

From: [Mark VanDerWeide](#)
To: [Deborah P Bailey](#)
Subject: Re: Fw: Lehman 23A --- call
Date: 07/30/2008 04:16 PM

I'm still in the same place I have been on this request. I could not, as a bank supervisor/regulator, support the exemption on its current terms. This is not better than the Merrill or Goldman proposals that we rejected in the past 9 months. If we got a couple billion more of collateral, or asset quality improved substantially, maybe.

I could only recommend approval as a financial stability matter (and perhaps if Lehman were also becoming an FHC). Although I'm not sure what financial stability this exemption would bring -- but would leave those judgments to people with more gravitas than me.

Scott and I spoke about this proposal at some length a few days ago. I'm not exactly sure where Scott is on this one, but I think he is roughly in the same place as me (perhaps a bit more Lehman-friendly though).

Mark
▼ [Deborah P Bailey/BOARD/FRS](#)

**Deborah P
Bailey/BOARD/FRS**

To Mark VanDerWeide/BOARD/FRS
cc

07/30/2008 03:46 PM

Subject Fw: Lehman 23A --- call

mark, any additional thoughts.

----- Forwarded by Deborah P Bailey/BOARD/FRS on 07/30/2008 03:45 PM -----

William.Rutledge@ny.frb.org

07/30/2008 03:25 PM

To Scott_Alvarez@glc.frb.org, roger.cole@frb.gov,
deborah.p.bailey@frb.gov,
thomas.baxter@ny.frb.org,
Mark_VanDerWeide@glc.frb.org,
arthur.angulo@ny.frb.org,
Michael.Schussler@ny.frb.org

cc annette.giuliano@ny.frb.org

Subject Lehman 23A --- call

Now that Lehman has sent in the additional material I alluded to with the Treasurer last week and given that the firm continues to press forward on this, I think we should talk about where we are on it. I have asked my assistant to look for a time in the next day or so for us to talk.