintenance related to credit processing software grew \$2 million year-to-year.

Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses in 2003 increased slightly compared to pro forma 2002 expenses. Compensation and benefits expense was flat year-to-year. The impacts of compensation increases and higher staffing to support the continued growth of the business were offset by lower expenses for incentive compensation due to below target operating performance in 2003, whereas performance was above target in 2002. Commission expense for third party distributors declined due to lower sales from this source in 2003. Expenses in 2003 included \$1.2 million related to the Company's change in accounting for stock-based compensation, mainly for options granted in February 2003. Pro forma depreciation and amortization expense reflected \$8.8 million of amortization of acquired KMV software and intangible assets in each period. The pro forma year-to-year increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to increased amortization of capitalized software development costs.

Market Risk

Moody's maintains operations in 19 countries outside the United States. Approximately 25% of the Company's revenue was billed in currencies other than the U.S. dollar in 2004, principally the Euro. Approximately 30% of the Company's expenses were incurred in currencies other than the U.S. dollar in 2004, principally the Euro and the British Pound. As such, the Company is exposed to market risk from changes in foreign exchange rates.

As of December 31, 2004, approximately 18% of Moody's assets were located outside the U.S. Of Moody's aggregate cash and cash equivalents of \$606.1 million at December 31, 2004, approximately \$102 million was located outside the United States (with \$83 million in the U.K.), making the Company susceptible to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The effects of changes in the value of foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar on assets and liabilities of non-U.S. operations are charged or credited to the cumulative translation adjustment in shareholders' equity.

Moody's cash equivalents consist of investments in high quality short-term securities within and outside the United States. By policy, the Company limits the amount it can invest with any one issuer and allocates its cash equivalents among various money market mutual funds, short-term certificates of deposit or issuers of high-grade commercial paper.

The Company has not engaged in foreign currency hedging transactions nor does the Company have any derivative financial instruments. However, the Company continues to assess the need to enter into hedging transactions to limit its risk due to fluctuations in exchange rates and may enter into such transactions in the future.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

The Company is currently financing its operations and capital expenditures through cash flow from operations. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$519.7 million, \$468.4 million, and \$334.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

Moody's net cash provided by operating activities in 2004 increased by \$51.3 million compared with 2003. Contributing to this growth was the increase in net income of \$61.2 million, which included increases in non-cash expenses of \$17.0 million related to stock-based compensation, and \$13.8 million related to legacy tax provisions for which cash payments have not yet been made. In addition, cash tax benefits from the exercise of stock options in 2004 exceeded the prior year by \$22.6 million. Partially offsetting these sources of cash in 2004 were negative effects due to timing of income tax payments. In 2004, the Company made approximately \$47 million of income tax payments related to 2003 tax liabilities. This includes approximately \$11 million related to the change in treatment of tax benefits for stock options outstanding prior to the Company's separation from Dun & Bradstreet, as discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Moody's net cash provided by operating activities in 2003 increased by \$133.6 million compared with 2002. The two largest factors affecting the year-to-year increase were growth in net income of \$75.0 million, and a year-over-year decrease of \$16.0 million in income tax payments despite an increase of \$64.0 million in the income tax provision. Income tax payments totaled \$210.6 million in 2003 compared to \$226.6 million in 2002. The 2002 amount included \$50 million of tax payments that were deferred from 2001 to 2002 due to the September 11th tragedy. Also, the 2003 amount was favorably affected by timing of tax payments. In addition to the two factors noted above, growth in deferred revenue accounted for \$21.9 million of the year-to-year increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2003, and higher non-cash expenses for depreciation and amortization and stock-based compensation accounted for an additional \$18.8 million of favorable variance. Partially offsetting these impacts, Moody's investment in accounts receivable increased by \$75.2 million year-to-year. This increase reflected continued growth in the business, significant year-to-year growth in billings in the fourth quarter of 2003 and an increase in days billings outstanding during 2003.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities was \$24.8 million, \$17.1 million and \$223.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Investing activities in each year principally consisted of capital expenditures, investments in affiliates and acquisitions (most notably the 2002 acquisition of KMV). The 2004 amount included approximately \$3.5 million related to investments in rating agencies in Russia, Korea, Egypt and India. The 2003 amount included \$1.1 million of cash acquired in connection with an increase in the Company's ownership of Argentine rating agencies, as described in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements. Cash used for acquisitions included \$205.4 million (net of cash acquired) for KMV in 2002. Spending for property and equipment and for the capitalization of development costs for MKMV's software products totaled \$21.3 million, \$17.9 million and \$18.1 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$162.3 million, \$227.7 million and \$236.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. During 2003, the Company repaid \$107.1 million of borrowings that had been outstanding under its bank revolving credit facility at December 31, 2002. Spending for share repurchases totaled \$221.3 million in 2004, \$171.7 million in 2003 and \$369.9 million in 2002. These amounts were offset in part by proceeds from exercises of stock options of \$105.0 million in 2004, \$79.0 million in 2003 and \$54.0 million in 2002. Dividends paid were \$44.7 million, \$26.8 million and \$27.8 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The increase in 2004 reflects a quarterly dividend of \$0.075 per share in 2004 versus \$0.045 per share in 2003 and 2002.

Future Cash Requirements

Moody's currently expects to fund expenditures as well as liquidity needs created by changes in working capital from internally generated funds. The Company believes that it has the financial resources needed to meet its cash requirements for the next twelve months and expects to have positive operating cash flow for fiscal year 2005. Cash requirements for periods beyond the next twelve months will depend among other things on the Company's profitability and its ability to manage working capital requirements.

The Company currently intends to use a portion of its cash flow to pay a quarterly dividend, which the Board of Directors raised from \$0.045 per share to \$0.075 per share in December 2003. On February 15, 2005, the board voted to increase the quarterly dividend per share to \$0.11, before giving effect to a proposed two-for-one stock split, payable on June 15, 2005 to shareholders of record as of May 27, 2005. If the stock split is effected, as discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under "Dividends", then on a post-split basis, the dividend will be increased to 5.5 cents per share from the 3.75 cents per share that otherwise would have been paid absent the dividend increase. The continued payment of dividends at this rate, or at all, is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

The Company currently also intends to use the majority of its remaining cash flow provided by operating activities to continue its share repurchase program. However, the Company exercises discretion as to when and at what price to repurchase shares. Accordingly, share repurchase activity may fluctuate from quarter to quarter, and there may be periods in which the Company does not repurchase shares.

In addition, the Company will from time to time consider cash outlays for acquisitions of or investments in complementary businesses, products, services and technologies. The Company may also be required to make future cash outlays, including during 2005, to pay to New D&B its share of potential liabilities related to the legacy tax and legal contingencies that are discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under "Contingencies". In addition, management is currently evaluating refinancing alternatives for its \$300 million of notes payable outstanding, which mature in September 2005. It is possible that the Company may not immediately refinance these notes when they mature, in which case their repayment would substantially reduce the Company's cash balance. These potential cash outlays could be material and might affect liquidity requirements, and they could cause the Company to pursue additional financing. There can be no assurance that financing to meet cash requirements will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to the Company, if at all.

Table of Contents

Indebtedness

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company had outstanding \$300 million of notes payable. The Company also had in place a \$160 million bank revolving credit facility. There were no borrowings under the revolving credit facility during 2004.

The \$300 million of notes payable were secured in connection with the 2000 Distribution, as that term is defined in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. In connection with the 2000 Distribution, Moody's was allocated \$195.5 million of debt at September 30, 2000. Moody's funded this debt with borrowings under a \$160 million unsecured bank revolving credit facility and a bank bridge line of credit. On October 3, 2000 the Company issued \$300 million of notes payable (the "Notes") in a private placement. The cash proceeds from the Notes were used in part to repay the outstanding balance on the revolving credit facility and to repay the bridge line of credit. The Notes have a five-year term and bear interest at an annual rate of 7.61%, payable semi-annually. In the event that Moody's pays all or part of the Notes in advance of their maturity (the "prepaid principal"), such prepayment will be subject to a penalty calculated based on the excess, if any, of the discounted value of the remaining scheduled payments, as defined in the agreement, over the prepaid principal. Management is in the process of evaluating refinancing alternatives for the Notes when they mature in September 2005. At December 31, 2004, the Notes have been classified as a current liability.

On September 1, 2004, Moody's entered into a five-year senior, unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Facility") in an aggregate principal amount of \$160 million that expires in September 2009. This Facility replaced the \$80 million 5-year facility that was scheduled to expire in September 2005 and the \$80 million 364-day facility that expired in September 2004. Interest on borrowings under the Facility is payable at rates that are based on the London InterBank Offered Rate plus a premium that can range from 17 basis points to 47.5 basis points, depending on the Company's ratio of total indebtedness to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Earnings Coverage Ratio"), as defined in the related agreement. At December 31, 2004, such premium was 17 basis points. The Company also pays quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the Facility. The quarterly fees can range from 8 basis points of the Facility amount to 15 basis points, depending on the Company's Earnings Coverage Ratio, and were 8 basis points at December 31, 2004. Under the Facility, the Company also pays a utilization fee of 12.5 basis points on borrowings outstanding when the aggregate amount outstanding under the Facility exceeds 50% of the Facility.

Management may consider pursuing additional long-term financing when it is appropriate in light of cash requirements for share repurchase and other strategic opportunities, which would result in higher financing costs.

The Notes and the Facility (the "Agreements") contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as defined in the related agreements. The Agreements also contain financial covenants that, among other things, require the Company to maintain an interest coverage ratio, as defined in the related agreements, of not less than 3 to 1 for any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, and an Earnings Coverage Ratio, as defined in the related agreements, of not more than 4 to 1 at the end of any fiscal quarter. At December 31, 2004, the Company was in compliance with such covenants. Upon the occurrence of certain financial or economic events, significant corporate events or certain other events constituting an event of default under the Agreements, all loans outstanding under the Agreements (including accrued interest and fees payable thereunder) may be declared immediately due and payable and all commitments under the Agreements may be terminated. In addition, certain other events of default under the Agreements would automatically result in amounts due becoming immediately due and payable and all commitments being terminated.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, Moody's did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as special purpose or variable interest entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited

Table of Contents

purposes. As such, Moody's is not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if it had engaged in such relationships.

Contractual Obligations

The following table presents payments due under the Company's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2004.

(in millions)	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	Over 5 Years
Notes payable (1)	\$ 322.8	\$ 322.8	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Operating lease obligations	65.0	15.7	22.1	15.3	11.9
Capital lease obligations	1.3	1.3	—	—	—
Contingent payment related to acquisition of Korea Investors Service (2)	3.7	3.7	—	—	—
Purchase obligations (3)	5.9	2.7	2.8	0.4	—
Total	\$ 398.7	\$ 346.2	\$ 24.9	\$ 15.7	\$ 11.9

- (1) Includes \$5.7 million of accrued interest as of December 31, 2004 and \$17.1 million of interest that will accrue and be due from January 1, 2005 through September 30, 2005, when the notes mature.
- (2) This amount reflects Moody's current estimate of the contingent payment related to the acquisition of Korea Investors Service, which will be determined based on the net income of Korea Investors Service for the three-year period ended December 31, 2004. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Purchase obligations include contracts for telecommunications, data processing services and back-up facilities, and professional services.

2005 Outlook

Moody's outlook for the full year 2005 is based on assumptions about many macroeconomic and capital market factors, including interest rates, corporate profitability and business investment spending, merger and acquisition activity, consumer spending, residential mortgage refinancing activity, securitization levels and capital markets issuance. There is an important degree of uncertainty surrounding these assumptions and, if actual conditions differ from these assumptions, Moody's results for the year may differ from the outlook presented below.

In the U.S., the Company expects mid-single-digit percent revenue growth for the ratings and research business for the full year 2005. In the U.S. structured finance market, Moody's expects that revenue from rating residential mortgage and home equity securities will decline by approximately twenty percent or more in 2005 from the record level of 2004. The Company expects good year-over-year growth in several other sectors of U.S. structured finance, including asset-backed securities and credit derivatives, and flat to modest growth in asset-backed commercial paper and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Accordingly, for the full year Moody's expects a modest decline in U.S. structured finance revenue. In the U.S. corporate finance business, the Company expects that modest growth in investment-grade issuance and bank loan ratings, and revenue from our Enhanced Analysis Initiative, will offset relative weakness in speculative-grade bond activity, resulting in high single-digit percent growth in revenue. Flat issuance volume in the financial institutions sector in the U.S. is expected to be offset by revenue from the Enhanced Analysis Initiative and new rating relationships, allowing low double-digit percent growth in this business in the U.S. in 2005. Moody's expects a second consecutive year of modest year-to-year revenue decline in U.S. public finance. The Company is also forecasting continued strong growth in the U.S. research business.

Outside the U.S. Moody's expects growth in ratings and research revenue in the range of 20%, with double-digit percent growth in all major business lines in almost all regions assisted by favorable foreign currency impacts. The Company's projection assumes improved corporate issuance in Europe after a relatively weak 2004, modest

Table of Contents

issuance growth in the financial institutions sector and growth in several sectors of structured finance in Europe and Japan. In addition, Moody's expects continued strong growth in international research revenue.

Finally, the Company continues to expect global revenue to rise in the mid-teens percent range at Moody's KMV, reflecting good growth in both credit risk assessment subscription products and credit processing software products.

For Moody's overall, the Company expects high single-digit percent revenue growth for the full year 2005 including the positive impact of currency translation. Moody's expects the operating margin including the impact of expensing stock-based compensation to be down approximately 200 basis points in 2005 compared with 2004. This reflects slower revenue growth in 2005 than in 2004 and continued investments in expanding geographically, improving analytic processes, and pursuing ratings transparency and compliance initiatives in the Moody's Investors Service business, introducing new products and improving technology. For 2005 the Company expects that year-over-year growth in diluted earnings per share will be in the mid-teens percent range. This expected growth includes the impacts of the legacy tax provisions in 2004 and the expensing of stock-based compensation in 2004 and 2005. The impact of expensing stock-based compensation is expected to be in the range of \$0.18 — \$0.20 per diluted share in 2005, compared to \$0.11 per diluted share in 2004. The estimated 2005 impact excludes any effects of adopting Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment", which will be effective as of July 1, 2005, and is more fully discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Additional Factors That May Affect Future Results

The following risk factors and other information included in this annual report on Form 10-K should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones the Company faces. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that the Company's management currently deems immaterial also may impair its business operations. If any of the following risks occur, Moody's business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Changes in the Volume of Debt Securities Issued in Domestic and/or Global Capital Markets and Changes in Interest Rates and Other Volatility in the Financial Markets

Approximately 80% of Moody's revenue in 2004 was derived from ratings, a significant portion of which was related to the issuance of credit-sensitive securities in the global capital markets. Moody's revenue growth from these sources in 2004 was lower than its growth in 2001 through 2003 as strong growth in global structured finance and financial institutions issuance, and ratings of U.S. high-yield issues and bank credit facilities, was partially offset by the impacts of declines in investment grade corporate finance issuance volumes in the U.S. and Europe. The Company anticipates that a substantial part of its business will continue to be dependent on the number and dollar volume of debt securities issued in the capital markets. Therefore, the Company's results could be adversely affected by a reduction in the level of debt issuance.

Unfavorable financial or economic conditions that either reduce investor demand for debt securities or reduce issuers' willingness or ability to issue such securities could reduce the number and dollar volume of debt issuance for which Moody's provides ratings services. In addition, increases in interest rates or credit spreads, volatility in financial markets or the interest rate environment, significant political or economic events, defaults of significant issuers and other market and economic factors may negatively impact the general level of debt issuance, the debt issuance plans of certain categories of borrowers, and/or the types of credit-sensitive products being offered. A sustained period of market decline or weakness could also have a material adverse effect on Moody's business and financial results.

Possible Loss of Market Share or Revenue Through Competition or Regulation

The markets for credit ratings, research and credit risk management services are intensely competitive. Moody's competes on the basis of a number of factors, including quality of ratings, client service, research, reputation, price,

Table of Contents

geographic scope, range of products and technological innovation. Moody's faces increasing competition from S&P, Fitch, DBRS, local rating agencies in a number of jurisdictions and niche companies that provide ratings for particular types of financial products or issuers (such as A.M. Best Company in the insurance industry). Since Moody's believes that some of its most significant challenges and opportunities will arise outside the U.S., it will have to compete with rating agencies that may have a stronger local presence or a longer operating history in those markets. These local providers or comparable competitors that may emerge in the future may receive support from local governments or other institutions.

Currently, Moody's, S&P, Fitch, DBRS and A.M. Best Company, Inc. are designated as NRSROs by the SEC. On June 4, 2003, the SEC issued a Concept Release regarding the credit ratings industry, wherein it posed and requested public comment on a series of questions categorized into three broad areas: 1) should credit ratings continue to be used for regulatory purposes under the federal securities laws; 2) if so, what should be the process for determining whose credit ratings to use; and, 3) if credit ratings continue to be used in federal securities laws, what is the appropriate level of oversight for the agencies whose ratings are used?

Numerous market participants, including Moody's, responded to the call for comment. Moody's response can be found on the Company's website at www.moody's.com. At present, Moody's is unable to assess the likelihood of any regulatory changes that may result from the SEC's ongoing review. However, the elimination of the NRSRO concept, retention of the NRSRO concept with different regulatory oversight, or SEC recognition of additional NRSROs could result in loss of market share or revenue for Moody's, or higher costs of operations.

Introduction of Competing Products or Technologies by Other Companies

The markets for credit ratings, research and credit risk management services are increasingly competitive. The ability to provide innovative products and technologies that anticipate customers' changing requirements and utilize emerging technological trends is a key factor in maintaining market share. Competitors may develop quantitative methodologies or related services for assessing credit risk that customers and market participants may deem preferable to or more cost-effective or more valuable than the credit risk assessment methods currently employed by Moody's.

Increased Pricing Pressure from Competitors and/or Customers

In the credit rating, research and credit risk management markets, competition for customers and market share has spurred more aggressive tactics by some competitors in areas such as pricing and service. Moody's intends to continue providing the highest quality products and the best service to its customers and the capital markets. However, if its pricing and services are not sufficiently competitive with its current and future competitors, Moody's may lose market share.

Possible Loss of Key Employees to Investment or Commercial Banks or Elsewhere and Related Compensation Cost Pressures

Moody's success depends in part upon recruiting and retaining highly skilled, experienced financial analysts and other professionals. Competition for qualified staff in the financial services industry is intense, and Moody's ability to attract staff could be impaired if it is unable to offer competitive compensation and other incentives. Investment banks and other competitors for analyst talent may be able to offer higher compensation than Moody's. Moody's also may not be able to identify and hire employees outside the U.S. with the required experience or skills to perform sophisticated credit analysis. Moody's ability to effectively compete will continue to depend, among other things, on its ability to attract new employees and to retain and motivate existing employees.

Exposure to Litigation Related to Moody's Rating Opinions

Moody's faces litigation from time to time from parties claiming damages relating to ratings actions. In addition, as Moody's international business expands, these types of claims may increase because foreign jurisdictions may not

Table of Contents

have legal protections or liability standards comparable to those in the U.S. (such as protections for the expression of credit opinions as is provided by the First Amendment). These risks often may be difficult to assess or quantify and their existence and magnitude often remains unknown for substantial periods of time.

Potential Emergence of Government-Sponsored Credit Rating Agencies

When governments adopt regulations that require debt securities to be rated, establish criteria for credit ratings or authorize only certain entities to provide credit ratings, the competitive balance among rating agencies and the level of demand for ratings may be positively or negatively affected. Government-mandated ratings criteria may also have the effect of displacing objective assessments of creditworthiness. In these circumstances, issuers may be less likely to base their choice of rating agencies on criteria such as independence and credibility, and more likely to base their choice on their assumption as to which credit rating agency might provide a higher rating, which may negatively affect the Company.

Potential for New U.S., Foreign, State and Local Legislation and Regulations, Including Those Relating to Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations

In the United States and other countries, the laws and regulations applicable to credit ratings and rating agencies continue to evolve and are presently subject to review by a number of legislative or regulatory bodies, including the SEC in the United States and the CESR on behalf of the European Union. It is possible that such reviews could lead to greater oversight or regulation concerning the issuance of credit ratings or the activities of credit rating agencies. Such additional regulations could, potentially, increase the costs associated with the operation of a credit rating agency, alter the rating agencies' communications with the issuers as part of the rating assignment process, increase the legal risk associated with the issuance of credit ratings, change the regulatory framework to which credit rating agencies are subject and/or affect the competitive environment in which credit rating agencies operate. A description of certain of the more recent regulatory initiatives in the United States and other countries is described above under the section entitled "Regulation" in Item 1, "Business", of this Form 10-K. At present, Moody's is unable to predict the regulatory changes that may result from ongoing reviews by the SEC or other regulatory bodies or the effect that any such changes may have on its business.

Table of Contents

Multinational Operations

Moody's maintains offices outside the U.S. and derives a significant portion of its revenue from sources outside the U.S. Operations in several different countries expose Moody's to a number of legal, economic and regulatory risks such as:

- changes in legal and regulatory requirements affecting either Moody's operations or its customers' use of ratings
- possible nationalization, expropriation, price controls and other restrictive governmental actions
- restrictions on the ability to convert local currency into U.S. dollars
- currency fluctuations
- export and import restrictions, tariffs and other trade barriers
- difficulty in staffing and managing offices as a result of, among other things, distance, travel, cultural differences and intense competition for trained personnel
- longer payment cycles and problems in collecting receivables
- political and economic instability
- potentially adverse tax consequences

Although such factors have not historically had a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operations of the Company, any of these factors could have such an effect in the future.

Contingencies

From time to time, Moody's is involved in legal and tax proceedings, claims and litigation that are incidental to the Company's business, including claims based on ratings assigned by Moody's. Management periodically assesses the Company's liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters, based upon the latest information available. For those matters where it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the probable amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company believes it has recorded appropriate reserves in the consolidated financial statements and periodically adjusts these reserves as appropriate. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of a liability, if any. As additional information becomes available, the Company adjusts its assessments and estimates of such liabilities accordingly.

Based on its review of the latest information available, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability of the Company in connection with pending legal and tax proceedings, claims and litigation will not have a material

Table of Contents

adverse effect on Moody's financial position, results of operations or cash flows, subject to the contingencies described below.

Legacy Contingencies

To understand the Company's exposure to the potential liabilities described below, it is important to understand the relationship between Moody's and New D&B, and the relationship among New D&B and its predecessors and other parties who, through various corporate reorganizations and related contractual commitments, have assumed varying degrees of responsibility with respect to such matters.

In November 1996, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation through a spin-off separated into three separate public companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, ACNielsen Corporation ("ACNielsen") and Cognizant Corporation ("Cognizant") (the "1996 Distribution"). Under the terms of the distribution agreement relating to the 1996 Distribution (the "1996 Distribution Agreement"), each party thereto is prohibited from distributing to its stockholders any business that had been allocated to it in connection with the 1996 Distribution, unless the distributed business delivers an undertaking agreeing to be jointly and severally liable to the other parties under the 1996 Distribution Agreement for the liabilities of the distributing parent company under the 1996 Distribution Agreement.

In June 1998, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation through a spin-off separated into two separate public companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation and R.H. Donnelley Corporation ("Donnelley") (the "1998 Distribution"). During 1998, Cognizant through a spin-off separated into two separate public companies: IMS Health Incorporated ("IMS Health") and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. ("NMR"). In September 2000, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation ("Old D&B") through a spin-off separated into two separate public companies: New D&B and Moody's, as further described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements.

Information Resources, Inc.

The following is a description of an antitrust lawsuit filed in 1996 by Information Resources, Inc. ("IRI"). As more fully described below, VNU N.V., a publicly traded Dutch company, and its U.S. subsidiaries, VNU, Inc., ACNielsen Corporation ("ACNielsen"), AC Nielsen (US), Inc. ("ACN (US)"), and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. ("NMR") (collectively, the "VNU Parties"), have assumed exclusive joint and several liability for any judgment or settlement of this antitrust lawsuit. As a result of the indemnity obligation, Moody's does not have any exposure to a judgment or settlement of this lawsuit unless the VNU Parties default on their obligations. However, in the event of such a default, contractual commitments undertaken by Moody's in connection with various corporate reorganizations since 1996 would require the Company to bear a portion of any amount not paid by the VNU Parties. Moreover, as described below, on February 1, 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York entered a final judgment against IRI dismissing IRI's claims with prejudice and on the merits.

In July 1996, IRI filed a complaint, subsequently amended in 1997, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, naming as defendants the corporation then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (now known as R.H. Donnelly), A.C. Nielsen Company (a subsidiary of ACNielsen) and IMS International, Inc. (a subsidiary of the company then known as Cognizant). At the time of the filing of the complaint, each of the other defendants was a subsidiary of the company then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation.

The amended complaint alleges various violations of United States antitrust laws under Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act. The amended complaint also alleges a claim of tortious interference with a contract and a claim of tortious interference with a prospective business relationship. These claims relate to the acquisition by defendants of Survey Research Group Limited ("SRG"). IRI alleged SRG violated an alleged agreement with IRI when it agreed to be acquired by defendants and that defendants induced SRG to breach that agreement.

IRI's antitrust claims allege that defendants developed and implemented a plan to undermine IRI's ability to compete within the United States and foreign markets in North America, Latin America, Asia, Europe and

Table of Contents

Australia/New Zealand through a series of anti-competitive practices, including: unlawfully tying/bundling services in the markets in which defendants allegedly had monopoly power with services in markets in which ACNielsen competed with IRI; entering into exclusionary contracts with retailers in certain countries to deny IRI's access to sales data necessary to provide retail tracking services or to artificially raise the cost of that data; predatory pricing; acquiring foreign market competitors with the intent of impeding IRI's efforts to expand; disparaging IRI to financial analysts and clients; and denying IRI access to capital necessary for it to compete.

IRI is seeking in excess of \$650 million, which IRI also asked to be trebled. IRI has filed with the Court the report of its expert who has opined that IRI suffered damages of between \$582 million and \$652 million from the defendants' alleged practices. IRI also sought punitive damages in an unspecified amount.

On June 21, 2004, pursuant to a stipulation between IRI and defendants, the Court ordered that certain of IRI's claims be dismissed with prejudice from the lawsuit, including the claims that defendants tortiously interfered with the SRG acquisition. The Company believes that the dismissal of the tortious interference claims also precludes any claim for punitive damages.

On December 3, 2004, the Court entered In limine Order No. 1, which bars IRI from "arguing that Nielsen's pricing practices or discounts were illegal or anti-competitive unless it can prove they involved prices below short-run average variable cost, calculated without the inclusion of Nielsen's 'Fixed Operations' costs". On December 17, 2004, IRI issued a press release, which said in relevant part, "Without this evidence, IRI believes that little would be left of IRI's case to take to trial". IRI asked the Court to enter a final judgment against it, so that it could take an immediate appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Defendants did not object to this request. On February 1, 2005 the Court entered a final judgment dismissing IRI's claims with prejudice and on February 2, 2005, the Court entered IRI's notice of appeal to the Second Circuit. The Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has ordered that the appeal be argued no earlier than the week of June 13, 2005.

In connection with the 1996 Distribution, NMR (then known as Cognizant Corporation), ACNielsen and Donnelley (then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) entered into an Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement (the "Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement"), pursuant to which they agreed to:

- allocate potential liabilities that may relate to, arise out of or result from the IRI lawsuit ("IRI Liabilities"); and
- conduct a joint defense of such action.

In 2001, ACNielsen was acquired by VNU N.V., which assumed ACNielsen's obligations under the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement.

Under the terms of the 1998 Distribution, Old D&B assumed all potential liabilities of Donnelley (then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) arising from the IRI action and agreed to indemnify Donnelley in connection with such potential liabilities. Under the terms of the 2000 Distribution, New D&B undertook to be jointly and severally liable with Moody's for Old D&B's obligations to Donnelley under the 1998 Distribution, including for any liabilities arising under the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement and arising from the IRI action itself. However, as between New D&B and Moody's, it was agreed that under the 2000 Distribution, each of New D&B and Moody's will be responsible for 50% of any payments required to be made to or on behalf of Donnelley with respect to the IRI action under the terms of the 1998 Distribution, including legal fees or expenses related to the IRI action.

On July 30, 2004, the VNU Parties, Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health entered into an Amended and Restated Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement (the "Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement").

Pursuant to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, any and all IRI Liabilities incurred by Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B or IMS Health relating to a judgment (even if not final) or any settlement being entered into in the IRI action will be jointly and severally assumed, and fully discharged, exclusively by the VNU Parties. Under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, the VNU Parties have agreed to, jointly

Table of Contents

and severally, indemnify Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health from and against all IRI Liabilities to which they become subject. As a result, the cap on ACNielsen's liability for the IRI Liabilities, which was provided for in the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, no longer exists and all such liabilities are the responsibility of the VNU Parties pursuant to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement.

In addition, the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement provides that if it becomes necessary to post any bond pending an appeal of an adverse judgment, then the VNU Parties shall obtain the bond required for the appeal and shall pay the full cost of such bond.

In connection with entering into the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health agreed to amend certain covenants of the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement to provide operational flexibility for ACNielsen going forward. In addition, the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement includes certain amendments to the covenants of ACNielsen (which, under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, are now also applicable to ACN (US), which the Company understands holds ACNielsen's operating assets), which are designed to preserve such parties' claims-paying ability and protect Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health. Among other covenants, ACNielsen and ACN (US) agreed that neither they nor any of their respective subsidiaries will incur any indebtedness to any affiliated person, except indebtedness which its payment will, after a payment obligation under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement comes due, be conditioned on, and subordinated to, the payment and performance of the obligations of such parties under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement. VNU N.V. has agreed to having a process agent in New York to receive on its behalf service of any process concerning the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement.

As described above, the VNU Parties have assumed exclusive responsibility for the payment of all IRI Liabilities. However, because liability for violations of the antitrust laws is joint and several and because the rights and obligations relating to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement are based on contractual relationships, the failure of the VNU Parties to fulfill their obligations under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement could result in the other parties bearing all or a portion of the IRI Liabilities. Joint and several liability for the IRI action means that even where more than one defendant is determined to have been responsible for an alleged wrongdoing, the plaintiff can collect all or part of the judgment from just one of the defendants. This is true regardless of whatever contractual allocation of responsibility the defendants and any other indemnifying parties may have made, including the allocations described above between the VNU Parties, Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health.

Accordingly, and as a result of the allocations of liability described above, in the event the VNU Parties default on their obligations under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, each of Moody's and New D&B will be responsible for the payment of 50% of the portion of any judgment or settlement ultimately paid by Donnelley (which is a defendant in the IRI action), which can be as high as all the IRI Liabilities.

The Company is unable to predict the outcome of the IRI action (including the appeal), or the financial condition of any of the VNU parties or the other defendants at the time of any such outcome and hence the Company cannot estimate their ability to pay the IRI Liabilities pursuant to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement or the amount of the judgment or settlement in the IRI action. However, provided that the VNU Parties fulfill their obligations under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, the Company believes that the resolution of this matter, irrespective of the outcome of the IRI action, should not materially affect Moody's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Accordingly, no amount in respect of this matter has been accrued in the Company's consolidated financial statements. If, however, IRI were to prevail in whole or in part in this action and if Moody's is required to pay, notwithstanding such contractual obligations, a portion of any significant settlement or judgment, the outcome of this matter could have a material adverse effect on Moody's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Legacy Tax Matters

Old D&B and its predecessors entered into global tax planning initiatives in the normal course of business, including through tax-free restructurings of both their foreign and domestic operations. These initiatives are subject to normal review by tax authorities.

Pursuant to a series of agreements, as between themselves, IMS Health and NMR are jointly and severally liable to pay one-half, and New D&B and Moody's are jointly and severally liable to pay the other half, of any payments for taxes, penalties and accrued interest resulting from unfavorable IRS rulings on certain tax matters as described in such agreements (excluding the matter described below as "Amortization Expense Deductions" for which New D&B and Moody's are solely responsible) and certain other potential tax liabilities, also as described in such agreements, after New D&B and/or Moody's pays the first \$137 million, which amount was paid in connection with the matter described below as "Utilization of Capital Losses".

In connection with the 2000 Distribution and pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, New D&B and Moody's have, between themselves, agreed to each be financially responsible for 50% of any potential liabilities that may arise to the extent such potential liabilities are not directly attributable to their respective business operations.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, three specific tax matters are discussed below.

Royalty Expense Deductions

During the second quarter of 2003, New D&B received an Examination Report from the IRS with respect to a partnership transaction entered into in 1993. In this Report, the IRS stated its intention to disallow certain royalty expense deductions claimed by Old D&B on its tax returns for the years 1993 through 1996 (the "Royalty Report"). In the first quarter of 2004, New D&B received a similar Examination Report (the "Second Royalty Report") relating to the first quarter of 1997.

During the second quarter of 2003, New D&B also received an Examination Report that had been issued by the IRS to the partnership, stating the IRS' intention to ignore the partnership structure that had been established in 1993 in connection with the above transaction, and to reallocate to Old D&B income and expense items that had been reported in the partnership tax return for 1996 (the "Reallocation Report"). New D&B also received a similar Examination Report (the "Second Reallocation Report") issued to the partnership with respect to the first quarter of 1997.

In June 2004, New D&B and the IRS conducted a mediation of these issues, at which they reached a basis for settlement with regard to the Royalty Report for 1995 and 1996, the Reallocation Report, and certain tax refund claims made by Old D&B related to 1995 and 1996 (the "Preliminary Settlement"). The Preliminary Settlement was subject to the execution of a formal settlement agreement. In addition, the IRS reasserted its position that certain tax refund claims made by Old D&B related to 1993 and 1994 may be offset by tax liabilities relating to the above mentioned partnership formed in 1993. New D&B disagrees with the position taken by the IRS for 1993 and 1994 and plans to file a protest with the IRS Appeals Office. If the protest is unsuccessful New D&B can either: (1) abandon its tax refund claims; or (2) challenge the IRS claim in U.S. District Court or the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. Moody's estimates that its exposure for the write-off of deferred tax assets related to these tax refund claims could be up to \$9 million.

As of June 30, 2004, Moody's had adjusted its reserves for the Royalty Expense Deductions matter to reflect the Company's estimates of probable exposure for the Preliminary Settlement and the other matters discussed in the preceding paragraph. In accordance with the 1996 Distribution Agreement, New D&B was required to obtain the consent of Moody's, IMS Health and NMR as a condition to executing the formal settlement agreement, but New D&B was unable to obtain consent from IMS Health and NMR. Accordingly, New D&B and the IRS were unable to agree on the terms of a formal settlement agreement by the November 1st deadline imposed by the IRS. As a result, the IRS withdrew the Preliminary Settlement.

Table of Contents

The Company believes that in accordance with the 1996 Distribution Agreement, IMS Health and NMR, by withholding their consent to the formal settlement agreement, would be contractually responsible to pay any excess amounts above the Preliminary Settlement that may ultimately be owing with respect to tax years 1995 and 1996. IMS Health has alleged various breaches of New D&B's obligations under the 1996 Distribution Agreement related to New D&B's management and attempted settlement of this matter. If the parties fail to resolve their dispute, Moody's understands that New D&B anticipates commencing arbitration proceedings against IMS Health and NMR. Based on our current understanding of the positions of New D&B and IMS Health, the Company believes it is likely that New D&B should prevail, but we cannot predict with certainty the outcome.

In addition, the Second Royalty Report and the Second Reallocation Report, which were not part of New D&B's preliminary settlement with the IRS, have not been resolved. Moody's estimates that its share of the potential required payment to the IRS for this matter is \$0.1 million (including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits).

Moody's has reassessed its exposure for the Royalty Expense Deductions matter taking into consideration: (1) the original Examination Reports discussed above (for which the Company's share of the required payments to the IRS could be up to \$103 million, including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits); and (2) the potential write-off of deferred tax assets (for which the Company's exposure could be up to \$9 million as discussed above). Based on this assessment, in 2004, the Company increased its reserve for this matter by \$16.4 million to reflect the current estimate of probable exposure. Moody's believes that the positions taken by the IRS in the Royalty Reports and the Reallocation Reports discussed above are inconsistent with each other. While it is possible that the IRS could ultimately prevail in whole or in part on one of such positions noted above, Moody's believes that it is unlikely that the IRS will prevail on both.

Amortization Expense Deductions

In April 2004, New D&B received Examination Reports (the "April Examination Reports") from the IRS with respect to a partnership transaction. This transaction was entered into in 1997 and has resulted in amortization expense deductions on the tax returns of Old D&B since 1997. These deductions could continue through 2012. In the April Examination Reports, the IRS stated its intention to disallow the amortization expense deductions related to this partnership that were claimed by Old D&B on its 1997 and 1998 tax returns. New D&B disagrees with the position taken by the IRS and can either: (1) accept and pay the IRS assessment; (2) challenge the assessment in U.S. Tax Court; or (3) challenge the assessment in U.S. District Court or the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, where in either case payment of the disputed amount would be required in connection with such challenge. IRS audits of Old D&B's or New D&B's tax returns for years subsequent to 1998 could result in the issuance of similar Examination Reports, in which case New D&B would also have the aforementioned three courses of action. Should any such payments be made by New D&B related to either the April Examination Reports or any potential Examination Reports for future years, including years subsequent to the separation of Moody's from New D&B, then pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, Moody's would have to pay to New D&B its 50% share. In addition, should New D&B discontinue claiming the amortization deductions on future tax returns, Moody's would be required to repay to New D&B an amount equal to the discounted value of its 50% share of the related future tax benefits. New D&B had paid the discounted value of 50% of the future tax benefits from this transaction in cash to Moody's at the Distribution Date. Moody's estimates that the Company's current potential exposure could be up to \$95 million (including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits). This exposure could increase by approximately \$3 million to \$6 million per year, depending on actions that the IRS may take and on whether New D&B continues claiming the amortization deductions on its tax returns.

In the April Examination Reports, the IRS also stated its intention to disallow certain royalty expense deductions claimed by Old D&B on its 1997 and 1998 tax returns with respect to the partnership transaction. In addition, the IRS stated its intention to disregard the partnership structure and to reallocate to Old D&B certain partnership income and expense items that had been reported in the partnership tax returns for 1997 and 1998. New D&B disagrees with the positions taken by the IRS and can take any of the three courses of action described in the preceding paragraph. IRS audits of Old D&B's or New D&B's tax returns for years subsequent to 1998 could result in the issuance of similar Examination Reports for the subsequent years. Should any such payments be made by New D&B related to either the April Examination Reports or any potential Examination Reports for future years, then pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, Moody's would have to pay to New D&B its 50% share of New D&B's payments to the IRS for the period from 1997 through the Distribution Date. Moody's

Table of Contents

estimates that its share of the potential exposure to the IRS could be up to \$129 million (including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits). Moody's also could be obligated for future interest payments on its share of such liability.

New D&B had filed protests with the IRS Appeals Office regarding the April Examination Reports. In September 2004, the IRS Appeals Office remanded the case to the IRS examination office for further development of the issues. New D&B has reopened discussion of the issues with the examination office.

Moody's believes that the IRS's proposed assessments of tax against Old D&B and the proposed reallocations of partnership income and expense to Old D&B are inconsistent with each other. Accordingly, while it is possible that the IRS could ultimately prevail in whole or in part on one of such positions, Moody's believes that it is unlikely that the IRS will prevail on both.

Utilization of Capital Losses

The IRS has completed its review of the utilization of certain capital losses generated by Old D&B during 1989 and 1990. On June 26, 2000, the IRS, as part of its audit process, issued a formal assessment with respect to the utilization of these capital losses.

On May 12, 2000, an amended tax return was filed by Old D&B for the 1989 and 1990 tax years, which reflected \$561.6 million of tax and interest due. Old D&B paid the IRS approximately \$349.3 million of this amount on May 12, 2000; 50% of such payment was allocated to Moody's and had previously been accrued by the Company. IMS Health informed Old D&B that it paid to the IRS approximately \$212.3 million on May 17, 2000. The payments were made to the IRS to stop further interest from accruing, and on September 20, 2000, Old D&B filed a petition for a refund in the U.S. District Court.

In July 2004, New D&B and the IRS reached a basis for settlement of all outstanding issues related to this matter and in December 2004 executed a formal settlement agreement. New D&B received the first of three final assessments on February 15, 2005 and expects to receive the other two in the second quarter of 2005. Payment of the first assessment was made in the first quarter of 2005 and payment of the two other assessments is expected to be made in the second quarter of 2005. Moody's estimates its share of these assessments to be approximately \$15 million, reflecting cash payments of approximately \$6 million and the write-off of deferred tax assets of approximately \$9 million. In addition, IMS Health and NMR have notified New D&B that they disagree with various aspects of New D&B's calculation of their respective shares of the payments. If the parties fail to resolve their dispute, Moody's understands that New D&B anticipates commencing arbitration proceedings against IMS Health and NMR. Moody's believes that New D&B should prevail in its position, but we cannot predict with certainty the outcome.

In 2004, Moody's increased its reserves for this matter by \$14 million to reflect its current estimate of the probable exposure. Should IMS Health and NMR prevail in their position described in the prior paragraph, then Moody's estimates that its exposure for this matter could increase by up to approximately \$3 million.

Summary of Moody's Exposure to Three Legacy Tax Matters

The Company considers from time to time the range and probability of potential outcomes related to the three legacy tax matters discussed above and establishes reserves that it believes are appropriate in light of the relevant facts and circumstances. In doing so, Moody's makes estimates and judgments as to future events and conditions and evaluates its estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis.

During 2004, the Company recorded charges of approximately \$30 million to increase its reserves for the three legacy tax matters reflecting its current estimates of the probable exposures on these matters. The Company also recorded approximately \$3 million of interest expense related to these reserves. As a result, at December 31, 2004, Moody's total net legacy tax reserves were \$136 million (consisting of \$161 million of tax liabilities, partially offset by the expected utilization of \$25 million of deferred tax assets). The \$136 million of expected cash payments consists of \$50 million of current liabilities (reflecting the estimated cash payments related to the Royalty

Table of Contents

Expense Deductions and Utilization of Capital Losses matters that are expected to be made over the next twelve months) and \$86 million of non-current liabilities.

It is possible that the legacy tax matters could be resolved in amounts that are greater than the amounts reserved by the Company, which could result in additional charges that may be material to Moody's future reported results, financial position and cash flows. Although Moody's does not believe it is likely that the Company will ultimately be required to pay the full amounts presently being sought by the IRS, potential future outlays resulting from these matters could be as much as \$354 million and could increase with time as described above. In matters where Moody's believes the IRS has taken inconsistent positions, Moody's may be obligated initially to pay its share of related duplicative assessments. However, Moody's believes that ultimately it is unlikely that the IRS would retain such duplicative payments.

Dividends

During 2004, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of 7.5 cents per share of Moody's common stock, resulting in dividends paid per share of 30 cents during the year. During 2003 and 2002, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of 4.5 cents per share of Moody's common stock resulting in dividends paid per share of 18 cents in each year.

On December 14, 2004, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a quarterly dividend of 7.5 cents per share of Moody's common stock, payable on March 10, 2005 to shareholders of record at the close of business on February 20, 2005. On February 15, 2005, the board voted to increase the quarterly dividend per share to \$0.11, before giving effect to the proposed two-for-one stock split discussed in Note 20 to the consolidated financial statements, payable on June 15, 2005 to shareholders of record as of May 27, 2005. If the stock split is effected, then on a post-split basis the dividend will be increased to 5.5 cents per share from the 3.75 cents per share that otherwise would have been paid absent the dividend increase. The continued payment of dividends at the rate noted above, or at all, is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

On February 15, 2005, the Board of Directors declared a two-for-one stock split to be effected as a special stock distribution of one share of common stock for each share of the Company's common stock outstanding and treasury shares. Stockholders of record as of the close of business on May 4, 2005 will receive one additional share of common stock for each share of the Company's common stock held on that date. Such additional shares will be distributed on May 18, 2005.

The Board of Directors' declaration of a special stock dividend distribution is subject to stockholder approval of a charter amendment to increase the Company's authorized common shares. Moody's stockholders will vote on the charter amendment at the Company's Annual Meeting, which will be held on April 26, 2005. The proposal to amend the Company's charter to increase the number of authorized shares will be more fully described in the Company's annual meeting proxy statement.

Table of Contents

Common Stock Information

The Company's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MCO". The table below indicates the high and low sales price of the Company's common stock and the dividends paid for the periods shown. The number of registered shareholders of record at January 31, 2005 was 4,488.

	Price Per Share		Dividends
	High	Low	Paid Per Share
2003 :			
First quarter	\$ 49.70	\$ 39.50	\$ 0.045
Second quarter	54.85	45.38	0.045
Third quarter	56.80	49.85	0.045
Fourth quarter	60.85	54.85	0.045
Year ended December 31, 2003	<u>\$ 60.85</u>	<u>\$ 39.50</u>	<u>\$ 0.18</u>
2004 :			
First quarter	\$ 71.00	\$ 59.69	\$ 0.075
Second quarter	71.00	61.74	0.075
Third quarter	74.41	64.60	0.075
Fourth quarter	87.72	71.39	0.075
Year ended December 31, 2004	<u>\$ 87.72</u>	<u>\$ 59.69</u>	<u>\$ 0.30</u>

Subject to approval by shareholders at Moody's annual meeting of stockholders in April 2005, the Company expects to effect a two-for-one stock split effective May 18, 2005. See Note 20 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this annual report on Form 10-K are forward-looking statements and are based on future expectations, plans and prospects for the Company's business and operations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such statements involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. Those statements appear at various places throughout this Form 10-K, including in the sections entitled "Outlook" and "Contingencies" under Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", commencing at page 15 of this annual report on Form 10-K, under "Legal Proceedings" in Item 3, Part I of this Form 10-K, and elsewhere in the context of statements containing the words "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "intend", "plan", "will", "predict", "potential", "continue", "strategy", "aspire", "target", "forecast", "project", "estimate", "should", "could", "may" and similar expressions or words and variations thereof relating to the Company's views on future events, trends and contingencies. We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward looking statements. The forward-looking statements and other information are made as of the date of this annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, and the Company undertakes no obligation (nor does it intend) to publicly supplement, update or revise such statements on a going-forward basis, whether as a result of subsequent developments, changed expectations or otherwise. In connection with the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company is identifying examples of factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those indicated by these forward-looking statements. Those factors, risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, changes in the volume of debt and other securities issued in domestic and/or global capital markets; changes in interest rates and other volatility in the financial markets; market perceptions of the utility and integrity of independent agency ratings; possible loss of market share through competition; introduction of competing products or technologies by other companies; pricing pressures from competitors and/or customers; the potential emergence of government-sponsored credit rating agencies; proposed U.S., foreign, state and local legislation and regulations, including those relating to Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations; possible judicial decisions in various jurisdictions regarding the status of and potential liabilities of rating agencies; the possible loss of key employees to investment or commercial banks or elsewhere and

Table of Contents

related compensation cost pressures; the outcome of any review by controlling tax authorities of the Company's global tax planning initiatives; the outcome of those tax and legal contingencies that relate to Old D&B, its predecessors and their affiliated companies for which the Company has assumed portions of the financial responsibility; the outcome of other legal actions to which the Company, from time to time, may be named as a party; the ability of the Company to successfully integrate the KMV and MRMS businesses; a decline in the demand for credit risk management tools by financial institutions. These factors, risks and uncertainties as well as other risks and uncertainties that could cause Moody's actual results to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements are described in greater detail in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Additional Factors That May Affect Future Results", elsewhere in this Form 10-K and in other filings made by the Company from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in materials incorporated herein or therein. You should be aware that the occurrence of any of these factors, risks and uncertainties may cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements, which could have a material and adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition. New factors may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict new factors, nor can the Company assess the potential effect of any new factors on it.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information in response to this Item is set forth under the caption "Market Risk" in Part II, Item 7 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>PAGE(S)</u>
Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	43
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	44-45
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and 2003	47
For the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002:	
Consolidated Statements of Operations	46
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	48
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity	49
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	50-81

Schedules are omitted as not required or inapplicable or because the required information is provided in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.

MANAGEMENT’S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of Moody’s Corporation (“Moody’s” or “the Company”) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. As defined by the Securities Exchange Commission (“SEC”) in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company’s Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Moody’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of Moody’s management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management of the Company has undertaken an assessment of the design and operational effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). The COSO framework is based upon five integrated components of control: risk assessment, control activities, control environment, information and communications and ongoing monitoring.

Based on the assessment performed, management has concluded that Moody’s maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004.

Our management’s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

John Rutherford, Jr.
Chief Executive Officer

Jeanne M. Dering
Chief Financial Officer

March 2, 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and the Board of Directors of Moody's Corporation:

We have completed an integrated audit of Moody's Corporation's 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 and audits of its 2003 and 2002 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Moody's Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

On January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123") as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure — an amendment of SFAS No. 123". This matter is discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting

Table of Contents

includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
March 2, 2005

MOODY'S CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(amounts in millions, except per share data)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Revenue	\$1,438.3	\$1,246.6	\$1,023.3
Expenses			
Operating	375.4	347.3	285.3
Selling, general and administrative	242.4	203.6	175.3
Depreciation and amortization	34.1	32.6	24.6
Total expenses	<u>651.9</u>	<u>583.5</u>	<u>485.2</u>
Operating income	<u>786.4</u>	663.1	538.1
Interest expense, net	(16.2)	(21.8)	(21.2)
Other non-operating income, net	1.1	15.1	0.5
Non-operating expense, net	(15.1)	(6.7)	(20.7)
Income before provision for income taxes	771.3	656.4	517.4
Provision for income taxes	346.2	292.5	228.5
Net income	<u>\$ 425.1</u>	<u>\$ 363.9</u>	<u>\$ 288.9</u>
Earnings per share			
Basic	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.44	\$ 1.88
Diluted	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.39	\$ 1.83
Weighted average shares outstanding			
Basic	148.5	148.9	153.9
Diluted	152.3	152.3	157.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MOODY'S CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(dollar amounts in millions, except share and per share data)

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 606.1	\$ 269.1
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$14.6 in 2004 and \$15.9 in 2003	358.4	270.3
Other current assets	58.1	40.5
Total current assets	<u>1,022.6</u>	<u>579.9</u>
Property and equipment, net	45.2	46.8
Prepaid pension costs	59.7	60.2
Goodwill	131.7	126.4
Intangible assets, net	70.7	77.4
Other assets	46.1	61.6
Total assets	<u>\$1,376.0</u>	<u>\$ 952.3</u>
Liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Notes payable	\$ 300.0	\$ —
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	270.5	228.4
Deferred revenue	266.7	214.6
Total current liabilities	<u>837.2</u>	<u>443.0</u>
Non-current portion of deferred revenue	54.4	41.1
Notes payable	—	300.0
Other liabilities	166.9	200.3
Total liabilities	<u>1,058.5</u>	<u>984.4</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 13 and 14)		
Shareholders' equity (deficit):		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Series common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 400,000,000 shares authorized; 171,451,136 shares issued at December 31, 2004 and 2003	1.7	1.7
Capital surplus	144.0	76.4
Retained earnings	939.3	558.9
Treasury stock, at cost; 22,539,115 and 22,779,500 shares of common stock at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively	(777.2)	(677.2)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	9.7	8.1
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	<u>317.5</u>	<u>(32.1)</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)	<u>\$1,376.0</u>	<u>\$ 952.3</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MOODY'S CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(amounts in millions)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 425.1	\$ 363.9	\$ 288.9
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	34.1	32.6	24.6
Stock-based compensation expense	27.8	10.8	—
Deferred income taxes	(9.6)	(0.4)	(3.6)
Tax benefits from exercise of stock options	55.9	33.3	27.5
Other	1.6	0.6	2.4
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(87.3)	(91.8)	(16.6)
Other current assets and prepaid pension costs	(16.1)	(11.8)	(1.8)
Other assets	21.4	(0.6)	(2.9)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	36.5	41.5	(66.8)
Deferred revenue	65.1	56.8	34.9
Other liabilities	(34.8)	33.5	48.2
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>519.7</u>	<u>468.4</u>	<u>334.8</u>
Cash flows from investing activities			
Capital additions	(21.3)	(17.9)	(18.1)
Net cash (used) acquired in connection with business acquisitions and investments in affiliates	(3.5)	0.8	(205.7)
Other	—	—	0.2
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(24.8)</u>	<u>(17.1)</u>	<u>(223.6)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities			
Net (repayments of) proceeds from bank borrowings	—	(107.1)	107.1
Proceeds from stock plans	105.0	79.0	54.0
Cost of treasury shares repurchased	(221.3)	(171.7)	(369.9)
Payment of dividends	(44.7)	(26.8)	(27.8)
Payments under capital lease obligations	(1.3)	(1.1)	—
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(162.3)</u>	<u>(227.7)</u>	<u>(236.6)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	4.4	5.6	2.1
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	337.0	229.2	(123.3)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	269.1	39.9	163.2
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	<u>\$ 606.1</u>	<u>\$ 269.1</u>	<u>\$ 39.9</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MOODY'S CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(amounts in millions)

	Common Stock		Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings (Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock		Total Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)	Comprehensive Income
	Shares	Amount				Shares	Amount		
Balance at December 31, 2001	171.5	\$ 1.7	\$ 43.7	\$ (39.3)	\$ (2.7)	(17.0)	\$(307.5)	\$ (304.1)	
Net income				288.9				288.9	\$ 288.9
Dividends paid				(27.8)				(27.8)	
Proceeds from stock plans, including tax benefits			81.5					81.5	
Net treasury stock activity			(79.7)			(5.6)	(290.2)	(369.9)	
Currency translation adjustment					4.4			4.4	4.4
Comprehensive income									\$ 293.3
Balance at December 31, 2002	171.5	1.7	45.5	221.8	1.7	(22.6)	(597.7)	(327.0)	
Net income				363.9				363.9	\$ 363.9
Dividends paid				(26.8)				(26.8)	
Proceeds from stock plans, including tax benefits			112.3					112.3	
Stock-based compensation			10.8					10.8	
Net treasury stock activity			(92.2)			(0.2)	(79.5)	(171.7)	
Currency translation adjustment					6.4			6.4	6.4
Comprehensive income									\$ 370.3
Balance at December 31, 2003	171.5	1.7	76.4	558.9	8.1	(22.8)	(677.2)	(32.1)	
Net income				425.1				425.1	\$ 425.1
Dividends paid				(44.7)				(44.7)	
Proceeds from stock plans, including tax benefits			161.1					161.1	
Stock-based compensation			27.8					27.8	
Net treasury stock activity			(121.3)			0.3	(100.0)	(221.3)	
Currency translation adjustment					2.6			2.6	2.6
Additional minimum pension liability (net of tax of \$0.7 million)					(1.0)			(1.0)	(1.0)
Comprehensive income									\$ 426.7
Balance at December 31, 2004	<u>171.5</u>	<u>\$ 1.7</u>	<u>\$ 144.0</u>	<u>\$ 939.3</u>	<u>\$ 9.7</u>	<u>(22.5)</u>	<u>\$(777.2)</u>	<u>\$ 317.5</u>	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MOODY'S CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(tabular dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Note 1 Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Moody's Corporation ("Moody's" or the "Company") is a provider of credit ratings, research and analysis covering debt instruments and securities in the global capital markets and a provider of quantitative credit assessment services, credit training services and credit process software to banks and other financial institutions. Moody's operates in two reportable segments: Moody's Investors Service and Moody's KMV. Moody's Investors Service publishes rating opinions on a broad range of credit obligations issued in domestic and international markets, including various corporate and governmental obligations, structured finance securities and commercial paper programs, as well as rating opinions on issuers of credit obligations. It also publishes investor-oriented credit research, including in-depth research on major issuers, industry studies, special comments and credit opinion handbooks. The Moody's KMV business, which consists of the combined businesses of KMV LLC and KMV Corporation ("KMV"), acquired in April 2002, and Moody's Risk Management Services, develops and distributes quantitative credit assessment services for banks and investors in credit-sensitive assets, credit training services and credit process software.

The Company operated as part of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation ("Old D&B") until September 30, 2000 (the "Distribution Date"), when Old D&B separated into two publicly traded companies — Moody's Corporation and The New D&B Corporation ("New D&B"). At that time, Old D&B distributed to its shareholders shares of New D&B stock. New D&B comprised the business of Old D&B's Dun & Bradstreet operating company (the "D&B Business"). The remaining business of Old D&B consisted solely of the business of providing ratings and related research and credit risk management services (the "Moody's Business") and was renamed "Moody's Corporation". The method by which Old D&B distributed to its shareholders its shares of New D&B stock is hereinafter referred to as the "2000 Distribution".

For purposes of governing certain ongoing relationships between the Company and New D&B after the 2000 Distribution and to provide for an orderly transition, the Company and New D&B entered into various agreements including a Distribution Agreement (the "2000 Distribution Agreement"), Tax Allocation Agreement, Employee Benefits Agreement, Shared Transaction Services Agreement, Insurance and Risk Management Services Agreement, Data Services Agreement and Transition Services Agreement.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include those of Moody's Corporation and its majority- and wholly-owned subsidiaries. The effects of all intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Investments in companies for which the Company has significant influence over operating and financial policies but not a controlling interest are carried on an equity basis. Investments for which the Company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence are carried on the cost basis of accounting.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents principally consist of investments in money market funds, short-term certificates of deposit and commercial paper with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Interest income on cash and cash equivalents was \$6.8 million, \$1.7 million, and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively.

Table of Contents

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, typically three to ten years for computer equipment and office furniture and fixtures and equipment, and seven to forty years for buildings and building improvements. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the improvement. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs that do not extend the economic useful life of the related assets are charged to expense as incurred. Gains and losses on disposals of property and equipment are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.

Computer Software

Costs for the development of computer software that will be sold, leased or otherwise marketed are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 86, “Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed”. These assets primarily relate to the development of MKMV credit processing software and quantitative credit assessment products to be licensed to customers. The capitalized costs generally consist of professional services provided by third parties and compensation costs of employees that develop the software. These costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over three years, which approximates their useful life, and are reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value.

At December 31, 2004 and 2003, these costs, included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, were \$8.9 million and \$9.0 million, respectively (net of accumulated amortization of \$16.4 million and \$12.1 million, respectively). Other assets at December 31, 2004 and 2003 also included \$7.5 million and \$10.9 million, respectively, (net of accumulated amortization of \$9.6 million and \$6.2 million, respectively) of acquired software resulting from the April 2002 acquisition of KMV. Amortization expense for all such software for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002 was \$7.7 million, \$7.3 million, and \$5.3 million, respectively.

The Company capitalizes costs related to software developed or obtained for internal use in accordance with Statement of Position 98-1, “Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use”. These assets, included in property and equipment in the consolidated balance sheets, relate to the Company’s accounting, product delivery and other systems. Such costs generally consist of direct costs of third party license fees, professional services provided by third parties and employee compensation, in each case incurred either during the application development stage or in connection with upgrades and enhancements that increase functionality. Such costs are depreciated over their estimated useful lives, generally three to five years. Costs incurred during the preliminary project stage of development as well as maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

Long-Lived Assets, Including Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets

Finite-lived intangible assets and other long-lived assets are reviewed for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flows are lower than the carrying amount of the related asset, a loss is recognized for the difference between the carrying amount and the estimated fair value of the asset. Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate the assets may be impaired. If the estimated fair value is less than its carrying amount, a loss is recognized.

Stock-Based Compensation

In 2002 and prior years, the Company measured the cost of stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value approach under Accounting Principles Board (“APB”) Opinion No. 25 rather than applying the fair value method provisions of SFAS No. 123, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation” as amended by SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure — an amendment of FASB Statement No.

Table of Contents

123". Accordingly, the Company did not recognize compensation expense related to grants of employee stock options and shares issued to participants in its employee stock purchase plan.

On January 1, 2003, the Company adopted, on a prospective basis, the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation under SFAS No. 123. Therefore, employee stock options granted on and after January 1, 2003 are being expensed by the Company over the option vesting period, based on the estimated fair value of the award on the date of grant. In addition, shares issued to participants in the Company's employee stock purchase plan are being expensed by the Company based on the discount from the market price received by the participants.

The consolidated statements of operations include compensation expense of \$27.8 million in 2004 and \$10.8 million in 2003, related to stock options granted and stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan since January 1, 2003, and also related to restricted stock. The consolidated statement of operations for 2002 includes no such expense. In addition, the 2004 and 2003 expense is less than that which would have been recognized if the fair value method had been applied to all awards since the original effective date of SFAS No. 123 rather than being applied prospectively. Had the Company determined such stock-based compensation expense using the fair value method provisions of SFAS No. 123 since its original effective date, Moody's net income and earnings per share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts shown below.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Net income:			
As reported	\$ 425.1	\$ 363.9	\$ 288.9
Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax	16.8	6.6	0.1
Deduct: Stock-based compensation expense determined under the fair value method, net of tax	(28.2)	(20.0)	(14.3)
Pro forma net income	<u>\$ 413.7</u>	<u>\$ 350.5</u>	<u>\$ 274.7</u>
Basic earnings per share:			
As reported	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.44	\$ 1.88
Pro forma	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.35	\$ 1.78
Diluted earnings per share:			
As reported	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.39	\$ 1.83
Pro forma	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.30	\$ 1.75

The pro forma disclosures shown above are not representative of the effects on net income and earnings per share in future years.

The fair value of stock options used to compute the pro forma net income and earnings per share disclosures is the estimated present value at grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following weighted average assumptions were used for options granted during 2004, 2003 and 2002:

	2004	2003	2002
Expected dividend yield	0.46%	0.41%	0.41%
Expected stock volatility	30%	30%	25%
Risk-free interest rate	3.24%	3.03%	4.13%
Expected holding period	5.0 yrs	5.0 yrs	4.5 yrs

The estimated weighted average fair value of Moody's options granted in 2004, 2003, and 2002 was \$20.00, \$13.06, and \$10.97, respectively.

The Company recorded compensation expense of \$0.2 million in 2002 related to outstanding performance share grants, for which the performance period ended during 2002. There were no performance share grants outstanding and, accordingly, no related expense for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003.

Table of Contents

At the Distribution Date, all unexercised Old D&B stock options were converted into separately exercisable options of Moody's and New D&B. The 2000 Distribution Agreement provided that, for subsequent exercises of those options, the issuer of the stock rather than the employer would be entitled to the related tax deduction. Accordingly, since the Distribution Date and through the filing of its income tax returns for 2002, Moody's has claimed tax deductions when employees of New D&B have exercised Moody's stock options.

Effective with its 2003 tax returns, Moody's has changed its tax deductions to conform to an IRS ruling which clarified that the employer should take the tax deduction for option exercises rather than the issuer. The 2000 Distribution Agreement entitles Moody's to reimbursement from New D&B for the resulting loss of the issuer-based tax deductions. Accordingly, Moody's has reflected a receivable from New D&B within other current assets in the consolidated balance sheet in the amount of \$23.3 million at December 31, 2004, consisting of \$12.4 million related to the year ended December 31, 2004 and \$10.9 million related to 2003. This accounting had no impact on the results of operations.

The consolidated balance sheet and statement of cash flows as of and for the year ended December 31, 2003 have been reclassified to reflect this treatment.

Employee Benefit Plans

The assets, expenses, liabilities and obligations that Moody's reports for pension and other post-retirement benefits are dependent on assumptions concerning the outcome of future events and circumstances. These assumptions include the following:

- future compensation increases, based on the Company's long-term actual experience and future outlook
- discount rates, based on current yields on high grade corporate long-term bonds
- future healthcare cost trends, based on historical market data, near-term outlooks and assessments of likely long-term trends
- long-term return on pension plan assets, based on the expected future average annual return for each major asset class within the plan's portfolio (which is principally comprised of equity and fixed-income investments)

In determining such assumptions, the Company consults with outside actuaries and other advisors where deemed appropriate. In accordance with relevant accounting standards, if actual results differ from the Company's assumptions, such differences are deferred and amortized over the estimated future working life of the plan participants. While the Company believes that the assumptions used in these calculations are reasonable, differences in actual experience or changes in assumptions could affect the assets, expenses, liabilities and obligations related to the Company's pension and other post-retirement benefits.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "Revenue Recognition". As such, revenue is recognized when an agreement exists, the services have been provided and accepted by the customer, fees are determinable and the collection of resulting receivables is considered probable.

Revenue attributed to ratings of issued securities is recognized when the rating is issued. Revenue attributed to monitoring of issuers or issued securities is recognized over the period in which the monitoring is performed. In most areas of the ratings business, the Company charges issuers annual monitoring fees and amortizes such fees ratably over the related one-year period. In the case of commercial mortgage-backed securities, fees that are charged

Table of Contents

for future monitoring over the life of the related securities are amortized over such lives which averaged approximately 26 years as of December 31, 2004.

In areas where the Company does not separately charge monitoring fees, the Company defers portions of the rating fees that it estimates will be attributed to future monitoring activities and recognizes such fees ratably over the applicable estimated monitoring period. The portion of the revenue to be deferred is determined based on annual monitoring fees charged for similar securities or issuers and the level of monitoring effort required for a given type of security or issuer. The estimated monitoring period is determined based on factors such as the frequency of issuance by the issuers and the lives of the rated securities. Currently, the estimated monitoring periods range from three years to ten years.

Revenue from sales of research products and from credit risk management subscription products is recognized ratably over the related subscription period, which is principally one year. Revenue from licenses of credit processing software is recognized at the time the product is shipped to customers, or at such other time as the Company's obligations are complete. Related software maintenance revenue is recognized ratably over the annual maintenance period.

Amounts billed in advance of providing the related products or services are credited to deferred revenue and reflected in revenue when earned. The consolidated balance sheets reflect as current deferred revenue amounts that are expected to be recognized within one year of the balance sheet date, and as non-current deferred revenue amounts that are expected to be recognized over periods greater than one year. The majority of the balance in non-current deferred revenue relates to fees for future monitoring of commercial mortgage-backed securities.

Accounts Receivable Allowances

Moody's records as reductions of revenue provisions for estimated future adjustments to customer billings, based on historical experience and current conditions. Such provisions are reflected as additions to the accounts receivable allowance. Adjustments to and write-offs of receivables are charged against the allowance. Moody's evaluates its estimates on a regular basis and makes adjustments to its revenue provisions and the accounts receivable allowance as considered appropriate.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are charged to income as incurred. These expenses include costs associated with the development and production of the Company's products and services and their delivery to customers. These expenses principally include employee compensation and benefits and travel costs that are incurred in connection with these activities.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses are charged to income as incurred. These expenses include such items as compensation and benefits for corporate officers and staff and compensation and other expenses related to sales of products. They also include items such as office rent, business insurance, professional fees and gains and losses from sales and disposals of assets.

Foreign Currency Translation

For all operations outside the United States where the Company has designated the local currency as the functional currency, assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using end of year exchange rates, and revenue and expenses are translated using average exchange rates for the year. For these operations, currency translation adjustments are accumulated in a separate component of shareholders' equity. Transaction gains and losses are reflected in other non-operating income, net. Transaction gains were \$1.9 million, \$2.2 million, and \$0.3 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income represents the change in net assets of a business enterprise during a period due to transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources including foreign currency translation impacts and changes in minimum pension liability. Accumulated comprehensive income is comprised of currency translation adjustments of \$10.7 million and additional minimum pension liabilities of (\$1.0) million in 2004 and \$8.1 million of currency translation adjustments in 2003. The required disclosures have been included in the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes". Therefore, income tax expense is based on reported income before income taxes, and deferred income taxes reflect the effect of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities that are recognized for financial reporting purposes and the amounts that are recognized for income tax purposes.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments include cash, cash equivalents, trade receivables and payables and bank borrowings, all of which are short-term in nature and, accordingly, approximate fair value. The fair value of the Company's notes payable, which have a fixed rate of interest, is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the prevailing interest rates available to the Company for borrowings with similar maturities. The carrying amount of the Company's notes payable was \$300.0 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003. Their estimated fair value was \$316.7 million and \$334.6 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk principally consist of cash and cash equivalents and trade receivables.

Cash equivalents consist of investments in high quality investment grade securities within and outside the United States. By policy, the Company limits the amount it can invest with any one issuer. The Company manages its credit risk exposure by allocating its cash equivalents among various money market mutual funds, short-term certificates of deposit or issuers of high-grade commercial paper. As of December 31, 2004, the Company did not maintain any derivative investments or engage in any hedging activities. However, the Company continues to assess the need to enter into hedging transactions to limit risk as needed.

Credit is extended to customers based on an evaluation of their financial condition. No customer accounted for 10% or more of accounts receivable at December 31, 2004 or 2003.

Earnings Per Share of Common Stock

In accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share", basic earnings per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares, assuming that such shares were outstanding during the reporting period.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the

Table of Contents

reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, revenue recognition, accounts receivable allowances, income taxes, contingencies, valuation of investments in affiliates, long-lived and intangible assets and goodwill, pension and other post-retirement benefits, stock options, and depreciation and amortization rates for property and equipment and computer software.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued FASB Interpretation No. 46R (“FIN 46R”), “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities—An Interpretation of ARB No. 51”, a revision to FIN 46. FIN 46 addresses how a business enterprise should evaluate whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity through means other than voting rights and accordingly should consolidate the entity. FIN 46R clarifies some of the provisions of FIN 46 and exempts certain entities from its requirements. FIN 46R was effective at the end of the first quarterly period ending after March 15, 2004. The Company’s adoption of FIN 46R did not have an impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) No. FAS 106-2, “Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003” (the “Act”), which supersedes FSP No. 106-1 of the same title and clarifies the accounting for the benefits attributable to new government subsidies for companies that provide prescription drug benefits to retirees. If the effects of the Act are not considered a “significant event” pursuant to SFAS No. 106, “Employers’ Accounting for Post-retirement Benefits Other Than Pensions” the effects of the Act shall be incorporated into the next measurement of plan assets and obligations otherwise required by SFAS No. 106 following the effective date of the FSP (which was December 31, 2004 for the Company). The expected subsidy reduced the Company’s accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2004 by approximately \$0.4 million. The adoption of FSP 106-2 had no effect on the Company’s net periodic post-retirement expense in 2004. In January 2005, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services published final regulations implementing major provisions of the Act and employers are generally given significant flexibility in implementing options related to the Medicare Prescription Drug program. Moody’s is in the process of evaluating the impact of these regulations.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FSP No. 109-2, “Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004”, which provides guidance under SFAS No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes”, with respect to recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the “Jobs Act”). The Jobs Act provides for a special one-time tax deduction relating to a portion of certain foreign earnings that are repatriated in 2004 or 2005. The Company plans to repatriate a portion of foreign earnings in 2005 and is in the process of evaluating the effects of the Jobs Act on its financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004) “Share-Based Payment” (“SFAS No. 123R”). Under this pronouncement, companies are required to record compensation expense for all share-based payment award transactions granted to employees, based on the fair value of the equity instrument at the time of grant. This includes shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees”, which had been provided in SFAS No. 123 as originally issued. SFAS No. 123R is effective for quarters beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this standard will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Note 3 Reconciliation of Weighted Average Shares Outstanding

Below is a reconciliation of basic weighted average shares outstanding to diluted weighted average shares outstanding:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Weighted average number of shares-Basic	<u>148.5</u>	148.9	153.9
Dilutive effect of shares issuable under stock-based compensation plans	<u>3.8</u>	3.4	3.6
Weighted average number of shares-Diluted	<u>152.3</u>	<u>152.3</u>	<u>157.5</u>

There were no antidilutive options outstanding at December 31, 2004 and 2002. Options to purchase 2.2 million common shares at December 31, 2003 were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding because they were antidilutive.

Note 4 Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consisted of:

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Land, building and building improvements	\$ 25.4	\$ 24.6
Office and computer equipment	48.1	41.6
Office furniture and fixtures	23.0	21.3
Internal-use computer software	30.7	23.7
Leasehold improvements	35.1	33.6
Property and equipment, at cost	162.3	144.8
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(117.1)	(98.0)
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 45.2</u>	<u>\$ 46.8</u>

The consolidated statements of operations reflect depreciation and amortization expense related to the above assets of \$19.5 million, \$18.3 million, and \$14.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002, respectively.

Note 5 Acquisitions

KMV

On April 12, 2002, Moody's acquired the businesses comprising KMV. The acquisition expands the product offerings and customer base of Moody's credit risk assessment business, which was previously operated by Moody's Risk Management Services. The results of KMV have been included in Moody's consolidated financial statements since the acquisition date.

The aggregate purchase price of \$212.6 million consisted of \$209.3 million in cash payments to the sellers and \$3.3 million in direct transaction costs, primarily professional fees. The purchase price was funded by using \$128.3 million of Moody's cash on hand and \$81.0 million of borrowings under Moody's existing bank credit lines. The Company repaid those borrowings in the second quarter of 2002.

The acquisition has been accounted for as a purchase. Shown below is the purchase price allocation, which summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition.

Table of Contents

Current assets		\$ 21.0
Property and equipment, net		4.6
Intangible assets:		
Customer list (12.0 year life)	\$ 50.7	
Trade secrets (not subject to amortization)	25.5	
Other intangibles (5.2 year weighted average life)	<u>6.3</u>	
Total intangible assets		82.5
In-process research and development		1.1
Goodwill		118.3
Other assets		17.1
Liabilities assumed		<u>(32.0)</u>
Net assets acquired		<u>\$ 212.6</u>

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, goodwill, which has been assigned to the Moody's KMV segment, will not be amortized. In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 4, "Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method", the \$1.1 million allocated to acquired in-process research and development was written off immediately following the acquisition and is included in selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2002. Current assets shown above include acquired cash of \$7.2 million. Other assets include acquired software of \$16.0 million with a life of 5 years. For income tax purposes, the excess of the purchase price over the acquired net assets is expected to be amortized over 15 years.

The following unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information, for the year ended December 31, 2002, reflects the acquisition of KMV as if it had been consummated as of the beginning of the period, after giving effect to the following adjustments: (i) elimination of transaction related charges resulting from the acquisition; (ii) amortization of acquired intangible assets and software; (iii) Moody's financing costs for the transaction, consisting of interest expense that would have been incurred on the \$81.0 million of bank borrowings and interest income that would have been forgone on the balance of the purchase price; and (iv) related income tax effects.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	Pro Forma 2002
Revenue	\$1,438.3	\$1,246.6	\$ 1,038.4
Net income	\$ 425.1	\$ 363.9	\$ 288.0
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.39	\$ 1.83

The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with the Company's Form 8-K/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 26, 2002.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial information is presented for comparative purposes only and is not intended to be indicative of the actual consolidated results of operations that would have been achieved had the transaction been consummated as of the dates indicated above, nor does it purport to indicate results that may be attained in the future.

Korea Investors Service

In August 1998, the Company made a 10% cost-basis investment in Korea Investors Service ("KIS"), a Korean rating agency. In December 2001, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to increase its investment to just over 50%, at a cost of \$9.6 million with a contingent payment of up to 6.9 billion Korean Won (approximately \$6.8 million as of December 31, 2004) in 2005, based on KIS net income for the three-year period ended December 31, 2004. The Company currently estimates that this payment will be \$3.7 million; this amount has been recorded in accrued liabilities at December 31, 2004 with a corresponding increase to goodwill. It is anticipated that this payment will be made in the first quarter of 2005.

Table of Contents

In March 2004, KIS increased its ownership in an equity-basis investment to just over 50%, at a cost of 0.6 billion Korean Won, net of cash acquired (approximately \$0.6 million). As a result, starting in March 2004 this entity is being consolidated in Moody's financial statements and \$0.7 million of goodwill was recorded related to this entity.

Argentine Rating Agencies

From 1999 through 2002, Moody's made equity investments totaling \$4.4 million in two Argentine rating agencies.

In January 2002, the Argentine government announced the creation of a dual currency system in which certain qualifying transactions would be settled at an expected fixed exchange rate of 1.4 Argentine pesos to one U.S. dollar, while non-qualifying transactions would be settled using a free floating market exchange rate. In February 2002, the Argentine government announced a shift to a single free floating market exchange rate. From 1991 until February 2002, the Argentine peso had been pegged to the U.S. dollar at the rate of one to one.

As a result of the 2002 devaluation of the Argentine peso, an acquisition-related purchase price adjustment was triggered relating to Moody's equity-basis investments in the two Argentine rating agencies. The adjustment resulted in Moody's receiving additional shares in these rating agencies, which increased Moody's ownership position to over 90%. As a result, starting in January 2003 the Argentine rating agencies are being consolidated in Moody's financial statements.

Note 6 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table summarizes the activity in goodwill for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31, 2004			Year Ended December 31, 2003		
	Moody's Investors Service	Moody's KMV	Consolidated	Moody's Investors Service	Moody's KMV	Consolidated
Beginning balance	\$ 2.3	\$ 124.1	\$ 126.4	\$ 2.3	\$ 124.0	\$ 126.3
Additions	4.9	—	4.9	—	—	—
Other	0.4	—	0.4	—	0.1	0.1
Ending balance	<u>\$ 7.6</u>	<u>\$ 124.1</u>	<u>\$ 131.7</u>	<u>\$ 2.3</u>	<u>\$ 124.1</u>	<u>\$ 126.4</u>

The following table summarizes intangible assets at the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Customer lists (11.3 year original weighted average life)	\$ 58.0	\$ 57.8
Accumulated amortization	(15.9)	(10.6)
Net customer lists	42.1	47.2
Other amortizable intangible assets (5.6 year original weighted average life)	8.2	8.2
Accumulated amortization	(5.1)	(3.5)
Net other amortizable intangible assets	3.1	4.7
Total amortizable intangible assets	45.2	51.9
Indefinite-lived intangible assets	25.5	25.5
Total intangible assets	<u>\$ 70.7</u>	<u>\$ 77.4</u>

Table of Contents

Indefinite-lived intangibles are trade secrets acquired with the April 2002 acquisition of KMV. Current circumstances and conditions continue to support an indefinite useful life.

Amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$6.9 million, \$7.0 million, and \$5.3 million, respectively.

Estimated future annual amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization is as follows:

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	
2005	\$ 6.5
2006	6.2
2007	5.5
2008	4.5
2009	4.2
Thereafter	\$ 18.3

Note 7 Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Accounts payable	\$ 2.9	\$ 4.4
Accrued income taxes (see Note 10)	75.5	47.3
Accrued compensation and benefits	128.7	126.1
Accrued professional fees	9.7	6.6
Accrued interest expense	5.7	5.7
Other	48.0	38.3
Total	<u>\$270.5</u>	<u>\$228.4</u>

Accrued compensation and benefits included accrued incentive compensation of approximately \$83 million at December 31, 2004 and \$93 million at December 31, 2003. Funding and related expense for Moody's incentive compensation plans are primarily based on year-to-year growth in operating income and, to a lesser extent, earnings per share, for Moody's senior management and annual results compared to budget for the Moody's Investors Service professional staff and for Moody's KMV.

Note 8 Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

Moody's maintains both funded and unfunded noncontributory defined benefit pension plans in which substantially all U.S. employees of the Company are eligible to participate. The plans provide defined benefits using a cash balance formula based on years of service and career average salary. The funded plan is referred to herein as the "Moody's Retirement Plan".

The Company also provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired U.S. employees. The post-retirement healthcare plans are contributory with participants' contributions adjusted annually; the life insurance plans are noncontributory. The accounting for the healthcare plans anticipates future cost-sharing changes to the written plans that are consistent with the Company's expressed intent to fix its share of costs and require retirees to pay for all future increases in plan costs in excess of the amount of the per person company contribution in the year 2005.

The plans described in the preceding two paragraphs are collectively referred to herein as the "Post-Retirement Plans".

Table of Contents

Effective at the Distribution Date, Moody's assumed responsibility for pension and other post-retirement benefits relating to its active employees. New D&B has assumed responsibility for the Company's retirees and vested terminated employees as of the Distribution Date.

As described in Note 2, in 2004 the Company adopted FSP No. 106-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003" (the "Act"). The adoption of FSP No. 106-2 resulted in a \$0.4 million reduction in the Company's accumulated benefit obligation related to post-retirement healthcare plans. In addition, since the effects of the Act were not a "significant event" as defined in FAS 106, no change in net periodic post-retirement benefit expense was recorded in 2004 related to the Act.

Following is a summary of the activity related to the Post-Retirement Plans for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, as well as the status of the plans at December 31, 2004. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date for its Post-Retirement Plans.

	Pension Plans		Other Post- Retirement Plans	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
Change in benefit obligation				
Projected benefit obligation, beginning of the period	\$ (79.7)	\$ (53.3)	\$ (6.1)	\$ (6.1)
Service cost	(8.3)	(6.9)	(0.5)	(0.4)
Interest cost	(5.1)	(4.1)	(0.4)	(0.3)
Benefits paid	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.1
Plan amendments	(3.5)	(0.5)	—	0.5
Impact of Medicare Part D	—	—	0.4	—
Curtailment charge	—	0.6	—	—
Special termination benefit charge	—	(1.0)	—	—
Actuarial gain/(loss)	(1.0)	(8.4)	(0.4)	0.5
Assumption changes	(13.0)	(6.7)	(0.7)	(0.4)
Projected benefit obligation, end of the period	<u>\$ (109.8)</u>	<u>\$ (79.7)</u>	<u>\$ (7.4)</u>	<u>\$ (6.1)</u>
Change in plan assets				
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of the period	\$ 86.1	\$ 71.5	\$ —	\$ —
Actual return on plan assets	10.4	15.2	—	—
Benefits paid	(0.8)	(0.6)	(0.3)	(0.1)
Contributions	—	—	0.3	0.1
Fair value of plan assets, end of the period	<u>\$ 95.7</u>	<u>\$ 86.1</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Reconciliation of funded status to total amount recognized				
Funded status of the plans	\$ (14.1)	\$ 6.4	\$ (7.4)	\$ (6.1)
Unrecognized actuarial loss	48.6	38.3	1.1	0.4
Unrecognized prior service cost	5.3	2.1	0.3	0.4
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ 39.8</u>	<u>\$ 46.8</u>	<u>\$ (6.0)</u>	<u>\$ (5.3)</u>
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets				
Prepaid pension cost	\$ 59.7	\$ 60.2	\$ —	\$ —
Pension and post-retirement benefits liability	(27.2)	(15.2)	(6.0)	(5.3)
Intangible asset	5.6	1.8	—	—
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	1.7	—	—	—
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ 39.8</u>	<u>\$ 46.8</u>	<u>\$ (6.0)</u>	<u>\$ (5.3)</u>

Table of Contents

The curtailment charge and special termination benefit charge in 2003 relate to a benefit enhancement provided under the Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan maintained by the Company. The plan amendment charge in 2004 relates to additional participants admitted to the Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan.

During 2004, the Company recorded charges to other comprehensive loss related to additional minimum pension liability adjustments totaling \$1.7 million (\$1.0 million net of tax). No additional minimum pension liability adjustments were recorded prior to 2004.

The accumulated benefit obligation related to the Post-Retirement Plans totaled \$79.3 million and \$53.7 million as of December 31, 2004 and 2003.

	Pension Plans			Other Post-Retirement Plans		
	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002
Components of net periodic expense (income)						
Service cost	\$ 8.3	\$ 6.9	\$ 5.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.3
Interest cost	5.1	4.1	2.9	0.4	0.3	0.3
Expected return on plan assets	(8.0)	(7.7)	(9.0)	—	—	—
Amortization of net actuarial loss from earlier periods	1.4	1.2	0.1	—	—	—
Amortization of unrecognized prior service costs	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	—
Net periodic expense (income).	<u>\$ 7.0</u>	<u>\$ 4.7</u>	<u>\$ (0.7)</u>	<u>\$ 1.1</u>	<u>\$ 0.9</u>	<u>\$ 0.6</u>

The following information is for those Post-Retirement Plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets :

	December 31,	
	2004	2003
Projected benefit obligation	47.6	32.4
Accumulated benefit obligation	27.2	14.6
Fair value of plan assets	—	—

Additional Information:

Assumptions

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31:

	Pension Plans		Other Post-Retirement Plans	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
Discount rate	5.90%	6.25%	5.90%	6.25%
Rate of compensation increase	4.00%	3.91%	—	—
Cash balance accumulation/conversion rate	5.00%	5.00%	—	—

Table of Contents

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost for years ended December 31:

	Pension Plans			Other Post-Retirement Plans		
	2004	2003	2002	2004	2003	2002
Discount rate	6.25%	6.75%	7.25%	6.25%	6.75%	7.25%
Expected return on plan assets	8.35%	8.10%	9.75%	—	—	—
Rate of compensation increase	3.91%	3.91%	4.41%	—	—	—
Cash balance accumulation/conversion rate	5.00%	5.00%	5.50%	—	—	—

For 2004, the Company used an assumed return on assets of approximately 8.35% for the Moody's Retirement Plan, which was determined based on explicit long-term return assumptions for each major asset class within the plan portfolio. Moody's works with third party consultants to determine assumptions for long-term rates of return for the asset classes that are included in the pension plan investment portfolio. These return assumptions reflect a long-term time horizon. They also reflect a combination of historical performance analysis and forward-looking views of the financial markets including consideration of inflation, current yields on long-term bonds and price-earnings ratios of the major stock market indices.

Assumed Healthcare Cost Trend Rates at December 31:

	2004		2003	2002
	Pre-age 65	Post-age 65	Pre- and post-age 65	Pre- and post-age 65
Healthcare cost trend rate assumed for the following year	11.0%	13.0%	10.0%	11.0%
Ultimate rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)	5.0%	5.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2013	2013	2008	2008

The assumed health cost trend rate was modified in 2004 to better reflect different trend expectations for the medical and prescribed medication components of healthcare costs in proportion to the respective share of gross healthcare costs for pre- and post-age 65 retirees. A one percentage-point change in assumed healthcare cost trend rates would not have affected total service and interest cost and would have increased or decreased the post-retirement benefit obligation by \$0.2 million.

Plan Assets

The assets of the Moody's Retirement Plan were allocated among the following categories at December 31, 2004 and 2003:

Asset Category	Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31,	
	2004	2003
Equity securities	73%	71%
Debt securities	18%	21%
Real estate	9%	8%
Total	100%	100%

Table of Contents

Moody's investment objective for the Moody's Retirement Plan assets is to earn total returns that will minimize future contribution requirements over the long run within a prudent level of risk. The Company's current pension plan asset allocation targets are for approximately seventy percent of assets to be invested in equity securities, diversified across U.S. and non-U.S. stocks of small, medium and large capitalization, twenty percent in investment grade bonds and the remainder in real estate funds. The use of derivatives to leverage the portfolio or otherwise is not permitted. The Company's monitoring of the plan includes ongoing reviews of investment performance, annual liability measurements, periodic asset/liability studies and investment portfolio reviews.

Moody's other Post-Retirement Plans are unfunded and therefore have no plan assets.

Cash Flows

Expected employer contributions to the Post-Retirement Plans in 2005 are \$6.2 million for pension plans and \$0.3 million for other Post-Retirement Plans.

Estimated Future Benefits Payable

Estimated future benefits payments for the Post-Retirement Plans are as follows at December 31, 2004:

<u>Year ending December 31.</u>	<u>Pension Plans</u>	<u>Other Post-Retirement Plans</u>
2005	\$ 7.5	\$ 0.3
2006	2.4	0.4
2007	2.7	0.4
2008	2.9	0.5
2009	3.2	0.5
Next five years to December 31, 2014	36.6	3.5

Profit Participation Plan

Moody's has a profit participation plan (the "Plan") covering substantially all U.S. employees. The Plan provides for an employee salary deferral contribution and Company contributions. Employees may contribute up to 16% of their pay, subject to the federal limit. Moody's contributes an amount equal to 50% of employee contributions, with Moody's contribution limited to 3% of the employee's pay. Moody's makes additional contributions to the Plan that are based on year-to-year growth in the Company's earnings per share. Expense associated with this plan was \$15.0 million, \$18.3 million and \$15.1 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

International Plans

Certain of the Company's international operations provide pension benefits to their employees in the form of defined contribution plans. Company contributions are primarily determined as a percentage of employees' eligible compensation. Expense related to these plans for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was approximately \$3.8 million, \$2.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Note 9 Stock-Based Compensation Plans

Prior to the 2000 Distribution, certain employees of Moody's received grants of Old D&B stock options under Old D&B's 1998 Key Employees' Stock Option Plan (the "1998 Plan"). At the Distribution Date, all unexercised Old D&B stock options held by Moody's employees were converted into separately exercisable options to acquire Moody's common stock and separately exercisable options to acquire New D&B common stock, such that each option had the same ratio of the exercise price per option to the market value per share, the same aggregate

Table of Contents

difference between market value and exercise price, and the same vesting provisions, option periods and other terms and conditions applicable prior to the 2000 Distribution. Old D&B stock options held by employees and retirees of Old D&B were converted in the same manner. Immediately after the 2000 Distribution, the 1998 Plan was amended and adopted by the Company.

Under the 1998 Plan, 16,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for issuance. The 1998 Plan provides that options are exercisable not later than ten years from the grant date. The vesting period for awards under the 1998 Plan is determined by the Board of Directors at the date of the grant and has principally been four years. Options may not be granted at less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. For incentive stock options granted to a shareholder of more than 10% of the Company's outstanding stock, the exercise price per share cannot be less than 110% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. The 1998 Plan also provides for the granting of restricted stock.

The Amended and Restated 2001 Moody's Corporation Key Employees' Stock Incentive Plan (the "2001 Plan") was approved by the Company's Board of Directors in March 2004 and by the Company's shareholders in April 2004. Under the 2001 Plan, 12,800,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance. Options may not be granted at less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. The 2001 Plan provides that options are exercisable not later than ten years from the grant date. The vesting period for awards under the 2001 Plan is determined by the Board of Directors at the date of the grant and has been four years. Unlike the 1998 Plan, the 2001 Plan also provides that consultants to the Company or any of its affiliates are eligible to be granted options. The 2001 Plan also provides for the granting of restricted stock. The total number of shares available for grants of awards other than stock options is limited to 2,500,000 shares.

Under the 1998 and 2001 Plans, key employees of the Company may be granted shares of common stock based on the achievement of revenue growth goals or other operating objectives ("Performance Shares"). At the end of the performance period, Company performance at target will yield the targeted amount of shares, whereas Company performance above or below target will yield larger or smaller share awards, respectively. There were no Performance Share grants in 2004, 2003 and 2002. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$0.2 million in 2002 relating to performance shares granted in 1999, for which the performance period ended in 2002. There were no performance shares outstanding in 2003 and 2004 and, accordingly no related compensation expense was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003.

The Company maintains a stock plan for its Board of Directors, the 1998 Directors Plan (the "Directors Plan"), which permits the granting of awards in the form of non-qualified stock options, restricted stock or performance shares. The Directors Plan provides that options are exercisable not later than ten years from the grant date. The vesting period is determined by the Board of Directors at the date of the grant and is generally one year for options and three years for restricted stock. Under the Directors Plan, 400,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance. Any director of the Company who is not an employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries as of the date that an award is granted is eligible to participate in the Directors Plan.

In February 2005, Moody's awarded long-term, equity-based compensation as a mix of stock options and restricted stock. The aggregate grants were approximately 1.3 million options and 0.2 million shares of restricted stock under the 2001 Plan, and approximately 0.6 million options and 0.2 million shares of restricted stock under the 1998 Plan. The options and a portion of the restricted stock vest ratably over four years. The remaining restricted stock will vest over a period of three to five years, depending on growth in the Company's operating income.

Also in February 2005, Directors of the Company were granted approximately 9,500 shares of restricted stock under the Directors Plan.

Table of Contents

Below is a summary of restricted shares that Moody's granted in 2004 and 2003:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Restricted shares granted	0.4	0.02
Weighted average fair value at grant date	\$65.58	\$42.04

Changes in stock options for the three years ended December 31, 2004 are summarized below:

	<u>Number Outstanding</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>
Options outstanding, December 31, 2001	14.7	\$ 23.00
Granted	3.8	40.01
Exercised	(2.5)	19.31
Surrendered or retired	<u>(0.7)</u>	27.43
Options outstanding, December 31, 2002	15.3	27.63
Granted	3.6	42.73
Exercised	(3.1)	23.87
Surrendered or retired	<u>(0.6)</u>	32.67
Options outstanding, December 31, 2003	15.2	31.78
Granted	2.3	65.33
Exercised	(3.6)	27.47
Surrendered or retired	<u>(0.7)</u>	45.88
Options outstanding, December 31, 2004	<u>13.2</u>	\$ 38.15

Below is a summary of Moody's stock options held by Moody's employees and by New D&B employees and retirees as of each date:

	<u>Moody's Employees</u>	<u>New D&B Employees and Retirees</u>
Options outstanding at:		
December 31, 2002	11.1	4.2
December 31, 2003	12.6	2.6
December 31, 2004	11.6	1.6

The following table summarizes additional information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2004:

<u>Range of Exercise Prices</u>	<u>Options Outstanding</u>		
	<u>Number Outstanding</u>	<u>Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>
\$16.16-\$19.94	0.6	1.9	\$ 17.11
\$21.42-\$23.96	1.8	4.5	21.80
\$25.13-\$28.13	3.1	4.8	27.47
\$33.92-\$39.98	2.5	7.0	39.87
\$40.59-\$43.55	3.1	8.0	42.46
\$52.05-\$87.19	<u>2.1</u>	9.2	\$ 65.26
Total	<u>13.2</u>		

Table of Contents

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Exercisable	
	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$16.16-\$19.94	0.6	\$ 17.11
\$21.42-\$23.96	1.7	21.82
\$25.13-\$28.13	3.1	27.47
\$33.92-\$39.98	1.0	39.84
\$40.59-\$43.55	0.6	\$ 42.41
\$52.05-\$87.19	—	—
Total	<u>7.0</u>	

In addition, the Company also sponsors the Moody's Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP"). The ESPP allows eligible employees to purchase common stock of the Company on a monthly basis at 85% of its fair market value on the first trading day of the month. The employee purchases are funded through after-tax payroll deductions, which plan participants can elect at from one percent to ten percent of compensation, subject to the federal limit.

Note 10 Income Taxes

Components of the Company's income tax provision are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Current:			
Federal	\$ 240.7	\$ 199.7	\$ 150.5
State and local	70.8	63.6	54.4
Non-U.S	44.3	35.3	26.3
Total current	<u>355.8</u>	<u>298.6</u>	<u>231.2</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	(6.9)	(3.8)	(3.5)
State and local	(2.3)	(1.5)	1.4
Non-U.S	(0.4)	(0.8)	(0.6)
Total deferred	<u>(9.6)</u>	<u>(6.1)</u>	<u>(2.7)</u>
Total provision for income taxes	<u>\$ 346.2</u>	<u>\$ 292.5</u>	<u>\$ 228.5</u>

A reconciliation of the U.S. federal statutory tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate on income before provision for income taxes is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
U.S. statutory tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State and local taxes, net of federal tax benefit	5.8	6.2	7.0
U.S. taxes on foreign income	0.3	0.3	0.7
Legacy tax items	4.3	3.0	3.3
Other	(0.5)	0.1	(1.8)
Effective tax rate	<u>44.9%</u>	<u>44.6%</u>	<u>44.2%</u>

Table of Contents

Income taxes paid were \$300.1 million, \$210.6 million and \$226.6 million in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Taxes paid in 2002 included approximately \$50 million of 2001 income tax payments that were deferred from 2001 due to the September 11th tragedy.

The components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
<u>Deferred tax assets:</u>		
Current:		
Accounts receivable allowances	\$ 6.1	\$ 6.9
Accrued compensation and benefits	4.9	5.1
Other	1.3	1.0
Total current	<u>12.3</u>	<u>13.0</u>
Non-current:		
Depreciation and amortization	8.1	5.3
Benefit plans	25.3	13.5
State taxes	1.9	1.8
Other	7.5	8.0
Total non-current	<u>42.8</u>	<u>28.6</u>
Total deferred tax assets	<u>55.1</u>	<u>41.6</u>
<u>Deferred tax liabilities:</u>		
Current:		
Prepaid expenses	<u>(1.6)</u>	<u>(1.4)</u>
Total current	<u>(1.6)</u>	<u>(1.4)</u>
Non-current:		
Prepaid pension costs	(25.3)	(25.1)
Amortization of intangible assets and capitalized software	(9.7)	(6.8)
Other	(4.8)	(0.1)
Total non-current	<u>(39.8)</u>	<u>(32.0)</u>
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>(41.4)</u>	<u>(33.4)</u>
Net deferred tax asset	<u>\$ 13.7</u>	<u>\$ 8.2</u>

The current deferred tax assets, net of current deferred tax liabilities, as well as prepaid taxes of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, are included in other current assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Non-current tax receivables of \$2.6 million and \$26.5 million at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, are included in other assets. The net effect of non-current deferred tax assets and non-current deferred tax liabilities is included in other assets and other liabilities at December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Management has determined, based on the Company's history of prior and current levels of operating earnings, that no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets should be provided as of December 31, 2004 and 2003.

At December 31, 2004, undistributed earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries aggregated approximately \$73 million. Earnings from the United Kingdom, France, Germany, Spain, Italy and Japan are or will be remitted to the U.S. on a regular basis. As such, taxes related to anticipated distributions have been provided in the consolidated financial statements. Moody's anticipates that it may benefit from the American JOBS Act of 2004 for certain non-U.S. earnings that will be remitted to the U.S. in 2005. However, since the Company has not yet determined whether it will meet the conditions for the reinvestment of the dividends in U.S. property, such benefit was not recorded as of December 31, 2004. Deferred tax liabilities have not been recognized for approximately \$8 million of undistributed foreign earnings that management intends to reinvest outside the U.S. If all such undistributed earnings were remitted to the U.S., the amount of incremental U.S. federal and foreign income taxes payable, net of foreign tax credits, would be approximately \$1 million.

Note 11 Indebtedness

In connection with the 2000 Distribution, Moody's was allocated \$195.5 million of debt at September 30, 2000. Moody's funded this debt with borrowings under a \$160 million unsecured bank revolving credit facility and a bank bridge line of credit.

On October 3, 2000 the Company issued \$300 million of notes payable (the "Notes") in a private placement. The cash proceeds from the Notes were used in part to repay the outstanding balance on the revolving credit facility and to repay the bridge line of credit. The Notes have a five-year term and bear interest at an annual rate of 7.61%, payable semi-annually. In the event that Moody's pays all or part of the Notes in advance of their maturity (the "prepaid principal"), such prepayment will be subject to a penalty calculated based on the excess, if any, of the discounted value of the remaining scheduled payments, as defined in the agreement, over the prepaid principal. At December 31, 2004, the Notes have been classified as current liabilities since they mature in September 2005. Management is in the process of evaluating refinancing and repayment alternatives for the Notes. Interest paid under the Notes was \$22.8 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. Total interest expense was \$23.0 million, \$23.5 million and \$23.5 million, respectively for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002.

On September 1, 2004, Moody's entered into a five-year senior, unsecured bank revolving credit facility (the "Facility") in an aggregate principal amount of \$160 million that expires in September 2009. This Facility replaced the \$80 million 5-year facility that was scheduled to expire in September 2005 and the \$80 million 364-day facility that expired in September 2004. Interest on borrowings under the Facility is payable at rates that are based on the London InterBank Offered Rate plus a premium that can range from 17 basis points to 47.5 basis points depending on the Company's ratio of total indebtedness to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("Earnings Coverage Ratio"), as defined in the related agreement. At December 31, 2004, such premium was 17 basis points. The Company also pays quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the Facility. The quarterly fees can range from 8 basis points of the Facility amount to 15 basis points, depending on the Company's Earnings Coverage Ratio, and were 8 basis points at December 31, 2004. Under the Facility, the Company also pays a utilization fee of 12.5 basis points on borrowings outstanding when the aggregate amount outstanding under the Facility exceeds 50% of the Facility.

Interest paid under Moody's previous revolving credit facilities for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. No interest was paid under the Company's facilities for the year ended December 31, 2004 as no borrowings were outstanding during the year.

The Notes and the Facility (the "Agreements") contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as defined in the related agreements. The Agreements also contain financial covenants that, among other things, require the Company to maintain an interest coverage ratio, as defined in the related agreements, of not less than 3 to 1 for any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, and an Earnings Coverage Ratio, as defined in the related agreements, of not more than 4 to 1 at the end of any fiscal quarter. At December 31, 2004, the Company was in compliance with such covenants. Upon the occurrence of certain financial or economic events, significant corporate events or certain other events constituting an event of default under the Agreements, all loans outstanding under the Agreements (including accrued interest and fees payable thereunder) may be declared immediately due and payable and all commitments under the Agreements may be terminated. In addition, certain other events of default under the Agreements would automatically result in amounts due becoming immediately due and payable and all commitments being terminated.

Note 12 Capital Stock

Authorized Capital Stock

The total number of shares of all classes of stock that the Company has authority to issue under its Restated Certificate of Incorporation is 420,000,000 shares with a par value of \$0.01, of which 400,000,000 are shares of common stock, 10,000,000 are shares of preferred stock and 10,000,000 are shares of series common stock. The preferred stock and series common stock can be issued with varying terms, as determined by the Board of Directors. As more fully described in Note 20, on February 15, 2005 the Company's Board of Directors declared a two-for-one stock split which is subject to stockholder approval of a charter amendment to increase the Company's authorized common shares. The proposal to amend the charter will be described in the Company's annual meeting proxy statement and will be voted on by stockholders at the Annual Meeting on April 26, 2005.

Rights Agreement

The Company has a Rights Agreement designed to protect its shareholders in the event of unsolicited offers to acquire the Company and coercive takeover tactics that, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, could impair its ability to represent shareholder interests. Under the Rights Agreement, each share of common stock has a right that trades with the stock until the right becomes exercisable. Each right entitles the registered holder to purchase 1/1000 of a share of a series A junior participating preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at a price of \$100 per 1/1000 of a share, subject to adjustment. The rights will generally not be exercisable until a person or group ("Acquiring Person") acquires beneficial ownership of, or commences a tender offer or exchange offer that would result in such person or group having beneficial ownership of, 15% or more of the outstanding common stock at such time.

In the event that any person or group becomes an Acquiring Person, each right will thereafter entitle its holder (other than the Acquiring Person) to receive, upon exercise and payment, shares of stock having a market value equal to two times the exercise price in the form of the Company's common stock or, where appropriate, the Acquiring Person's common stock. The rights are not currently exercisable, as no shareholder is currently an Acquiring Person. The Company may redeem the rights, which expire in June 2008, for \$0.01 per right, under certain circumstances, including for a Board-approved acquirer either before the acquirer becomes an Acquiring Person or during the window period after the triggering event as specified in the Rights Agreement.

Share Repurchase Program

On May 24, 2004, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized a new \$600 million share repurchase program, which includes both special share repurchases and systematic share repurchases to offset shares issued under Moody's stock-based compensation plans. There is no established expiration date for this authorization. During June 2004, the Company completed its previous \$450 million program, which had been authorized by the Board of Directors in October 2002.

Since becoming a public company in October 2000 and through the end of 2004, Moody's has repurchased 26.4 million shares at a total cost of \$1.1 billion, including 13.0 million shares to offset issuances under employee stock plans. During 2004, Moody's repurchased 3.5 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$221.3 million and 3.7 million shares were issued under employee stock plans.

Dividends

During 2004, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of 7.5 cents per share of Moody's common stock, resulting in dividends paid per share of 30 cents during the year. During 2003 and 2002, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of 4.5 cents per share of Moody's common stock, resulting in dividends paid per share of 18 cents in each year.

On December 14, 2004, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a quarterly dividend of 7.5 cents per share of Moody's common stock, payable on March 10, 2005 to stockholders of record at the close of business on February 20, 2005. On February 15, 2005, the board voted to increase the quarterly dividend per share to \$0.11 before giving effect to the proposed two-for-one stock split as more fully described in Note 20, payable on June 15, 2005 to stockholders of record as of May 27, 2005. If the stock split is effected, then on a post-split basis,

Table of Contents

the dividend will be increased to 5.5 cents per share from the 3.75 cents per share that otherwise would have been paid absent the dividend increase. The continued payment of dividends at this rate, or at all, is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Note 13 Lease Commitments

Moody's operates its business from various leased facilities, which are under operating leases that expire over the next eleven years. Moody's also leases certain computer and other equipment under operating and capital leases that expire over the next five years. Rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was \$15.1 million, \$13.3 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. Rent expense for 2002 was net of sublease rental income of \$0.6 million. There was no sublease rental income in 2004 and 2003.

During 2002, Moody's acquired approximately \$3.9 million of computer equipment subject to capital lease obligations. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2004 includes approximately \$2.6 million related to those capital lease obligations.

The approximate minimum rent for leases that have remaining or original noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year at December 31, 2004 is as follows:

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	<u>Capital Leases</u>	<u>Operating Leases</u>
2005	\$ 1.3	\$ 15.7
2006	—	12.2
2007	—	9.9
2008	—	9.1
2009	—	6.2
Thereafter	—	11.9
Total minimum lease payments	1.3	\$ 65.0
Less: amount representing interest	(0.0)	
Present value of net minimum lease payments under capital leases	\$ 1.3	

Note 14 Contingencies

From time to time, Moody's is involved in legal and tax proceedings, claims and litigation that are incidental to the Company's business, including claims based on ratings assigned by Moody's. Management periodically assesses the Company's liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters, based upon the latest information available. For those matters where it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the probable amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company believes it has recorded appropriate reserves in the consolidated financial statements and periodically adjusts these reserves as appropriate. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of a liability, if any. As additional information becomes available, the Company adjusts its assessments and estimates of such liabilities accordingly.

Based on its review of the latest information available, in the opinion of management, the ultimate liability of the Company in connection with pending legal and tax proceedings, claims and litigation will not have a material adverse effect on Moody's financial position, results of operations or cash flows, subject to the contingencies described below.

Legacy Contingencies

To understand the Company's exposure to the potential liabilities described below, it is important to understand the relationship between Moody's and New D&B, and the relationship among New D&B and its predecessors and other

Table of Contents

parties who, through various corporate reorganizations and related contractual commitments, have assumed varying degrees of responsibility with respect to such matters.

In November 1996, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation through a spin-off separated into three separate public companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, ACNielsen Corporation (“ACNielsen”) and Cognizant Corporation (“Cognizant”) (the “1996 Distribution”). Under the terms of the distribution agreement relating to the 1996 Distribution (the “1996 Distribution Agreement”), each party thereto is prohibited from distributing to its stockholders any business that had been allocated to it in connection with the 1996 Distribution, unless the distributed business delivers an undertaking agreeing to be jointly and severally liable to the other parties under the 1996 Distribution Agreement for the liabilities of the distributing parent company under the 1996 Distribution Agreement.

In June 1998, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation through a spin-off separated into two separate public companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation and R.H. Donnelley Corporation (“Donnelley”) (the “1998 Distribution”). During 1998, Cognizant through a spin-off separated into two separate public companies: IMS Health Incorporated (“IMS Health”) and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. (“NMR”). In September 2000, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (“Old D&B”) through a spin-off separated into two separate public companies: New D&B and Moody’s, as further described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements.

Information Resources, Inc.

The following is a description of an antitrust lawsuit filed in 1996 by Information Resources, Inc. (“IRI”). As more fully described below, VNU N.V., a publicly traded Dutch company, and its U.S. subsidiaries, VNU, Inc., ACNielsen Corporation (“ACNielsen”), AC Nielsen (US), Inc. (“ACN (US)”), and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. (“NMR”) (collectively, the “VNU Parties”), have assumed exclusive joint and several liability for any judgment or settlement of this antitrust lawsuit. As a result of the indemnity obligation, Moody’s does not have any exposure to a judgment or settlement of this lawsuit unless the VNU Parties default on their obligations. However, in the event of such a default, contractual commitments undertaken by Moody’s in connection with various corporate reorganizations since 1996 would require the Company to bear a portion of any amount not paid by the VNU Parties. Moreover, as described below, on February 1, 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York entered a final judgment against IRI dismissing IRI’s claims with prejudice and on the merits.

In July 1996, IRI filed a complaint, subsequently amended in 1997, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, naming as defendants the corporation then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (now known as R.H. Donnelly), A.C. Nielsen Company (a subsidiary of ACNielsen) and IMS International, Inc. (a subsidiary of the company then known as Cognizant). At the time of the filing of the complaint, each of the other defendants was a subsidiary of the company then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation.

The amended complaint alleges various violations of United States antitrust laws under Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act. The amended complaint also alleges a claim of tortious interference with a contract and a claim of tortious interference with a prospective business relationship. These claims relate to the acquisition by defendants of Survey Research Group Limited (“SRG”). IRI alleged SRG violated an alleged agreement with IRI when it agreed to be acquired by defendants and that defendants induced SRG to breach that agreement.

IRI’s antitrust claims allege that defendants developed and implemented a plan to undermine IRI’s ability to compete within the United States and foreign markets in North America, Latin America, Asia, Europe and Australia/New Zealand through a series of anti-competitive practices, including: unlawfully tying/bundling services in the markets in which defendants allegedly had monopoly power with services in markets in which ACNielsen competed with IRI; entering into exclusionary contracts with retailers in certain countries to deny IRI’s access to sales data necessary to provide retail tracking services or to artificially raise the cost of that data; predatory pricing; acquiring foreign market competitors with the intent of impeding IRI’s efforts to expand; disparaging IRI to financial analysts and clients; and denying IRI access to capital necessary for it to compete.

Table of Contents

IRI is seeking in excess of \$650 million, which IRI also asked to be trebled. IRI has filed with the Court the report of its expert who has opined that IRI suffered damages of between \$582 million and \$652 million from the defendants' alleged practices. IRI also sought punitive damages in an unspecified amount.

On June 21, 2004, pursuant to a stipulation between IRI and defendants, the Court ordered that certain of IRI's claims be dismissed with prejudice from the lawsuit, including the claims that defendants tortiously interfered with the SRG acquisition. The Company believes that the dismissal of the tortious interference claims also precludes any claim for punitive damages.

On December 3, 2004, the Court entered In limine Order No. 1, which bars IRI from "arguing that Nielsen's pricing practices or discounts were illegal or anti-competitive unless it can prove they involved prices below short-run average variable cost, calculated without the inclusion of Nielsen's 'Fixed Operations' costs". On December 17, 2004, IRI issued a press release, which said in relevant part, "Without this evidence, IRI believes that little would be left of IRI's case to take to trial". IRI asked the Court to enter a final judgment against it, so that it could take an immediate appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Defendants did not object to this request. On February 1, 2005 the Court entered a final judgment dismissing IRI's claims with prejudice and on February 2, 2005, the Court entered IRI's notice of appeal to the Second Circuit. The Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has ordered that the appeal be argued no earlier than the week of June 13, 2005.

In connection with the 1996 Distribution, NMR (then known as Cognizant Corporation), ACNielsen and Donnelley (then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) entered into an Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement (the "Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement"), pursuant to which they agreed to:

- allocate potential liabilities that may relate to, arise out of or result from the IRI lawsuit ("IRI Liabilities"); and
- conduct a joint defense of such action.

In 2001, ACNielsen was acquired by VNU N.V., which assumed ACNielsen's obligations under the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement.

Under the terms of the 1998 Distribution, Old D&B assumed all potential liabilities of Donnelley (then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) arising from the IRI action and agreed to indemnify Donnelley in connection with such potential liabilities. Under the terms of the 2000 Distribution, New D&B undertook to be jointly and severally liable with Moody's for Old D&B's obligations to Donnelley under the 1998 Distribution, including for any liabilities arising under the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement and arising from the IRI action itself. However, as between New D&B and Moody's, it was agreed that under the 2000 Distribution, each of New D&B and Moody's will be responsible for 50% of any payments required to be made to or on behalf of Donnelley with respect to the IRI action under the terms of the 1998 Distribution, including legal fees or expenses related to the IRI action.

On July 30, 2004, the VNU Parties, Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health entered into an Amended and Restated Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement (the "Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement").

Pursuant to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, any and all IRI Liabilities incurred by Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B or IMS Health relating to a judgment (even if not final) or any settlement being entered into in the IRI action will be jointly and severally assumed, and fully discharged, exclusively by the VNU Parties. Under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, the VNU Parties have agreed to, jointly and severally, indemnify Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health from and against all IRI Liabilities to which they become subject. As a result, the cap on ACNielsen's liability for the IRI Liabilities, which was provided for in the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, no longer exists and all such liabilities are the responsibility of the VNU Parties pursuant to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement.

Table of Contents

In addition, the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement provides that if it becomes necessary to post any bond pending an appeal of an adverse judgment, then the VNU Parties shall obtain the bond required for the appeal and shall pay the full cost of such bond.

In connection with entering into the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health agreed to amend certain covenants of the Original Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement to provide operational flexibility for ACNielsen going forward. In addition, the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement includes certain amendments to the covenants of ACNielsen (which, under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, are now also applicable to ACN (US), which the Company understand holds ACNielsen's operating assets), which are designed to preserve such parties' claims-paying ability and protect Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health. Among other covenants, ACNielsen and ACN (US) agreed that neither they nor any of their respective subsidiaries will incur any indebtedness to any affiliated person, except indebtedness which its payment will, after a payment obligation under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement comes due, be conditioned on, and subordinated to, the payment and performance of the obligations of such parties under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement. VNU N.V. has agreed to having a process agent in New York to receive on its behalf service of any process concerning the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement.

As described above, the VNU Parties have assumed exclusive responsibility for the payment of all IRI Liabilities. However, because liability for violations of the antitrust laws is joint and several and because the rights and obligations relating to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement are based on contractual relationships, the failure of the VNU Parties to fulfill their obligations under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement could result in the other parties bearing all or a portion of the IRI Liabilities. Joint and several liability for the IRI action means that even where more than one defendant is determined to have been responsible for an alleged wrongdoing, the plaintiff can collect all or part of the judgment from just one of the defendants. This is true regardless of whatever contractual allocation of responsibility the defendants and any other indemnifying parties may have made, including the allocations described above between the VNU Parties, Donnelley, Moody's, New D&B and IMS Health.

Accordingly, and as a result of the allocations of liability described above, in the event the VNU Parties default on their obligations under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, each of Moody's and New D&B will be responsible for the payment of 50% of the portion of any judgment or settlement ultimately paid by Donnelley (which is a defendant in the IRI action), which can be as high as all the IRI Liabilities.

The Company is unable to predict the outcome of the IRI action (including the appeal), or the financial condition of any of the VNU parties or the other defendants at the time of any such outcome and hence the Company cannot estimate their ability to pay the IRI Liabilities pursuant to the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement or the amount of the judgment or settlement in the IRI action. However, provided that the VNU Parties fulfill their obligations under the Amended Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, the Company believes that the resolution of this matter, irrespective of the outcome of the IRI action, should not materially affect Moody's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Accordingly, no amount in respect of this matter has been accrued in the Company's consolidated financial statements. If, however, IRI were to prevail in whole or in part in this action and if Moody's is required to pay, notwithstanding such contractual obligations, a portion of any significant settlement or judgment, the outcome of this matter could have a material adverse effect on Moody's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Legacy Tax Matters

Old D&B and its predecessors entered into global tax planning initiatives in the normal course of business, including through tax-free restructurings of both their foreign and domestic operations. These initiatives are subject to normal review by tax authorities.

Pursuant to a series of agreements, as between themselves, IMS Health and NMR are jointly and severally liable to pay one-half, and New D&B and Moody's are jointly and severally liable to pay the other half, of any payments for

Table of Contents

taxes, penalties and accrued interest resulting from unfavorable IRS rulings on certain tax matters as described in such agreements (excluding the matter described below as “Amortization Expense Deductions” for which New D&B and Moody’s are solely responsible) and certain other potential tax liabilities, also as described in such agreements, after New D&B and/or Moody’s pays the first \$137 million, which amount was paid in connection with the matter described below as “Utilization of Capital Losses”.

In connection with the 2000 Distribution and pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, New D&B and Moody’s have, between themselves, agreed to each be financially responsible for 50% of any potential liabilities that may arise to the extent such potential liabilities are not directly attributable to their respective business operations.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, three specific tax matters are discussed below.

Royalty Expense Deductions

During the second quarter of 2003, New D&B received an Examination Report from the IRS with respect to a partnership transaction entered into in 1993. In this Report, the IRS stated its intention to disallow certain royalty expense deductions claimed by Old D&B on its tax returns for the years 1993 through 1996 (the “Royalty Report”). In the first quarter of 2004, New D&B received a similar Examination Report (the “Second Royalty Report”) relating to the first quarter of 1997.

During the second quarter of 2003, New D&B also received an Examination Report that had been issued by the IRS to the partnership, stating the IRS’ intention to ignore the partnership structure that had been established in 1993 in connection with the above transaction, and to reallocate to Old D&B income and expense items that had been reported in the partnership tax return for 1996 (the “Reallocation Report”). New D&B also received a similar Examination Report (the “Second Reallocation Report”) issued to the partnership with respect to the first quarter of 1997.

In June 2004, New D&B and the IRS conducted a mediation of these issues, at which they reached a basis for settlement with regard to the Royalty Report for 1995 and 1996, the Reallocation Report, and certain tax refund claims made by Old D&B related to 1995 and 1996 (the “Preliminary Settlement”). The Preliminary Settlement was subject to the execution of a formal settlement agreement. In addition, the IRS reasserted its position that certain tax refund claims made by Old D&B related to 1993 and 1994 may be offset by tax liabilities relating to the above mentioned partnership formed in 1993. New D&B disagrees with the position taken by the IRS for 1993 and 1994 and plans to file a protest with the IRS Appeals Office. If the protest is unsuccessful New D&B can either: (1) abandon its tax refund claims; or (2) challenge the IRS claim in U.S. District Court or the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. Moody’s estimates that its exposure for the write-off of deferred tax assets related to these tax refund claims could be up to \$9 million.

As of June 30, 2004, Moody’s had adjusted its reserves for the Royalty Expense Deductions matter to reflect the Company’s estimates of probable exposure for the Preliminary Settlement and the other matters discussed in the preceding paragraph. In accordance with the 1996 Distribution Agreement, New D&B was required to obtain the consent of Moody’s, IMS Health and NMR as a condition to executing the formal settlement agreement, but New D&B was unable to obtain consent from IMS Health and NMR. Accordingly, New D&B and the IRS were unable to agree on the terms of a formal settlement agreement by the November 1st deadline imposed by the IRS. As a result, the IRS withdrew the Preliminary Settlement.

The Company believes that in accordance with the 1996 Distribution Agreement, IMS Health and NMR, by withholding their consent to the formal settlement agreement, would be contractually responsible to pay any excess amounts above the Preliminary Settlement that may ultimately be owing with respect to tax years 1995 and 1996. IMS Health has alleged various breaches of New D&B’s obligations under the 1996 Distribution Agreement related to New D&B’s management and attempted settlement of this matter. If the parties fail to resolve their dispute, Moody’s understands that New D&B anticipates commencing arbitration proceedings against IMS Health and

Table of Contents

NMR. Based on our current understanding of the positions of New D&B and IMS Health, the Company believes it is likely that New D&B should prevail, but we cannot predict with certainty the outcome.

In addition, the Second Royalty Report and the Second Reallocation Report, which were not part of New D&B's preliminary settlement with the IRS, have not been resolved. Moody's estimates that its share of the potential required payment to the IRS for this matter is \$0.1 million (including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits).

Moody's has reassessed its exposure for the Royalty Expense Deductions matter taking into consideration: (1) the original Examination Reports discussed above (for which the Company's share of the required payments to the IRS could be up to \$103 million, including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits); and (2) the potential write-off of deferred tax assets (for which the Company's exposure could be up to \$9 million as discussed above). Based on this assessment, in 2004, the Company increased its reserve for this matter by \$16.4 million to reflect the current estimate of probable exposure. Moody's believes that the positions taken by the IRS in the Royalty Reports and the Reallocation Reports discussed above are inconsistent with each other. While it is possible that the IRS could ultimately prevail in whole or in part on one of such positions noted above, Moody's believes that it is unlikely that the IRS will prevail on both.

Amortization Expense Deductions

In April 2004, New D&B received Examination Reports (the "April Examination Reports") from the IRS with respect to a partnership transaction. This transaction was entered into in 1997 and has resulted in amortization expense deductions on the tax returns of Old D&B since 1997. These deductions could continue through 2012. In the April Examination Reports, the IRS stated its intention to disallow the amortization expense deductions related to this partnership that were claimed by Old D&B on its 1997 and 1998 tax returns. New D&B disagrees with the position taken by the IRS and can either: (1) accept and pay the IRS assessment; (2) challenge the assessment in U.S. Tax Court; or (3) challenge the assessment in U.S. District Court or the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, where in either case payment of the disputed amount would be required in connection with such challenge. IRS audits of Old D&B's or New D&B's tax returns for years subsequent to 1998 could result in the issuance of similar Examination Reports, in which case New D&B would also have the aforementioned three courses of action. Should any such payments be made by New D&B related to either the April Examination Reports or any potential Examination Reports for future years, including years subsequent to the separation of Moody's from New D&B, then pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, Moody's would have to pay to New D&B its 50% share. In addition, should New D&B discontinue claiming the amortization deductions on future tax returns, Moody's would be required to repay to New D&B an amount equal to the discounted value of its 50% share of the related future tax benefits. New D&B had paid the discounted value of 50% of the future tax benefits from this transaction in cash to Moody's at the Distribution Date. Moody's estimates that the Company's current potential exposure could be up to \$95 million (including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits). This exposure could increase by approximately \$3 million to \$6 million per year, depending on actions that the IRS may take and on whether New D&B continues claiming the amortization deductions on its tax returns.

In the April Examination Reports, the IRS also stated its intention to disallow certain royalty expense deductions claimed by Old D&B on its 1997 and 1998 tax returns with respect to the partnership transaction. In addition, the IRS stated its intention to disregard the partnership structure and to reallocate to Old D&B certain partnership income and expense items that had been reported in the partnership tax returns for 1997 and 1998. New D&B disagrees with the positions taken by the IRS and can take any of the three courses of action described in the preceding paragraph. IRS audits of Old D&B's or New D&B's tax returns for years subsequent to 1998 could result in the issuance of similar Examination Reports for the subsequent years. Should any such payments be made by New D&B related to either the April Examination Reports or any potential Examination Reports for future years, then pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, Moody's would have to pay to New D&B its 50% share of New D&B's payments to the IRS for the period from 1997 through the Distribution Date. Moody's estimates that its share of the potential exposure to the IRS could be up to \$129 million (including penalties and interest, and net of tax benefits). Moody's also could be obligated for future interest payments on its share of such liability.

Table of Contents

New D&B had filed protests with the IRS Appeals Office regarding the April Examination Reports. In September 2004, the IRS Appeals Office remanded the case to the IRS examination office for further development of the issues. New D&B has reopened discussion of the issues with the examination office.

Moody's believes that the IRS's proposed assessments of tax against Old D&B and the proposed reallocations of partnership income and expense to Old D&B are inconsistent with each other. Accordingly, while it is possible that the IRS could ultimately prevail in whole or in part on one of such positions, Moody's believes that it is unlikely that the IRS will prevail on both.

Utilization of Capital Losses

The IRS has completed its review of the utilization of certain capital losses generated by Old D&B during 1989 and 1990. On June 26, 2000, the IRS, as part of its audit process, issued a formal assessment with respect to the utilization of these capital losses.

On May 12, 2000, an amended tax return was filed by Old D&B for the 1989 and 1990 tax years, which reflected \$561.6 million of tax and interest due. Old D&B paid the IRS approximately \$349.3 million of this amount on May 12, 2000; 50% of such payment was allocated to Moody's and had previously been accrued by the Company. IMS Health informed Old D&B that it paid to the IRS approximately \$212.3 million on May 17, 2000. The payments were made to the IRS to stop further interest from accruing, and on September 20, 2000, Old D&B filed a petition for a refund in the U.S. District Court.

In July 2004, New D&B and the IRS reached a basis for settlement of all outstanding issues related to this matter and in December 2004 executed a formal settlement agreement. New D&B received the first of three final assessments on February 15, 2005 and expects to receive the other two in the second quarter of 2005. Payment of the first assessment was made in the first quarter of 2005 and payment of the two other assessments is expected to be made in the second quarter of 2005. Moody's estimates its share of these assessments to be approximately \$15 million, reflecting cash payments of approximately \$6 million and the write-off of deferred tax assets of approximately \$9 million. In addition, IMS Health and NMR have notified New D&B that they disagree with various aspects of New D&B's calculation of their respective shares of the payments. If the parties fail to resolve their dispute, Moody's understands that New D&B anticipates commencing arbitration proceedings against IMS Health and NMR. Moody's believes that New D&B should prevail in its position, but we cannot predict with certainty the outcome.

In 2004, Moody's increased its reserves for this matter by \$14 million to reflect its current estimate of the probable exposure. Should IMS Health and NMR prevail in their position described in the prior paragraph, then Moody's estimates that its exposure for this matter could increase by up to approximately \$3 million.

Summary of Moody's Exposure to Three Legacy Tax Matters

The Company considers from time to time the range and probability of potential outcomes related to the three legacy tax matters discussed above and establishes reserves that it believes are appropriate in light of the relevant facts and circumstances. In doing so, Moody's makes estimates and judgments as to future events and conditions and evaluates its estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis.

During 2004, the Company recorded charges of approximately \$30 million to increase its reserves for the three legacy tax matters reflecting its current estimates of the probable exposures on these matters. The Company also recorded approximately \$3 million of interest expense related to these reserves. As a result, at December 31, 2004, Moody's total net legacy tax reserves were \$136 million (consisting of \$161 million of tax liabilities, partially offset by the expected utilization of \$25 million of deferred tax assets). The \$136 million of expected cash payments consists of \$50 million of current liabilities (reflecting the estimated cash payments related to the Royalty Expense Deductions and Utilization of Capital Losses matters that are expected to be made over the next twelve months) and \$86 million of non-current liabilities.

Table of Contents

It is possible that the legacy tax matters could be resolved in amounts that are greater than the amounts reserved by the Company, which could result in additional charges that may be material to Moody's future reported results, financial position and cash flows. Although Moody's does not believe it is likely that the Company will ultimately be required to pay the full amounts presently being sought by the IRS, potential future outlays resulting from these matters could be as much as \$354 million and could increase with time as described above. In matters where Moody's believes the IRS has taken inconsistent positions, Moody's may be obligated initially to pay its share of related duplicative assessments. However, Moody's believes that ultimately it is unlikely that the IRS would retain such duplicative payments.

Note 15 Segment Information

The Company reports segment information in accordance with SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information". SFAS No. 131 defines operating segments as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

Moody's Investors Service consists of four rating groups — structured finance, corporate finance, financial institutions and sovereign risk, and public finance — that generate revenue principally from the assignment of credit ratings on fixed-income instruments in the debt markets, and research, which primarily generates revenue from the sale of investor-oriented credit research, principally produced by the rating groups. Given the dominance of Moody's Investors Service to Moody's overall results, the Company does not separately measure or report corporate expenses, nor are they allocated to the Company's business segments. Accordingly, all corporate expenses are included in operating income of the Moody's Investors Service segment and none have been allocated to the Moody's KMV segment.

The Moody's KMV business consists of the combined businesses of KMV, acquired in April 2002, and Moody's Risk Management Services. Moody's KMV develops and distributes quantitative credit assessment products and services for banks and investors in credit-sensitive assets, credit training services and credit processing software.

Assets used solely by Moody's KMV are separately disclosed within that segment. All other Company assets, including corporate assets, are reported as part of Moody's Investors Service.

Revenue by geographic area is generally based on the location of the customer.

Intersegment sales are insignificant and no single customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenue.

Below are financial information by segment, Moody's Investors Service revenue by business unit and revenue and long-lived asset information by geographic area, for the years ended and as of December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents

Financial Information by Segment

	Year Ended December 31, 2004		
	Moody's Investors Service	Moody's KMV	Consolidated
Revenue	\$1,310.7	\$ 127.6	\$ 1,438.3
Operating expenses	513.7	104.1	617.8
Depreciation and amortization	16.9	17.2	34.1
Operating income	780.1	6.3	786.4
Non-operating expense, net			(15.1)
Income before provision for income taxes			771.3
Provision for income taxes			346.2
Net income			\$ 425.1
Total assets at December 31	\$1,110.2	\$ 265.8	\$ 1,376.0

	Year Ended December 31, 2003			Year Ended December 31, 2002		
	Moody's Investors Service	Moody's KMV	Consolidated	Moody's Investors Service	Moody's KMV	Consolidated
Revenue	\$1,134.7	\$ 111.9	\$ 1,246.6	\$ 941.8	\$ 81.5	\$ 1,023.3
Operating expenses	462.2	88.7	550.9	385.7	74.9	460.6
Depreciation and amortization	15.4	17.2	32.6	12.7	11.9	24.6
Operating income (loss)	657.1	6.0	663.1	543.4	(5.3)	538.1
Non-operating expense, net			(6.7)			(20.7)
Income before provision for income taxes			656.4			517.4
Provision for income taxes			292.5			228.5
Net income			\$ 363.9			\$ 288.9
Total assets at December 31	\$ 683.9	\$ 268.4	\$ 952.3	\$ 364.2	\$ 266.6	\$ 630.8

Moody's Investors Service Revenue by Business Unit

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2004	2003	2002
Ratings revenue:			
Structured finance	\$ 538.6	\$ 460.6	\$ 384.3
Corporate finance	311.5	278.8	227.7
Financial institutions and sovereign risk	208.9	181.2	155.0
Public finance	82.2	87.2	81.2
Total ratings revenue	1,141.2	1,007.8	848.2
Research revenue	169.5	126.9	93.6
Total Moody's Investors Service	\$1,310.7	\$1,134.7	\$ 941.8

Table of Contents

Revenue and Long-lived Asset Information by Geographic Area

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Revenue:			
United States	\$ 911.2	\$ 795.3	\$ 680.8
International	527.1	451.3	342.5
Total	<u>\$1,438.3</u>	<u>\$1,246.6</u>	<u>\$1,023.3</u>
Long-lived assets:			
United States	\$ 245.2	\$ 255.9	\$ 269.3
International	18.7	14.7	15.4
Total	<u>\$ 263.9</u>	<u>\$ 270.6</u>	<u>\$ 284.7</u>

Note 16 Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Accounts receivable allowances primarily represent adjustments to customer billings that are estimated when the related revenue is recognized. During 2003, the Company reduced its provision rates and in the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company recorded adjustments to the allowances totaling \$6.0 million, of which approximately \$3.0 million related to 2002 and \$3.0 million related to prior quarters of 2003. In 2004, the Company further reduced its provision rates and allowance to reflect its current estimate of the appropriate level of accounts receivable allowances. Below is a summary of activity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004:

	<u>Balance at Beginning of the Year</u>	<u>Additions Charged to Revenue</u>	<u>Write-offs and Adjustments</u>	<u>Balance at End of the Year</u>
2004	\$ (15.9)	(18.1)	19.4	\$ (14.6)
2003	(16.4)	(16.4)	16.9	(15.9)
2002	(27.3)	(20.1)	31.0	(16.4)

Note 17 Related Party Transactions

Moody's Corporation made grants of \$7.0 million, \$6.0 million and \$6.0 million to The Moody's Foundation (the "Foundation") in 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The Foundation carries out philanthropic activities on behalf of Moody's Corporation primarily in the areas of education and health and human services. Certain members of senior management of Moody's Corporation are on the Board of Directors of the Foundation.

Note 18 Insurance Recovery

In February 2003, Moody's received a \$15.9 million insurance recovery related to the September 11th tragedy, for incremental costs incurred and for lost profits due to the sharp decline in debt market activity in the weeks following the disaster. Moody's had previously received a \$4.0 million advance payment in 2002, resulting in a total recovery of \$19.9 million. Moody's had incurred incremental costs of \$6.3 million for property damage and temporary office facilities, and had fully accrued for the recovery of these costs in its financial statements. The remainder of the insurance recovery, \$13.6 million, had not previously been accrued as its realizability was not sufficiently assured. As a result, in the first quarter of 2003 Moody's recorded a gain of \$13.6 million, included in other non-operating income, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Note 19 Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
<u>2004</u>				
Revenue	\$ 331.2	\$ 357.6	\$ 357.9	\$ 391.6
Operating income	182.9	199.5	197.8	206.2
Net income	103.5	103.5	95.5	122.6
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.83
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.80
<u>2003</u>				
Revenue	\$ 278.2	\$ 312.7	\$ 305.0	\$ 350.7
Operating income	149.1	176.7	161.2	176.1
Net income	91.9	100.9	85.6	85.5
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.57
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.56

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed independently for each of the periods presented. The number of weighted average shares outstanding changes as common shares are issued pursuant to employee stock plans and for other purposes or as shares are repurchased. Therefore, the sum of basic and diluted earnings per share for each of the four quarters may not equal the full year basic and diluted earnings per share.

Note 20 Subsequent Event

On February 15, 2005, the Board of Directors declared a two-for-one stock split to be effected as a special stock distribution of one share of common stock for each share of the Company's common stock outstanding and treasury shares. Stockholders of record as of the close of business on May 4, 2005 will receive one additional share of common stock for each share of the Company's common stock held on that date. Such additional shares will be distributed on May 18, 2005.

The Board of Directors' declaration of the special stock dividend distribution is subject to stockholder approval of a charter amendment to increase the Company's authorized common shares. Moody's stockholders will vote on the charter amendment at the Company's Annual Meeting, which will be held on April 26, 2005. The proposal to amend the Company's charter to increase the number of authorized shares will be more fully described in the Company's annual meeting proxy statement.

If the stock split is approved, the Company will restate its previously reported financial statements accordingly. Among other things, Moody's number of basic and diluted shares outstanding will be double the amounts currently reported in the consolidated financial statements, and earnings per share will be fifty percent of the currently reported amounts.

Also, on February 15, 2005, the Board of Directors voted to increase the quarterly dividend per share from \$0.075 to \$0.11 before giving effect to the aforementioned two-for-one stock split, payable on June 15, 2005 to stockholders of record as of May 27, 2005. If the stock split is effected then on a post-split basis, the dividend will be increased to 5.5 cents per share from the 3.75 cents per share that otherwise would have been paid absent the dividend increase. The continued payment of dividends at the rate noted above, or at all, is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: The Company carried out an evaluation, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), under the supervision and with the participation of the Company’s management, including the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report (the “Evaluation Date”). Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company’s periodic filings under the Exchange Act.

In addition, there were no significant changes in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by this report.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

Except for the information relating to the executive officers of the Company set forth in Part I of this annual report on Form 10-K, the information called for by Items 10-13 is contained in the Company’s definitive proxy statement for use in connection with its annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on April 26, 2005, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT’S FEES AND SERVICES

The Audit Committee has established a policy setting forth the requirements for the pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by the Company’s independent auditors. Under the policy, the Audit Committee pre-approves the annual audit engagement terms and fees, as well as any other audit services and specified categories of non-audit services, subject to certain pre-approved fee levels. In addition, pursuant to the policy, the Audit Committee has authorized its chair to pre-approve other audit and permissible non-audit services up to \$50,000 per engagement and a maximum of \$250,000 per year. The policy requires that the Audit Committee chair report any pre-approval decisions to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. For the year

Table of Contents

ended December 31, 2004, the Audit Committee approved all of the services provided by the Company's independent auditors, which are described below.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, for the review of the financial statements included in the Company's Reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K, and for statutory audits of non-U.S. subsidiaries were approximately \$2.3 million (including \$0.4 million not billed) in 2004 and \$1.0 million (including \$0.1 million not billed) in 2003. All such fees were attributable to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed for audit-related services rendered to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$0.7 million (including \$0.1 million not billed) and \$0.4 million, respectively. Such services included acquisition due diligence reviews, employee benefit plan audits, internal control reviews, and consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed for tax services rendered to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$15,000 and \$75,000, respectively. Tax services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP principally related to expatriate tax services and tax consulting and compliance.

All Other Fees

The aggregate fees billed for all other services rendered to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$5,000 and \$3,000, respectively.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

List of documents filed as part of this report.

- (1) Financial Statements.

See Index to Financial Statements, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

- (2) Financial Statement Schedules.

None.

- (3) Exhibits.

See Index to Exhibits on pages 85-89 of this Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MOODY'S CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By: /s/ JOHN RUTHERFURD, JR.

John Rutherford, Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 8, 2005

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

/s/ JOHN RUTHERFURD, JR

John Rutherford, Jr., Chairman of the
Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

/s/ JEANNE M. DERING

Jeanne M. Dering, Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer)

/s/ JOSEPH MCCABE

Joseph McCabe, Vice President and Corporate
Controller (principal accounting officer)

/s/ CONNIE MACK

Connie Mack, Director

/s/ BASIL L. ANDERSON

Basil L. Anderson, Director

/s/ RAYMOND W. MCDANIEL, JR.

Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr., Director,
President and Chief Operating Officer

/s/ MARY JOHNSTON EVANS

Mary Johnston Evans, Director

/s/ HENRY A. MCKINNEL, JR

Henry A. McKinnell, Jr. Ph.D., Director

/s/ ROBERT R. GLAUBER

Robert R. Glauber, Director

/s/ NANCY S. NEWCOMB

Nancy S. Newcomb, Director

/s/ EWALD KIST

Ewald Kist, Director

/s/ JOHN K. WULFF

John K. Wulff, Director

Date: March 8, 2005

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<u>S-K EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	
3	ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BY-LAWS
.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated June 15, 1998, as amended effective June 30, 1998, and as further amended effective October 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, file number 1-14037, filed June 18, 1998).
4	INSTRUMENTS DEFINING THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS, INCLUDING INDENTURES
.1	Specimen Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
.2	Amended and Restated Rights Agreement between the Registrant and EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent, dated as of September 27, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed September 29, 2000), as amended by Amendment No. 1 to the Rights Agreement among the Registrant, EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., as Rights Agent, and The Bank of New York, as successor Rights Agent, dated as of October 22, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed March 22, 2002).
.3	Five-Year Credit Agreement, dated as of September 11, 2000, among the Registrant, certain subsidiaries of the Registrant, the lenders party thereto, The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent, Citibank, N.A., as syndication agent, and The Bank of New York, as documentation agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
.4	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of September 10, 2001, between Moody's Corporation and certain subsidiaries of the Registrant, the lenders party thereto, The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent, Citibank, N.A., as syndication agent, and The Bank of New York, as documentation agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2001).
.5	Amended and Restated 364-Day Credit Agreement, dated as of September 8, 2003, between Moody's Corporation and certain subsidiaries of the Registrant, the lenders party thereto, JP Morgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent, Citibank, N.A., as syndication agent, and The Bank of New York, as documentation agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 12, 2003).
.6	Five-Year Credit Agreement dated as of September 1, 2004, among Moody's Corporation, the Borrowing Subsidiaries Party Hereto, the Lenders Party Hereto, JP Morgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, Citibank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, and The Bank of New York, as Documentation Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed September 8, 2004).
10	MATERIAL CONTRACTS
.1	Distribution Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2000, between the Registrant and The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (f.k.a. The New D&B Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit

**S-K
EXHIBIT
NUMBER**

- 10.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
- .2 Tax Allocation Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2000, between the Registrant and The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (f.k.a. The New D&B Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
 - .3 Employee Benefits Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2000, between the Registrant and The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (f.k.a. The New D&B Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
 - .4 Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan of Moody's Corporation, dated as of September 30, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed March 22, 2002).
 - .5 Intellectual Property Assignments, dated as of September 1, 2000, between the Registrant and The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (f.k.a. The New D&B Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000).
 - .6 Pension Benefit Equalization Plan of Moody's Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2000).
 - .7 Profit Participation Benefit Equalization Plan of Moody's Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2000).
 - .8 The Moody's Corporation Nonfunded Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2000).
 - .9 1998 Moody's Corporation Replacement Plan for Certain Non-Employee Directors Holding Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Equity-Based Awards (incorporated by reference to Exhibit to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2000).
 - .10 1998 Moody's Corporation Replacement Plan for Certain Employees Holding Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Equity-Based Awards (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2000).
 - .11 1998 Moody's Corporation Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (as amended on April 23, 2001) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed March 22, 2002).
 - .12 1998 Moody's Corporation Key Employees' Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, file number 1-14037, filed November 14, 2000).
 - .13 Moody's Corporation Career Transition Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, file number 1-14037, filed March 15, 2001).
 - .14 Distribution Agreement, dated as of June 30, 1998, between R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) and the Registrant (f.k.a. The New Dun & Bradstreet Corporation)

Table of Contents

**S-K
EXHIBIT
NUMBER**

- (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed August 14, 1998).
- .15 2001 Moody's Corporation Key Employees Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed March 22, 2002).
 - .16 Tax Allocation Agreement, dated as of June 30, 1998, between R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) and the Registrant (f.k.a. The New Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed August 14, 1998).
 - .17 Employee Benefits Agreement, dated as of June 30, 1998, between R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) and the Registrant (f.k.a. The New Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed August 14, 1998).
 - .18 Distribution Agreement, dated as of October 28, 1996, among R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation), Cognizant Corporation and ACNielsen Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(x) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) for the year ended December 31, 1996, file number 1-7155, filed March 27, 1997).
 - .19 Tax Allocation Agreement, dated as of October 28, 1996, among R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation), Cognizant Corporation and ACNielsen Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(y) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) for the year ended December 31, 1996, file number 1-7155, filed March 27, 1997).
 - .20 Employee Benefits Agreement, dated as of October 28, 1996, among R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation), Cognizant Corporation and ACNielsen Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(z) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) for the year ended December 31, 1996, file number 1-7155, filed March 27, 1997).
 - .21 Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, dated as of October 28, 1996, among R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation), Cognizant Corporation and ACNielsen Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(aa) to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of R.H. Donnelley Corporation (f.k.a. The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) for the year ended December 31, 1996, file number 1-7155, filed March 27, 1997).
 - .22 Separation Agreement and General Release, dated as of April 10, 2001, between Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and Donald Noe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed May 15, 2001).
 - .23 Separation Agreement and General Release, dated as of April 10, 2001, between Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and Kenneth J. H. Pinkes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed May 15, 2001).
 - .24 Agreement and Plan of Merger and Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 10, 2002, by and among Moody's Corporation, XYZ Acquisition LLC, KMV LLC, KMV Corporation and the principal members of KMV LLC and the shareholders of KMV Corporation identified therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed February 22, 2002).

Table of Contents

<u>S-K EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	
.25	Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 3, 2000, among the Registrant and the purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed March 21, 2003).
.26	Form of 7.61% Senior Notes due 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed March 21, 2003).
.27	Amended and Restated Indemnity and Joint Defense Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2004, among VNU, N.V., VNU, Inc., ACNielsen Corporation, AC Nielsen (US), Inc., Nielsen Media Research, Inc. (formerly, Cognizant Corporation), R.H. Donnelley Corporation (formerly, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation), The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, Moody's Corporation and IMS Health Incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed August 9, 2004).
.28	Amended and Restated 2001 Moody's Corporation Key Employees' Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 3, 2004).
.29	Form of Employee Non-Qualified Stock Option and Restricted Stock Grant Agreement for the Amended and Restated 2001 Moody's Corporation Key Employees' Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 3, 2004).
.30	Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Grant Agreement for the 1998 Moody's Corporation Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (as amended on April 23, 2001) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 3, 2004).
.31	2004 Moody's Corporation Covered Employee Cash Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 3, 2004).
.32	Description of Bonus Terms under the 2004 Moody's Corporation Covered Employee Cash Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed November 3, 2004).
21*	SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT List of Active Subsidiaries as of January 31, 2005.
23*	CONSENTS OF EXPERTS Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
31	CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
.1*	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
.2*	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	.1* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (The Company has furnished this certification and does not intend for it to be considered filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)

Table of Contents

**S-K
EXHIBIT
NUMBER**

.2* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (The Company has furnished this certification and does not intend for it to be considered filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)

* Filed herewith

SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT

EXHIBIT 21

LIST OF ACTIVE SUBSIDIARIES AS OF JANUARY 31, 2005

<u>Company Name</u>	<u>Jurisdiction of Creation</u>
Moody's Latin America Calificadora de Riesgo S.A. (p/k/a Magister Bankwatch Calificadora de Riesgo S.A.)	Argentina
Moody's Investors Service Pty. Limited	Australia
Moody's America Latina Ltda.	Brazil
Moody's Latin America Holding Corporation	British Virgin Islands
Moody's Holdings (BVI) Limited	British Virgin Islands
Moody's Investors Service (B.V.I.) Limited	British Virgin Islands
Moody's Israel Holdings, Inc.	British Virgin Islands
Moody's Canada Inc.	Canada
Moody's Investors Service (Beijing) Ltd.	China
Moody's Interbank Credit Service Limited	Cyprus
MIS Quality Management Corp.	Delaware
Moody's Assureco, Inc.	Delaware
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.	Delaware
Moody's Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
Moody's KMV Company	Delaware
Moody's Overseas Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
Moody's Investors Service Ltd.	England
Moody's Holdings UK Limited	England
Moody's KMV Limited	England
Syndicate Underwriting Research Ltd.	England
Moody's France SA	France
Moody's Deutschland GmbH	Germany
Moody's Asia Pacific Limited	Hong Kong
Moody's China Financial Information Service, Ltd.	Hong Kong

Company Name	Jurisdiction of Creation
Moody's KMV Limited	Hong Kong
Moody's Investment Company India Pvt. Ltd.	India
Moody's (Mauritius) Holdings Limited	India
Moody's Risk Management Services, Ltd.	Ireland
Moody's Italia S.r.l.	Italy
KMV Asia KK	Japan
Moody's Japan Kabushiki Kaisha	Japan
Korea Investors Service, Inc.	Korea
Administracion de Calificadoras, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico
Moody's de Mexico S.A. de C.V.	Mexico
Moody's Holdings B.V.	Netherlands
Moody's Assurance Company, Inc.	New York
Moody's Interfax Rating Agency	Russia
Moody's KMV Singapore Pte.	Singapore
Moody's Singapore Pte. Ltd.	Singapore
Moody's Investors Service South Africa (Pty) Limited	South Africa
Moody's Investors Service Espana, S.A.	Spain
Moody's Taiwan Corporation	Taiwan

CONSENT OF EXPERTS

EXHIBIT 23

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-57267, 333-57915, 333-60737, 333-64653, 333-68555, 333-81121, 333-47848 and 333-103496) of Moody's Corporation (formerly known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation) of our report dated March 2, 2005 relating to the consolidated financial statements, management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
March 7, 2005

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, John Rutherford, Jr., Chief Executive Officer of Moody's Corporation, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Moody's Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the periods covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ JOHN RUTHERFURD, JR.

John Rutherford, Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
March 8, 2005

**CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Jeanne M. Dering, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Moody's Corporation, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Moody's Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the periods covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ JEANNE M. DERING

Jeanne M. Dering
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
March 8, 2005

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Moody's Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, John Rutherford, Jr., Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ JOHN RUTHERFURD, JR.

John Rutherford, Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
March 8, 2005

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Moody's Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jeanne M. Dering, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ JEANNE M. DERING

Jeanne M. Dering
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
March 8, 2005

End of Filing